# CASE# 2011-029 RESOLUTION NUMBER 3-1

## DENYING A VARIANCE GRANTING A USE VARIANCE AND VARIANCE

FOR CERTAIN PROPERTY LOCATED AT 5380 W. WASHINGTON, SPRINGFIELD SANGAMON COUNTY, ILLINOIS

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals has presented to the Sangamon County Board, Sangamon County, Illinois, its Findings of Fact and Recommendation that the Sangamon County Board deny an amendment, grant a use variance and variance to the Sangamon County Zoning Ordinance with respect to the following described property, to-wit:

#### See Exhibit A

WHEREAS, the Petitioner, Peter C. Houser, has petitioned the Sangamon County Board for a rezoning from "A" Agricultural District to "I-1" Restricted Industrial District to allow for a single family residence, farmland, and a contractor's office, shop and yard to include outside storage with a variance to allow two (2) principal uses on one (1) parcel and a variance to allow a residence in the "I-1" Restricted Industrial District; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held at the Sangamon County Building on **June 16**, **2011** after proper notice was posted on said property and given by news publication, as is required by said Ordinance, and all procedural and jurisdictional requirements of the Sangamon County Zoning Ordinance have been met; and

WHEREAS, the Sangamon County Zoning Board of Appeals has presented to the Sangamon County Board of Sangamon County its Findings of Fact and Recommendation that the Sangamon County Board deny the rezoning but, in the alternative, grant a use variance and variance to allow a contractor's office, shop and yard with inside storage of the contractors equipment and materials, as well as, a variance to allow two (2) principal uses on one (1) parcel; and

JUN 29 2011

Jac aille

WHEREAS, the Sangamon County Board does hereby adopt the recommendation of the Sangamon County Zoning Board of Appeals.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the County Board of Sangamon County, Illinois, in session assembled this 12<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2011 that the request for a rezoning from "A" Agricultural District to "I-1" Restricted Industrial District to allow for a single family residence, farmland, and a contractors office, shop and yard to include outside storage be denied, but in the alternative, a use variance to allow a contractor's office, shop and yard with inside storage of the contractor's equipment and materials and a variance to allow two (2) principal uses on one (1

(2) principal uses on one (1) p	arcel on the above described property is hereby approved.
Signed and passed by the Sa	angamon County Board in session on this 12th day of July, 2011.
·	Respectfully submitted,
	PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY & ZONING COMMITTEE OF THE SANGAMON COUNTY BOARD  TIM Moole by CK
	TIM MOORE, CHAIRMAN
	ABE FORSYTH, VICE CHAIRMAN
i i	JOHN FULGENZI
;	DAVID MENDENHALL
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SAM SNELL
	DON STEPHENS
	GREG STUMPF
ATTEST:	LINDA DOUGLAS WILLIAMS
SANGAMON COUNTY CLERK	COUNTY BOARD CHAIRMAN

### **EXHIBIT A**

Lot 6 of the Northeast Quarter of Section 34, Township 16 North, Range 6

West of the Third Principal Meridian, Excepting therefrom that part described as: Commencing at the Northeast corner of Lot 6, then Southerly 350 feet to the point of beginning, thence Westerly on a line parallel to the North line of said Lot 6 a distance of 100 feet, thence Southerly on a line parallel to the east line of said Lot 6 to the South line of Lot 6, thence Easterly 100 feet to the East line of said Lot 6, thence North on the East line of said Lot 6 to the point of beginning.

Except all coal and mining rights, and reserving one-half of all oil and gas (as excepted and reserved by Grantors in Warranty Deed dated May 24, 1945, and recorded June 12, 1945, as Document 209622, in which Charles Maynard Bandy and Evelyn Bandy were Grantees). For further description of said Lots reference is hereby made to the Plat in the partition of the estate of Johnson Baldwin, deceased, recorded in the Circuit Clerk's Office of Sangamon County, Illinois in Chancery Record 5, Page 533.

Situated in Sangamon County, Illinois

#### <u>RECAP</u> (For County Board Use)

COUNTY BOARD MEMBER: #27

NAME:

Abe Forsyth

DOCKET NUMBER: 2011-029

ADDRESS: 5380 W. Washington, Springfield, IL 62707

PETITIONER: Peter C. Houser

PRESENT ZONING CLASSIFICATION: "A" Agricultural District.

REQUESTED ZONING CLASSIFICATION: "I-1" Restricted Industrial District to allow

for a single family residence, farmland, and a contractors office, shop and yard to include outside storage with a variance to allow two (2) principal uses on one (1) parcel and a variance to allow a residence in the "I-1"

Restricted Industrial District.

AREA: 9.56 acres

COMMENTS: None

OBJECTORS: Yes

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend denial of the spot I-1 zoning. Although the LESA score of 131 indicates the property is acceptable for non-agricultural development, I-1 zoning is seen as too intense in the immediate area. Staff does not recommend a use variance for a contractor's office, shop and yard with outside storage of heavy material and equipment as it could have a negative visual effect to the surrounding area. However, to be consistent with previous cases, a use variance for a contractor's office, shop and yard with inside storage is recommended. Staff recommends denial of the variance request to allow a residence in the "I-1" Restricted Industrial district as it is not needed if the recommendation of a use variance is approved. Staff

recommends approval of the variance request to allow two (2) uses on one (1) parcel to allow the single-family residence and the contractor's office, shop and yard with inside storage if the recommendation of the use variance is approved.

SANGAMON COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS RECOMMENDATION:

Deny the I-1 zoning, but in the alternative, grant a use variance to allow a contractor's office, shop and yard with inside storage of the contractor's equipment and materials and a variance to allow two (2) principal uses on one (1) parcel.

RECORDING SECRETARY

# SANGAMON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS SANGAMON COUNTY, ILLINOIS

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITON OF:	)	DOCKET NO: <b>2011-029</b>
Peter C. Houser	)	
	)	PROPERTY LOCATED AT:
	)	5380 W. Washington,
	)	Springfield, IL 62707

#### RECOMMENDATION OF THE BOARD OF APPEALS

THIS MATTER, Coming on for a hearing before the Sangamon County Zoning Board of Appeals of Sangamon County, Illinois, and it appearing to said Board that a petition for an amendment and variance of the Zoning Regulations of said County has been filed herein by the above captioned petitioner (s); that legal publication has been made pursuant to law; and that a public hearing was held on June 16, 2011 pursuant to law; and that said Board took testimony of witnesses, examined the evidence, and otherwise being fully advised in the premises, therefore finds as follows:

- 1. That said Board has jurisdiction to consider the petition filed herein.
- 2. That the above-captioned petitioner(s) is the owner(s) and/or has a beneficial interest in, contract to purchase, or is the County Board Member representing the property commonly known as: 5380 W. Washington, Springfield, IL. and more particularly described as:

See Exhibit A

- 3. That the present zoning of said property is "A" Agricultural District.
- 4. That the present land use of said property is single family residence and farmland.
- 5. That the proposed land use of said property is single family residence, farmland, and a contractor's office, shop and yard to include outside storage.
- 6. That the requested rezoning of said property is "I-1" Restricted Industrial District to allow for a single family residence, farmland, and a contractor's office, shop and yard to include outside storage.
- 7. That required findings and standards of the Sangamon County Board of Appeals are accurately stated on the attached exhibit (s).
- 8. The evidence adduced at the hearing **does not** support the proposition that the adoption of the proposed **rezoning** is in the public interest and is not solely in the interest of the petitioner(s).

IT IS, THEREFORE, the recommendation of the Sangamon County Zoning Board of Appeals to the County Board of Sangamon County that the requested rezoning be denied, but in the alternative, a use variance to allow a contractor's office, shop and yard with inside storage of the contractor's equipment and materials, and a variance to allow two (2) principal uses on one (1) parcel be approved as staff recommended.

CHATRMAN

### MINUTES OF THE SANGAMON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

There was a motion by Zoning Board Member, Byron Deaner, to concur with the findings of fact and recommendation of the Regional Planning Commission and recommend to the County Board that the petition be denied, but in the alternative, a use variance to allow a contractor's office, shop and yard with inside storage of the contractor's equipment and materials, and a variance to allow two (2) principal uses on one (1) parcel be approved as staff recommended which was duly seconded by John Luchessi.

The vote of the Board was as follows:

YES:

Charles Chimento, Byron Deaner, John Luchessi

NO:

Judith Johnson, Marvin Traylor

ABSENT:

Peggy Egizii

RECORDING SECRETARY

### SANGAMON COUNTY RECOMMENDED - FINDINGS OF FACT

Case #: 2011-029

Address: 5380 W. Washington

(i) Existing uses of property within the general area of the property in question.

The area is surrounded by single family residences, pasture and cropland.

(ii) The zoning classification of property within the general area of the property in question.

The property is surrounded by agricultural zoning.

(iii) The suitability of the property in question to the uses permitted under the existing zoning classification.

The Land Evaluation and Site Assessment score of 131 indicates the property is deemed acceptable for non-agricultural development.

(iv) The trend of development, within the vicinity since the property was originally classified.

The area has remained agricultural in character with single family residences built in the immediate area.

#### RECOMMENDED STANDARDS FOR USE VARIATIONS

Case #: 2011-029

Address: 5380 W. Washington

The Zoning Board of Appeals shall not recommend to the Sangamon County Board that the regulations of the zoning ordinance be varied as authorized in Section 17.66.010 thereof, unless it shall make findings of fact based upon the evidence presented to it in each specified case:

(i) that the variance is justified by a showing of special circumstances demonstrating practical difficulties or particular hardship in the way of carrying out the strict letter of the Zoning Regulations.

There does not appear to be hardship regarding the use of this property since a single family residence is being constructed in this agricultural district.

(ii) that the variance is compatible with the trend of development in the area.

The area has remained agricultural in character and there have been numerous single family residences constructed in the area.

(iii) that the variance will benefit the community and be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations.

There does not appear to be a benefit to the community in having a contractor's office, shop and yard with outside storage at this location. However, the parcel is large enough to have a single family residence and contractor's office, shop and yard with inside storage only.

(iv) that the variance will not create a negative impact on the area, will not alter the essential character of the locality, impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property, increase the congestion of traffic, or diminish or impair property values in the locality.

There is a concern with the essential character of the locality to allow outside storage of contractor's heavy equipment. Therefore, inside storage is strongly recommended at this location.

### SANGAMON COUNTY RECOMMENDED - STANDARDS FOR VARIATIONS

Case #: 2011-029

Address: 5380 W. Washington

The Zoning Board of Appeals shall not recommend to the Sangamon County Board that the regulations of this ordinance be varied as authorized in F. (1) hereof, unless it shall make findings of fact based upon the evidence presented to it in each specified case:

(i) that the property in question cannot be economically used or yield a reasonable return, if permitted to be used only for the conditions allowed by the regulations.

There is a single family residence being constructed on the property thus being used economically.

(ii) that the plight of the owner is due to circumstances unique to the property and not generally applicable to other property in the area.

There do not appear to be any unique circumstances to this property as compared to other property in the immediate area.

(iii) that the variation, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the locality, impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property, increase the congestion of traffic, or diminish or impair property values in the locality.

There is a concern in allowing a contractor's office, shop and yard with outside storage and the general aesthetics of the surrounding area. Storing equipment and materials inside only would address this concern.

### LAND EVALUATION AND SITE ASSESSMENT

Part 1: Site Assessment	Available Points	Points
AGRICULTURAL/RURAL LAND WITHIN .5 MILE		(*************************************
90% or more	20	
75-89%	10	5
50-74%	5	. 5
Under 50%	0	***************************************
CONTIGUOUS AGRICULTURAL/RURAL LAND		
90% or more	20	
75-89%	10	
50-74%	5	0
Under 50%	0	
Officer 50 %	<u> </u>	
PERCENTAGE OF SITE AGRICULTURAL/RURAL		**************************************
75-100%	10	_
50-74%	5	5
Under 50%	0	
COUNTY SECTOR	***	
Rural	20	
0.5 mile from incorporated area	10	10
Incorporated area	0	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······································
SOIL WITH SEVERE RESTRICTIONS FOR ON-SITE WASTE D	DISPOSAL	lat the effective and a seed about product and project for the state
75% or more	20	
50-74%	10	5
25-49%	5	5
Less than 25% or sewer available	0	
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED LISE	•	
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE  Negative impact	15	
Little or none with protective measures	5	n
Little or none	0	O
IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES		
Negative impact	10	0
No impact	0	
CONDITION OF ROAD		
unpaved, <40' ROW, or < 16' pavement	20	
16'-18' pavement, 40' ROW	15	
18'-20' pavement, 40' ROW	10	15
> 20' pavement, 40' ROW or County or State Highway		i

AVAILABILITY OF PUBLIC SEWER		
Not available	. 1	5
Sewer over 600'-1200' away	8	15
Private central sewage system	5	15
Sewer 600' or less away and available	0	

AVAILABILITY OF PUBLIC WATER		
Not available	20	
1,000-1,500 <u>'</u> away	15	0
Less than 1,000' away	5	U
Public water available at site	0	

DISTANCE FROM RESPONDING FIREHOUSE		
Not in fire protection district	20	
More than 5 miles or fire protection by assignment	10	<b>^</b>
2.6-5 miles	5	U
0-2.5 miles	0	

DRIVING TIME TO HIGH SCHOOL		
Over 30 minutes	10	
15-30 minutes	5	0
Less than 15 minutes	0	

SITE ASSESSMENT TOTAL		55

Part 2: Agricultural Land Evaluation (Based on Sangamon County Soil Survey)

	.9.704.14.47	<u> 194994 on eangan</u>		Relative	<u> </u>
<u>Soil</u>	Name	<u>Type</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Value</u>	Points
198A	Elburn	P	_	100	
199A	Plano	P		100	
43A	Ipava	P		100	
7148A	Proctor	P		100	
46A	Herrick	P		100	
7037A	Worthen	P		100	
705A	Buckhart	P		98	
199B	Plano	Р		98	
36B	Tama	Р		98	
244A	Hartsburg	P2		98	
257A	Clarksdale	P2		98	
68A	Sable	P2		87	
679B	Blackberry	P		87	
705B	Buckhart	Р		87	
86B	Osco	P		87	
684B	Broadwell	P		87	
50A	Virden	P2		87	
712A	Spaulding	P2		87	
127B	Harrison	Р		87	
3077A	Huntsville	P3		87	
138A	Shiloh	P2		87	

Parcel #	13-34-200-050	_	Zonin
249A 242A 7242A 134A 17A 3451A 3107A 7075B 8396A	Edinburg Kendall Kendall Camden Keomah Lawson Sawmill Drury Vesser	P2 87 P2 87 P2 87 P 87 P 87 P2 20 87 P3 75 P5 75 P 75	17
3074A 3073A 3284A 279B 45A 134B 112A 685B	Radford Ross Tice Rozetta Denny Camden Cowden Middletown	P3 75 P3 75 P3 75 P3 75 P 47 75 P2 75 P 75 P2 75 P2 75	35
3405A 131C2 86C2 36C2 684C2 119C2 119D	Zook Alvin Osco Tama Broadwell Elco Elco	P5 75 P 75 I 74	
127C2 119D2 567C2 134C2 259C2 685C2 280D2 119D3	Harrison Elco Elkhart Camden Assumption Middletown Fayette Elco	I 74 I 32 74 I 74 I 74 I 74 I 74 I 74 N 74	24
259D2 212C2 630C2 630D2 630D3 131D2 8D 8D2	Assumption Thebes Navlys Navlys Navlys Alvin Hickory	74   74   74   74   57   57   50	
280D3 8D3 8F 549G 533 536 830 862	Fayette Hickory Hickory Marseilles Urban Land Dumps Orthents, Land Pits, Sand	I 44 I 44 N 44 N 0 N N N N N	
864 801C W	Pits, Quarries Orthents, Silty Water	.N N	

Prime/Important Farmlands Designations:

P: Prime farmland

P2: Prime where drained

Parcel # 13

13-34-200-050

Zoning Case #

2011-029

314

P3: Prime where protected from flooding or flooding is less often than once in two years during the growing season.

P5: Prime where drained and either protected from flooding or flooding is less often than once in two years during the growing season.

1: Important farmland

N: Not Prime/Important Farmland

AGRICULTURAL LAND EVALUATION TOTAL

76

GRAND TOTAL

131

Fewer than 150 points shall be deemed acceptable for non-agricultural development.

From 150 - 175 points is considered marginal requiring mitigating factors for non-ag development.

Greater than 175 points shall be considered suitable for agricultural use only.