# ORDINANCE NUMBER 10-1

#### AN ORDINANCE PROHIBITING THE USE OF GROUNDWATER AS A POTABLE WATER SUPPLY BY THE INSTALLATION OR USE OF POTABLE WATER SUPPLY WELLS OR BY ANY OTHER METHOD WITHIN CERTAIN AREAS IN THE COUNTY OF SANGAMON

WHEREAS, certain pr.operties in the County of Sangamon, Illinois have been used over a period of time for commercial/industrial purposes; and

WHEREAS, because of said use, concentrations of certain chemical constituents in the groundwater beneath the County of Sangamon may exceed Class I groundwater quality standards for potable resource groundwater as set forth in 35 Illinois Administrative Code 620 or Tier **1** remediation objectives as set forth in 35 Illinois Administrative Code 742; and

WHEREAS, the County of Sangamon desires to limit potential threats to human health from groundwater contamination while facilitating the redevelopment and productive use of properties that are the source of said chemical constituents;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY BOARD OF THE COUNTY OF SANGAMON, ILLINOIS:

Section One. Use of groundwater as a potable water supply prohibited.

The use or attempt to use as a potable water supply groundwater from those areas within the County of Sangamon depicted on Figure 1, attached hereto and made a part of this ordinance, by the installation or drilling of wells or by any other method is hereby prohibited. This prohibition expressly includes the County of Sangamon.

Section Two. Penalties.

Any person violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be subject to a fine of up to \$ 500.00 for each violation. Each day constitutes a separate violation.

Section Three. Definitions.

"Person" is any individual, partnership, co-partnership, firm, company, limited liability company, corporation, association, joint stock company, trust, estate, political subdivision, or any other legal entity, or their legal representatives, agents or assigns.



angamon County



Paul Palazzolo SANGAMON COUNTY AUDITOR "Potable water" is any water used for human or domestic consumption, including, but not limited to, water used for drinking, bathing, swimming, washing dishes, or preparing foods.

Section Four. Repealer.

All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed insofar as they are in conflict with this ordinance.

Section Five. Severability.

If any provision of this ordinance or its application to any person or under any circumstances is adjudged invalid, such adjudication shall not affect the validity of the ordinance as a whole or of any portion not adjudged invalid.

Section Six. Effective date.

This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage, approval and publication as required by law.

Respectully Submitted,

John Inlying



SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP ONE SOUTH DEARBORN STREET CHICAGO, IL 60603 (312) 853 7000 (312) 853 7036 FAX BEIJING BRUSSELS CHICAGO DALLAS FRANKFURT GENEVA HONG KONG HOUSTON LONDON



SAN FRANCISCO SHANGHAI SINGAPORE SYDNEY TOKYO WASHINGTON, D.C.

wdickett@sidley.com (312) 853 2214

FOUNDED 1866

June 13, 2012

#### **BY FEDERAL EXPRESS**

HECSIVED JUN 14 2012

ogenne (o. hey.

Mr. Tim Zahrn County Engineer Sangamon County 3003 Terminal Avenue Springfield, IL 62707

> Re: Request for Limited Groundwater Use Ordinance BP Service Station #5167 2201 North 31st Street/Dirksen Parkway Springfield, Illinois LPC #1671205426-Sangamon County LUST Incident Nos. 941260 & 960236

Dear Mr. Zahrn

I am assisting my client BP Products North America Inc. ("BP") with remediation efforts relating to a leaking underground storage tank system at a BP service station at 2201 North 31st Street/Dirksen Parkway, Springfield, Illinois. Based on sampling that BP has performed, BP's models indicate that there is potential impact to groundwater at the site, as well as in adjacent off-site areas. BP is required to address the groundwater impacts as part of the process to complete obligatory reporting and to ultimately obtain a No Further Remediation ("NFR") letter from the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("IEPA").

BP submitted an Corrective Action Plan Addendum ("CAP") to IEPA on June 10,2011 (enclosed), which was approved by IEPA on October 12,2011 (also enclosed). As discussed in the CAP, one method of achieving NFR is to use a limited groundwater ordinance as an institutional control. In this instance, Sangamon County would enact a limited groundwater ordinance that prohibits the use of groundwater in the impacted area as a potable water supply. I am requesting your input on whether the County would consider adopting an ordinance of this type.

A draft version of the limited groundwater ordinance (based on the IEPA model form at 35 Ill. Adm. Code 742, Appendix G) is enclosed. A map of the proposed area to be covered by



Mr. Tim Zahrn County Engineer June 13, 2012 Page 2

the ordinance is attached to the draft ordinance. For your reference, the IEPA website has a database showing the various groundwater ordinances that IEPA has reviewed. Many municipalities in Illinois (including Springfield) have adopted limited groundwater ordinances, or even complete ordinances covering the entire municipality. <u>http://epadata.epa.state.iLus/land/gwordinance/</u>

I will call you in a day or two to explain this request in more detail. I am also enclosing two extra copes of this letter (and enclosures) in the event you need to forward this request to others at the County for consideration.

Thank you for your consideration of BP's request to enact a limited groundwater ordinance. I look forward to speaking with you soon.

Very truly yours,

With & Dur

William G. Dickert

Enclosures





# ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

1021 NORTH GRAND AVENUE EAST, P.O.BOX 19276, SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62794-9276 • (217) 782 · 2829 JAMES R. THOMP.SON CENTER, 10 0 WEST RANDOLPH, SUITE 11 · 300, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60601 • (31 2) 81 ' 4-6026

PAT QUINN, GOVERNOR

LISA 80NNE1T, INTERIMDIRECTOR

2171782-6762

CERTIFIED MAIL

RECEIVED

OCT 1 2 2011

7009 2820 0001 7489 1916

BP Products N01th America, Inc. Attn: Diane Diks 150 West Warrenville Road Napervme, Illinois 60563

 Re: LPC #1671205426 -- Sangamon County Springfield/BP Service Station #5167 2201 Norht 31st St!Dirksen Parkway Leaking UST Incident No. 941260 & 960236 Leaking UST Technical File

Dear Ms. Diks:

The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Illinois EPA) has i eviewed the Con-ective Action Plan Amendmet (plan) submitted for the above-referenced incident. This plan, dated June 10, 20.11, was received by the Illinois EPA on June 16,2011. Citations in this letter rue from the Environmental Protection Act (Act), as amended by Public Act 92-0554 on June 24, 2002, and Public Act 96...0908 on June 8, 2010, and 35 Illinois Administrative Code (35 Ill. Adm. Code).

Pursuant to Sections 57.7(b)(2) and 57.7(c) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.505(b) and 734.510(a), the plan is approved. The activities proposed in the plan are appropriate to demonstrate compliance with Title XVI of the Act. Please note that all activities associated with the remediation of this release proposd in the plan must be executed in accordance with all applic ble regulatory and statutory i equirements, including compliance with the proper permits.

In addition, the budget is rejected for the reason(s) listed below (Sections 57.7(b)(3) and 57.7(c)(4) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.505(b) and 734.510(b)).

NOTE: The plan proposes activities that are technically acceptable. However, this letter does not constitute IIHnois EPA approval of any costs incurred during the completion of such activities. For the purpose of payment from the Underground Storage Tank Fund, some of the activities may exceed those necessary to meet the minimum requirements of the Act and regulations. Owners and operators are advised that they may not be entitled to fl.rll payment for this reason. The Illinois EPA will review your complete request for paltial or final payment from the Fund after it is submitted to the ll1inois EPA.

While it is teclmically acceptable that these activities be performed, payment from the Fund *is* not approved for lack of an approved budget. (No budget was submitted.)

#### Page2

Pursuant to Sections 57.7(b)(5) and 57.12(c) and (d) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.100 and 734.125, the Illinois EPA requires that a Corrective Action Completion Report that achieves compliance with applicable remediation objectives be submitted within 30 days after completion of the plan to:

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Bureau of Land- #24 Leaking Underground Storage Tank Section 1021 North Grand Avenue East Post Office Box 19276 Springfielq, IL 62794-9276

Please submit all correspondence in duplicate and include the Re: block shown at the beginning of this letter.

If within four years after the approval of this plan, compliance with the applicable remediation objectives has not been achieved and a Coll'ective Action Completion Report has not been submitted, the Illinois EPA requires the submission of a status repott pursuant to Section  $\cdot$  57.7(b)(6) of the Act.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Public Act 96-0908, effective June 8, 2010, all releases of petroleum from USTs.are subject to Title XVI of the Act, as amended by Public Act 92-0554 on June 24, 2002, and Public Act 96-0908 on June 8, 2010, and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734. The regulations at 35 III. Adm. Code 732 no longer exist, and the only releases subject to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 731 are those from hazardous substance USTs.

If you have any questions or need further assistance, please contact Jay F. Gaydosh at 217-785-0231.

Sincerely Harry A. Chappel, P.E.

Unit Manager Leaking Underground Storage Tank Section Division of Remediation Management Bureau ofLand

HAC:JFG:jab\

cc: Stantec Consulting Corporation BOL File

160 W. Warrenville Road Mail Code 200...1E Naperville, Illinois 60563 Phone: (630) 420-5537 Fax: (630) 420-3738 E-Mail: Dlane.Diks@bp.com

FEDEX 3 b7b q0q

Mr. Jay Gaydosh Project Manager Leaking Underground Storage Tank Section Division of Remediation Management Bureau of Land Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 1021 North Grand Avenue East Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

RE: Corrective Action Plan Addendum LPC#1671205426-Sangamon County Springfield / BP Service Station #5167 2201 North 31st St./ Dirksen Parkway LUST Incident Nos. 941260 & 960236 LUST Technical File

Dear Mr. Gaydosh:

June 10, 2011

BP Products North America, Inc. (BP) is submitting the Corrective Action Plan Addendum (CAPA) for the above referenced property to the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. This CAPA was completed by Stantec Consulting Corporation (Stantec) on behalf of BP.

Should you have any questions or require additional information regarding this document, please do not hesitate to contact me at (630) 420-5537.

Sincerely, M. Dice on Belleff.

Diane Diks On Behalf of BP Products North America, Inc.

Attachment cc: Stantec

#### CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN ADDENDUM

LPC No. 1671205426 BP Service Station # 5167 2201 North 31st Street (Dirksen Parkway) Springfield, Sangamon County, Illinois LUST Incident Nos. 941260 & 960236

Prepared for:

BP Products North America Inc. 28100 Torch Parkway, Mail Code 2S WarrenviiJe, Illinois 60555 Prepared by:

Stantec Consulting Corporation 446 Eisenhower Lane North Lombard, Illinois 60148

Prepared By: Christopher Kocka, P.G. Associate Geologist

> *Reviewed By:* Luisa Price Associate Scientist

> Project Contact: Luisa Price Associate Scientist

> > June 15, 2011

ł

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

A.	SITE IDENTIFICATION ••••. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1
В.		1
C.	PROPOSED METHODS OF REMEDIATION	1.
D.	SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION RESULTS	2
E.	TECHNICAL JNFORMATION	3
F.	EXPOSURE PATHWAY EXCLUSION	20
G.	SIGNATURES	.• 23

#### **FIGURES**

Figure 1	· Groundwater Assessment Map
Figure 2A	Soil Assessment Map
Figure 2B	Soil Assessment Map
Figure 3	Proposed Institutional Control Map
Figure 4	Modeled Groundwater Map

#### TABLES

Table 1	Groundwater BTEX Analytical Results
Table2	Groundwater PNA Analytical Results
Table 3	Groundwater Elevation Data
Table4	Soil BTEX Analytical Results

l able4 Table 5 Soil PNA Analytical Results

#### APPENDICES

- Appendix A Groundwater Laboratory Reports
- Appendix B Soil Boring Logs
- AppendixC Soil Laboratory Reports
- 'Appendix D Benzene Analysis
- Water Well Search Appendix E
- Appendix F Hydraulic Conductivity Tests
- AppendixG Soil Parameter Data
- Appendix H Tier 2 Calculation.Spreadsheets
- Appendix I IEPA Input Parameter Sheets

### Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Leaking Underground Storage Tank Program Corrective Action Plan

#### A. SITE IDENTIFICATION

IEMA	Incide	nt#: <u>941260 &amp; 96</u>	0236	!EPA LPC #:	<u>1.67:20.3.26</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Site N	Site Name: <u>BP Service Station #5167</u>						
Site A	Site Address (Not a P.O. Box): <u>2201 North 31<sup>51</sup> Street (Dirksen Parkway)</u>						
City:	Spring	gfield	County:	Sangamon	Zip Code:	62702	
Leaki	ng UST	Technical File					
B.	SITE	INFORMATION					
1.		he owner/operator ge Tank Fund?	seek reim	bursement from	the Undergrou	Ind Yes [8J No <b>O</b>	
2.	2. If yes, is the budget attached? Yes D No [gJ						
3.	3. Is this .an amended plan? Yes [g) $NoD$						
4.	4. Identify the material(s) released: Unleaded Gasoline and Used Oil						
5.	5. This Corrective Action Plan is being submitted pursuant to						
	a.	35 III. Adm. Code	731.166			D	
		The material relea -petroleun -hazardou	n	e (see Environm	iental	D	
			n Act Section	•		D	
	b.	35 III. Adm. Code	732.404				
	С.	35 III. Adm. Code	734.335			D	

### C. PROPOSED METHODS OF REMEDIATION

Soil: Constituents of Concern (COCs) include benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes (BTEX) and Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PNAs). These COCs were addressed through remediation objectives (ROs) derived from 35 Illinois Administrative Code 742 - Tiered Approach to Corrective Action Objectives (TACO) and implementation of institutional controls, and sulfate application activities.

1. Groundwater: COCs (BTEX & PNAs) were addressed through ROs derived from TACO, the implementation of institutional controls, and application of sulfate solution to the groundwater.

#### D. SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION RESULTS

- 1. Description of investigation activities performed to define the extent of soil and/or groundwater contamination
- 2. Analytical results, chain of custody forms, and laboratory certifications
- 3. Tables comparing analytical results to applicable remediation objectives
- 4. Boring logs
- 5. Monitoring well logs
- 6. Site maps meeting the requirements of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 732.11O(a) or 734.440 and showing:
  - a. Soil sample locations
  - b. Monitoring well locations
  - c. Plumes of soil and groundwater contamination

For historical soil and groundwater information from February 1996 through April 2004, please refer to the Corrective Action Plans (CAPs) dated October 12, 1998, June 30, 1999, · and June 11, 2004. A Status Report dated August 28, 2007 included summaries of sulfate applications.

Groundwater samples were collected on a periodic basis from site monitoring wells from March 1989 through March 2009 (Table 1). Prior to sampling, field personnel gauged the depth to groundwater with an electronic oil/water interface probe. The depth-to-water measurements were measured from the north side of the casing. The interface probe was decontaminated between each monitoring well using alconox with a de-ionized water rinse. After water levels were measured, each well was purged by bailing three to five well volumes from the well. Dedicated disposable high density polyethylene (HOPE) bailers were used to purge the well. Groundwater samples were collected using nitrile gloves and placed in laboratory provided glassware. Samples were then stored in an ice- filled cooler. Groundwater samples were submitted to Pace Analytical Services, Inc. (Pace) for the analysis of BTEX and PNAs via Methods 8021and 8270, respectively.

On March 18, 2009 groundwater samples were collected from monitoring wells MW-21R; MW-24, MW-25, MW-26, MW-27, MW-28, MW-31, MW-32, MW-33, and MW-35. Laboratory analytical results indicated that benzene was detected above the Tier 1, Class I groundwater remediation objective (GRO) in monitoring wells MW-21R, MW-24, MW-25, MW-26, MW-31, MW-33, and MW-35. Ethylbenzene was detected above the Tier 1, Class I GRO in monitoring wells MW-35. Naphthalene was detected above the Tier 1, Class I GRO in monitoring wells MW-21R, MW-24, MW-25, MW-26, and MW-35. Naphthalene was detected above the Tier 1, Class I GROin monitoring wells MW-21R, MW-25, and MW-26.

Laboratory results for the groundwater sampling events are summarized in Table 1 and Table 2. Groundwater elevation data are summarized in Table 3. The results of the most recent sampling event are illustrated on Figure 1. The labor< tory data for the sampling events are provided in Appendix A.

. On July 28, 2009, five soil borings (SB-8, SB-9, SB-10, SB-11, and SB-12) were advanced on the east/northeast side of the site to confirm residual soil concentrations above Tier 1 soil remediation objectives (SROs) located above 1he groundwater table. Data collected from soil samples ES-01, MW-24, MW-25, SB-03, and SB-04 were replaced with SB-12, SB-10, SB-8, SB-11, and SB-10, respectively, in the exposure pathway evaluations presented in section E.13. Five soil samples were submitted to Pace Analytical Services, Inc. for analysis of BTEX via Method 5035/8260. The soil boring log is included in Appendix B.

Laboratory analytical results indicated that BTEX was not detected above the most stringent SRO. The soil analytical results are illustrated in Figures 2A and 28 and summarized on Table 4 and Table 5. The laboratory analytical reports are included in Appendix C.

#### E. TECHNICAL INFORMATION

1. Executive summary identifying the objectives of the corrective action plan and the technical approach to be utilized to meet such objectives

Service Station No. 5167 is located in Springfield, Sangamon County, Illinois. A release was reported on June 7, 1994 based on elevated groundwater BTEX concentrations in existing monitoring wells. Subsequently, Incident No. 941260 was assigned to the site. In February 1996 one 8,000 gallon and three 6,000 gallon gasoline underground storage tanks (USTs) were removed from the site. A gasoline release was reported on February 9, 2006 due to the presence of residual petroleum hydrocarbons in the UST area. Incident No. 960236 was assigned to the site. After removal of the gasoline USTs, one 550 gallon used oil UST and one 550 gallon fuel oil UST were discovered north of the main UST excavation. The used oil and fuel oil USTs were removed in March 1996 and at the request of the Office of the Illinois State Fire Marshal were included as part of Incident No. 960236. Based on the gasoline and used/fuel oil releases, COCs· include BTEX and PNAs.

Site investigation activities have occurred from 1989 through 2009. Soil BTEX and PNA concentrations have been delineated to the site. Groundwater BTEX and PNA concentrations are delineated north, east and west of the site. Groundwater concentrations to the south will be addressed through institutional controls and R26 modeling. Please note that previous releases were reported at service stations located south and southeast of the site (Figure 1).

In order to address increasing/non-stable groundwater BTEX concentrations at the site, a CAP proposing the addition of sulfate to the groundwater was submitted to the !EPA on June 11, 2004. A total of nine application events occurred from July 2005 through February 2008. Results of the applications indicate overall plume stability. In order to address remaining soil and groundwater COCs above the most stringent Tier 1 ROs, an exposure pathway evaluation was conducted in accordance with TACO and is presented in section E.13.

a. The major components {e.g., treatmeril, containment, removal) of the corrective action plan

The major components of the CAPA include the use of Tier 1 and Tier 2 evaluations conducted in accordance with TACO, institutional controls and the application of sulfate solution.

b. The scope of the problems to be addressed by the proposed corrective action

Soil and groundwater BTEX and PNA concentrations above Tier 1, Class I ROs were encountered at the site.

c. The schedule for implementation and completion of the plan

Nine sulfate applications were completed at the site between July 9, 2005 and February 8, 2008. Based on groundwater analytical results, sulfate applications are complete. Please refer to section E.3 of this report for details. A groundwater ordinance (GWO) will be used to address residual groundwater concentrations above Tier 1 ROs for the site, three properties west of the site, and one property north of the site. A Highway Authority Agreement (HAA) with the Illinois Department of Transportation (IOOT) for Dirksen .Parkway and Sangamon Avenue was secured on October 30, 2002 to address groundwater concentrations above Tier 1 ROs. A Corrective Action Completion Report (CACR) will be submitted to the !EPA upon execution of the GWO and the Property Owner Summary (POS) Form.

2. Identification of the remediation objectives proposed for the site

The COCs at the site include BTEX and PNAs based on an unleaded gasoline and used/fuel oil release. As a result, soil and groundwater concentrations we're compared to the most stringent Tier 1 ROs for BTEX and PNAs. Following remedial activities, TACO guidelines were used to develop Tier 2 ROsaspresented in section E.13.

3. A description of the remedial technologies selected

Sulfate solution was used as the remedial technology to address unstable groundwater BTEX concentrations at the site. The sulfate applications are summarized below.

- The initial sulfate application was performed on July 9, 2005. The sulfate application consisted of the following:
  - 1,721 gallons of Epsom salt solution (140,000 mg/1 sulfate) were applied to IW-1 through IW-7
- The second sulfate application occurred on October 17 and 18, 2005 and consisted of the following:
  - o 2,723 gallons of Epsom salt solution (140,000 mg/! sulfate) were applied to !W-1 through IW-7
- The third sulfate application occurred on July 5, 2007 and consisted of the following:

- o 1,276 gallons of Epsom salt solution (75,000 mg/1 sulfate) were applied to  $IW\cdot 1$  through  $1W\cdot 7$
- o 300 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to three onsite application wells
- A dry sulfate pplication was performed on August 22, 2007. In addition, 30.10.10 Miracle Gro® was applied as a biological nutrient to enhance sulfate reducing conditions. The sulfate application consisted of the following:
  - 150 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to IW-2, IW-4, IW-5, and IW-7 (15 lbs sulfate per well)
  - 200 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to IW-1, IW-3, and IW-6 (26 lbs sulfate per well)
  - o 35 lbs of 30-10.10 Miracle-Gro® was applied to IW-1, IW-2, IW-3, IW-4, IW-5, IW-6, and IW-7 (51bs Miracle-Gro® per well)
- A dry sulfate application was performed on September 27, 2007. The sulfate application consisted of the following:
  - o 250 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to IW-4, IW-5, IW-6, and IW-7 (25 lbs sulfate per well)
  - o . 225 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to JW-3 (90 lbs sulfate)
  - o .237.5 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to IW-2 (951bs sulfate)
  - o 262.5 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to IW-1 (105lbs sulfate)
- A dry sulfate application was performed on October 31, 2007. The sulfate application consisted of the following:
  - o 50 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to IW-3 (20 lbs sulfate)
  - o 162.5 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to IW-4 (651bs sulfate)
  - o 187.5 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to IW-5 (75 lbs sulfate)
  - o 200 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to JW-2 (80 lbs sulfate)
  - 225 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to IW-1, IW-6, and IW-7 (30 lbs sulfate per well)
- A dry sulfate application was performed on December 5, 2007. The sulfate application consisted of the following:
  - o 2251bs of Epsom crystals applied to JW-6 and IW-7 (451bs sulfate per well)
  - 200 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to IW-1, IW-2, IW-4, and IW-5 (20 lbs sulfate per well)
  - o 350 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to IW-3 (140 lbs sulfate)
- A dry sulfate application was performed on January 11, 2008. The sulfate application consisted of the following:
  - o 225 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to IW-4 (90 lbs sulfate)
  - o 250 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to IW-2, IW-3, IW-5, IW-6, and IW-7 (20 lbs sulfate per well)
  - o 275 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to JW-1 (110 lbs sulfate)

- A dry sulfate application was performed on February 8, 2008. In addition, 30-10-10 Miracle-Gro® was applied as a biological nutrient to enhance sulfate reducing conditions. The sulfate application consisted of the following:
  - 100 lbs of Epsom crystals was applied to IW-1, IW-4, IW-5, and IW-7 (10 lbs sulfate per well)
  - o 200 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to IW-2 and IW-3 (40 lbs sulfate per well)
  - o 250 lbs of Epsom crystals applied to IW-6 (100 lbs sulfate)
  - 35 lbs of 30-10-10 Miracle-Gro® was applied to IW-1, JW-2, IW-3, IW-4, IW-5, IW-6, and IW-7 (5 Jbs Miracle-Gro® per well)

A total of approximately 24,350 lbs of Epsom crystals (9,740 Jbs sulfate) were applied to the site over the nine sulfate application events in either a solid or solution form.

a. The feasibility of implementing the remedial technologies

Please refer to the CAP dated June 11, 2004.

b. Whether the remedial technologies will perform satisfactorily and reliably until the remediation objectives are achieve

Results of the sulfate applications indicate overall plume stability. Residual BTEX and PNA concentrations were addressed in the exposure pathway evaluation presented in section E.13.

c. A schedule of when the technologies are expected to achieve the applicable remediation objectives

The final sulfate application was conducted on February 8, 2008. Residual • BTEX and PNA concentrations are addressed through the exposure pathway evaluation presented in section E.13.

4. A confirmation sampling plan that describes how the effectiveness of the corrective action activities will be monitored during their implementation and after completion

Quarterly groundwater sampling events were conducted from March 1989 through March 2009. Sulfate applications were conducted from July 2005 through February 2008. The last ten quarterly groundwater monitoring events were used to evaluate th effectiveness of the sulfate applications. Monitoring wells MW-21R, MW-24, MW-25, MW-26, MW-31, MW-33, and MW-35 were selected for this evaluation since BTEX concentrations in these wells were above Tier 1, Class I ROs (Table 1). Benzene concentrations were evaluated and are presented in Appendix D. All monitoring wells except MW-21R and MW-35 indicated stable/decreasing benzene concentration trends. Although MW-21R indicated an increasing trend in the last sampling events, an overall decrease in benzene concentrations occurred from June 12, 2008 (0.646 mg/1) to March 18, 2009 (0.51 mg/1). MW 35 also indicated an increase in benzene concentrations in the last ten sampling events; however, an overall decrease in benzene concentrations occurred from 18, 2009 (1.51 mg/1). Based on results of the benzene evaluation, the highest historical concentrations from MW-21R

and MMM35 will be used in the Tier 2 evaluation in conjunction with a GWO. The most recent groundwater concentrations from the monitoring wells indicating decreasing benzene trends (MW-24, MW-25, MW-26, MW-31 and MW-33) will be used in the Tier 2 evaluation in conjunction with a GWO.

5. A description of the current and projected future use of the site

Use of the site property is anticipated to be commercial for the foreseeable future.

6. A description of engineered barriers or institutional controls that will be relied upon to achieve remediation objectives:

Based on the exposure pathway evaluations presented in section E.13, the following  $\cdot$  institutional controls are proposed for the site (Figure 3).

- Record the Nb Further Remediation (NFR) letter to the deed of the property specifying that the groundwater beneath the site shall not be used as a potable water supply in accordance with the proposed groundwater ordinance.
- A HM with IDOT for Dirksen Parkway and Sangamon Avenue to address groundwater conditions beneath the roadway was submitted to the IEPA on October 30, 2002 (Figure 3).
- A groundwater ordinance prohibiting the use of groundwater as a potable water supply is proposed for the following properties:
  - o PIN 14-13-401-015, site
  - o PIN 14-13-401-020, 1st property north of site
  - o PIN 14-13-401-009, 1st property northwest of site
  - o PIN14--13-401-010, 1st property southwest of site
  - o PIN14-13-401-039, 2nd property west of site

In addition, any soil and/or groundwater with residual petroleum constituents removed, excavated, or disturbed from the site, will be handled in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations.

a. an assessment of their long-term reliability

The **HM** and GWO will be associated with the NFR letter, which will be recorded to the deed of the site property to ensure maintenance of these institutional controls.

b. operating and maintenance plans

Not applicable.

c. maps showing area covered by barriers and institutional controls

Refer to Figure 3 for the institutional controls.

#### 7. The water supply well survey:

a. Map(s) showing locations of community water supply wells and other potable wells and the setback zone for each well

Stantec Consulting Corporation (Stantec) consulted the Illinois State Water Survey (ISWS) and the Illinois State Geological Survey (ISGS) databases to obtain information regarding water wells within 2,500 feet of the site. The ISGS and ISWS water well databases include information about private, community, and industrial/commercial wells that have been registered with the state. According to the ISWS and ISGS databases, fifteen wells are located within 2,500 feet of the site. The closest well is located approximately 460 feet south of the site. One well (Cargill Grain Corp) is shown to be approximately 130 feet southwest of the site. However, this well was only a test hole and never completed as a water supply well. In addition, three of the wells are listed as either monitoring wells or dry. As a result, no active private or public water supply wells are located within the minimum designated setback zones of 200 and 400 feet, respectively. Copies of the database searches are included in Appendix E. Additional water well information is provided in the CAP dated October 12, 1998.

Stantec also consulted the !EPA Source Water Assessment Program (SWAP) - database to identify water supply wells, as well as any wellhead protection areas, within a 2,500 foot radius of the site. The SWAP water well database includes information about private, community, and industrial water wells that have been registered with the state. According to the SWAP records, fifteen water supply wells are located within 2,500 feet of the site. These results are consistent with the ISGS and ISWS database searches above. In addition, the subject site is not located within any wellhead protection areas, wellhead maximum setback zones, or regulated recharge areas. The SWAP database output sheets are provided in Appendix E.

Mr. Jerry Dalsin, Private Water Program Manager for the Illinois Department of Public Health, was contacted regarding water wells located within 2,500 feet of the site. Mr. Dalsin stated that all information regarding water wells registered with the tate is available through the JSWS and IEPA SWAP databases (see above).

The Sangamon County Health Department was contacted regarding water wells located within 2,500 feet of the site. The Health Department stated that information regarding water wells registered with Sangamon County is available through the ISWS database (see above).

The City of Springfield Public Works Department was contacted for information regarding water wells located within 2,500 feet of the site. The City confirmed that properties within this area are supplied with municipal water. The City obtains water from Springfield Lake; which is approximately 5 miles south of the site.

b. Map(s} showing the regulated recharge areas and wellhead protection areas

Please refer to Appendix E.

c. Map{s) showing the current extent of the groundwater contamination exceeding the most stringent Tier 1 remediation objectives

Please refer to Figure 1 - Groundwater Assessment Map.

d. Map(s) showing the modeled extent of groundwater contamination exceeding the most stringent Tier 1 remediation objectives

Please refer to Figure 4.

e. Tables listing the setback zone for each community water supply well and other potable water supply wells

Please refer to the Appendix E.

f. A narrative identifying each entity contacted to Identify potable water supply wells, the name and title of each person contacted, and any field observations associated with any wells ide.ntified

Please refer to the section E.7.a of this report.

g. A certification from a licensed Professional Engineer or Licensed Professional Geologist that states the survey was conducted in accordance with the requirementsand that the documentation submitted includes information obtained as a result of the survey (certification of this plan satisfies this requirement)

Please refer to the Professional Geologist Certification in the Signatures section of this report.

- 8. Appendices
  - a. References and data sources report that are organized
    - 45-Day Report dated July 14, 1994
    - UST Closure Report dated May 14, 1996
    - Site Classification Completion Report February 27, 1997
    - CAP dated October 12, 1998
    - Response letter dated June 30, 1999
    - Carrective Action Completion Report (CACR) dated July 6, 1999
    - CAP dated June 11, 2004
    - Sulfate Status Report dated August 28, 2007

b. Field logs, well logs, and reports of laboratory analysis

Please refer to E.8.a and Appendix B of this report.

9. Site inap(s) meeting the requirements of 35 III. Adm. Code 732.11O(a) or 734.440 ·

Please refer to the references listed above and the Figures section of this CAPA.

10. Engineering design specifications, diagrams, schematics, calculations, manufacturer's specifications, etc.

14-21

Not applicable.

11. A description of bench/pilot studies

Please refer to the CAP dated June 11, 2004.

12. Cost comparison between proposed method of remediation and other methods of remediation

Not applicable.

- 13. For the proposed Tier 2 or Tier 3 remediation objectives, provide the following:
  - a. The equations used

Where soil and/or groundwater BTEX concentrations did not meet the applicable Tier 1, Class I RO(s), a Tier 2 evaluation was conducted to develop site-specific ROs at the site. A Tier 2 evaluation of the soil inhalation exposure pathway and the soil and groundwater components of the groundwater ingestion exposure pathways were conducted through the use of the following equations:

- Sail.Inhalation Equations S5, S6, and S7
- Goundwater Component of Groundwater Ingestion: Equation R26
- Sail Component of Groundwater Ingestion: Equations S28 and R26

Since soil concentrations were below Tier 1 soil ingestion ROs, no further evaluation of the soil ingestion exposure pathway is necessary.

b. A discussion of how input variables were determined

Input parameters were selected based on site-specific soil and groundwater characteristics as well as the nature and extent of their conditions. TACO values were also used in the Tier 2 equations. Refer to section E.13.d for a detailed discussion.

#### HVdraulic ConductivitY.

Stantec proposes to use the geometric mean of hydraulic conductivity values calculated at the site for S28 and R26 modeling purposes. Slug tests were

BPSS#5161

LUST Incident Nos.941260 & 960236

performed on June 23, 2005 at monitoring wells MW-24 (2.446 x 10<sup>4</sup>) centimeters per second; cm/s); MW-26 (5.104 x 10-4 cm/s); and MW-32 (3.877 x 10<sup>4</sup> cm/s). The geometric mean of the hydraulic conductivities is 3.644 x 104 cm/s. Copies of the hydraulic conductivity tests are included in Appendix F.

19-22

Monitoring wells MW-24 and MW-26 are located along the perimeters of the site: around the former source area. Monitoring well MW-32 is located offsite, to the west. Monitoring wells MW-24 and MW-26 were installed at 13.5 feet bgs and MW-32 was installed at 13 feet bgs. The three wells were constructed with 10 foot screens from approximately 3 to 13.5 feet bgs in MW-24 and MW-26; and from approximately 3 to 13 feet in MW-32. Silty clay and sandy clay were encountered onsite and offsite.

The Domenico model, i.e. Equation R26, calls for a geometric mean of hydraulic conductivities from data gathered on the site under consideration. Using only one of the available data points, the so-called "most conservative value" is not likely to give a correct picture of the dispersion of the contaminant plume. The "most conservative value" would be the fastest hydraulic conductivity on site. As groundwater travels through the formation, it seeks the most porous and permeable route, however the rate of travel will be limited by the least permeable and porous media that is between the source and receptor. Therefore, the actual conductivity of the media is neither the fastest nor the slowest rate, but somewhere between the extremes. After confirming that the test method and conditions were uniform, taking the geometric mean of the test results gives equal weight to each data point, ensuring that all tests are equally represented in the final re ult. In the present case the wells have similar construction. They were all tested using the same method, one at a time, on the same day. Based on this information it is appropriate to apply all three slug tests in calculating the geometric mean hydraulic conductivity value of 3.644 x 10<sup>4</sup> cm/s for the site. Please refer to Appendix F for slug test data and Appendix B well boring logs/construction details. A Geologic Cross Section was included in the SCCR dated February 27, 1997.

#### Dry Bulk Density

A soil sample (SB-6 10-12) was collected on April1, 2004 and sent to Schleede Hampton Associates Inc for laborato-ry analysis of moisture, specific gravity, and bulk density via ASTM 2216, ASTM 854 and ASTM 2937, respectively. A copy of the analytical report is included in Appendix G. Data from the laboratory report was used to calculate dry bulk density using the following equation.

Pb (dry)= Pb (wet) / 1 + (% moisture)

The site specific dry bulk density is calculated to be 1.54 kilogram per liter (Kg/L).

Map(s) depicting distances used in the equations C.

Please refer to Figure 2B for the measured source length parallel to groundwater flow used in Equation S28. Please refer to Figure 1 for the source width perpendicular to groundwater flow used in Equation R26.

d. Calculations

#### Soil Inhalation Exposure Pathway Evaluation and Calculations

#### <u>Tier 1 Evaluation</u>

Soil samples collected at the site were compared to Tier 1 soil inhalation ROs for BTEX and naphthalene. Note that soil samples ESM01, MVM24, MVM25, SB-03, and SB-04 were replaced with SB-12, SBM10, SB-8, SB-11, and SB-10, respectively. Soil samples ES-01, MW-24, MW-25, SB-03, and SB-04 will not be evaluated further. The following samples had constituent concentrations above the Tier 1 soil inhalation ROs.

- Benzene Residential: Gas UST Area #1 South Wall (6), Gas UST Area #1 West Wall North Half (6), Gas UST Area #2 North Wall (6), Gas UST Area #2 South Wall (6), Gas UST Area #2 East Wall (6), FH01 (46), FHM02 (46), FH05 (2-4), SB(6) (2-4)
- Benzene Commercial: Gas UST Area #1 South Wall (6), Gas UST Area #2 North Wall (6), Gas UST Area #2 South Wall (6), FH01 (46), FH02 (46), FH05 (2-4)
- Benzene Construction Worker: Gas UST Area #1 South Wall (6), Gas UST Area #2 North Wall (6), Gas UST Area #2 South Wall (6), FH02 (46), FH05 (2-4)
- Toluene Construction Worker: Ges UST Area #2 South Well (6)
- Total Xylenes Construction Worker: Gas UST Area #1 North Wall East Half (6), Gas UST Area #1 South Wall (6), Gas UST Area #1 West Wall North Half (6), Gas UST Area #1 East Wall (6), Gas UST Area #1 West Wall South Half (6), Gas UST Area #2 North Wall (6), Gas UST Area #2 South Wall (6), Gas UST Area #2 East Wall (6), Gas UST Area #2 West Wall (6), PH-01 (4-6), PH-02 (4-6), PH-05 (2-4), SB-06 (2-4)

#### Tier 2 Evaluation

In order to address the soil concentrations above Tier 1 ROs, Equations S5, S6, and S-7 from 35 IAC 742 were used to calculate site-specific Tier 2 ROs for the soil inhalation exposure pathway for benzene, toluene, and total xylenes.. The site-specific Tier 2 ROs are listed below.

PARAMETERS USED IN THE SOLUTION OF EQUATIONS \$5, \$6 and \$7			
Parameter	Value	Description	
Averaging Time for Carcinogens (ATe)	70yr	TACO value	
Depth of Source (ds)	1.52 meters (5 feet)	Vertical thickness of soil conditions based .on average depth to groundwater	

Corrective Action Plan Addendum BP SS# 5167 LUST Incident Nos. 941260 & 960236

PARAMETERS USED IN THE SOLUTION OF EQUATIONS 85, S6 and S7			
Parameter	Value	Description	
Exposure Duration for Inhalation (ED)	residential = 30 yr commercial= 25 yr canst. worker = 1 ½	TACO value	
Exposure Frequency (EF)	residential = 350 d/yr commercial = 250 dy/yr canst. worker <sup>=</sup> 30 dy/yr	TACO value	
Dry Soil Bulk Density_(Pb)	1.54 kg/L	Site specific (Appendix G)	
Q/C	97.78 <i>glmL-s</i> per kg/m<	TACO value for 0.5 acre source	
Exposure Interval for Mass Limit · Volatilization Factor(TM-d	30 yr	TACO value	
Target Cancer Risk (TR)	10-o	TACO value	
Inhalation Unit Risk Factor (URF}	benzene= 7.8x10-e (mg/m $^{3}$ $\Gamma^{1}$	!EPA (IRIS/HEAST)	
Averaging Time for Noncarcinogens (AT)	constr. worker <sup>=</sup> 0.115 yr	TACO Value	
Inhalation Reference Concentration (RfC)	xylenes $= 1 \times 10^{-1} \text{ mg/m}^3$ toluene= $4 \times 10^{11} \text{ mg/m}^3$	IEPA (IRJS/HEAST)	
Target Hazard Quotient (THQ)	1	TACO value	

19-24

Result	Value
Residential Inhalation RO for benzene	12.31 mg/ls_g_
Commercial Inhalation RO for benzene	20.69 mg/kg
Construction Worker Inhalation RO for benzene	431.02 mg/kg
Construction Worker Inhalation RO for toluene	650 m_g/kg*
Construction Worker Inhalation RO for total	320 mg/kg*
xylenes	

\*The calculated RO for the constituent exceeded the constituent's sotIsaturation limit (Csat). Therefore, Csat was given as the RO.

Based on the results of the calculations, no soil samples were above the calculated Tier 2 ROs for benzene, toluene, or total xylenes. Further evaluation of the soil inhalation pathway is not required. Calculation spreadsheets are included in Appendix H, and !EPA input parameter forms are provided in Appendix I.

#### <u>Groundwater Component of the Groundwater Ingestion Exposure Pathway</u> <u>Evaluation and Calculations</u>

#### Tier 1 Evaluation:

The most recent groundwater samples collected at the site on March 18, 2009 (MW-21R, MW-24, MW-25, MW-26, MW-27, MW-28, MW-31, MW-32, MW-33, MW-35), Mary 24, 2006 (MW-19 and MW-20), and December 18, 2008 (MW-30) were compated to Tier 1, Class I GROs for BTEX and naphthalene. The following samples indicated concentrations above the Tier 1, Class I groundwater RO for the listed constituent.

- Benzene: MW-21R, MW-24, MW-25, MW-26, MW-31, MW-33, MW-35
- Ethylbenzene: MW-21R, MW-24, MW-25, MW-26, MW-35
- Naphthalene: MW-21R, MW-24, MW-25, MW-26, MW-35

#### Tier 2 Evaluation

Using EqLlation R26, the extent of groundwater conditions was predicted for groundwater concentrations above Tier 1, Class I groundwater ROs.

The following parameters were used in calculating Equation R26:

\\Vi·(':::::;'\: ,_'.}i :U?ARAMETERs:;:o.St;PJN.;;tHe;:so·cuTION.JD.F/i;QUATION·j 26, !i:; i,;\' 7\\?i,?/:'.;i.i;;} :<=;,_:''':"Piirame"te:r.:::;<==,': :::=>:- ?it;; ?t\.\'.>viilu.e' ?; <il )!:ia\\\\:;-="">;+n\;:ti: h':'.&lt; ({"i'ttl.e\$.'8 riP.:tlh.ii/\:'\ {'X`::)::,'\ '.('.\</il>					
Source Area Concentration (Cs)	Benzene MW-21R - 1.1 mg/L* MW-24 - 1.65 mg/L MW-25-0.2 mg/L MW-26 - 5.65 mg/L MW-31-0.0091 mg/L MW-33 - 0.062 mg/L MW-35 - 4.86 mg/L* Ethylbenzene: MW-21R - 1.2 mg/L* MW-24 - 1.35 mg/L MW-25 - 0.842 mg/L MW-25 - 0.842 mg/L MW-35 - 2 mg/L* Naphthalene: MV-21R-0.179 mg/L* MV-24 - 0.364 mg/L MW-25 - 0.226 mg/L MW-26 - 0.295 mg/L MW-35 - 0.548mg/L*	Concentrations above Tier I, Class I ROs			
First Order Degradation Constant (A)	Benzene 0.0009/day Ethylbenzene 0.003/day Naphthalene 0.0027/day	TACO default value			
Aquifer Hydraulic Conductivity (K)	31.484 em/day 3.644 x 10- <sup>4</sup> em/sec)	Geometric Mean conductivity of MW-24, MW-26, and MW-32			

	IET.ER.s.;uHeo,N.IPHE.igal=ur.Jo.N.'hF::e…	0°U:AT:LONR 2.61-1"1			
;::::;}\: .=,:::=;P.a:rimeter:Fi-\H	;:::;}\: =,:::=;P.a:rimeter:Fi-/H V:;;;; \=.=.;;(:'Xi :::;vil'lu :t. · ;:;rt <ri;i.t: :<="" ;p,;;o:e's="" ?):n="" sh'i.itio'rixt="" t(yi:"::i\i'l\="" t.\+="" t?.t?.;;;':::="" td="" ·,;;.;;=""></ri;i.t:>				
H draulic dradient (i)	0 <sup>.</sup> 0062 <sup>em/em</sup>	Based on groundwater elevation measurements from MW-21R, MW-24, and MW-26 gauged on March 18, 2009			
Total Soil Porosity_ (8r}	0.44 cm <sup>3</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup>	Site specific via laboratory analysis			
Source Width (Horizontal) (Sw)	3511.3 em {378 feet)	The distance across the estimated extent of groundwater conditions perpendicular to groundwater flow measured between two clean points (MW-20 and MW-23)			
Source Width {Vertical) (Sd)	199.95 em (6.56 feet)	Default value for the mixing zone thickness			

19-210

Highest historical concentration was evaluated (refer to Section E.4)

The solution of Equation R26 produced the following results:

::', ;{:''.m *" :: Mm:( : :} &\ g;Jm &s \ i:J. :<'VL \;::(::;::;".';'.':::::::::::::::::::::::::::	
Predicted distance from source points at	. Benzene
which groundwater concentrations will	MW-21R -107.75 feet
meet Tier 1, Class I groundwater ROs	MWw24 – 117.45 feet
	MW-25-69.9 feet
	MW-26 - 148.6 feet
	MW-31-10.25 feet
	MWw33- 46.75 feet
	MW-35-144.65 feet
	Ethylbenzene
	MW''21R-2.759 feet
	MW-24 – 3.399 feet
	MW-25-0.91 feet
	MW-26 – 6.27 feet
	MW-355.63 feet
	Naphthalene: MW-
	21R -1.34 feet MW-
	24 – 5.65 feet
	MVW25-2.7 feet
	MW-26 – 4.3 feet
	MW-35-8.38 feet

Based on the results of the R26 calculation and a predominant southeasterly groundwater flow, the modeled extent of groundwater conditions extends off-site beneath the first property north of the site, the first two properties northwest and southwest of the site, Dirksen Parkway and Sangamon Avenue (Figure 4).

Groundwater conditions have been physically delineated to the north by MW-23 and MW-30; to the east by MW-29 and MW-22; to the west by MW-19, MW-20, MW-27, MW-28, and MW-32. Groundwater to the south has been delineated through R26 modeling in conjunction with the proposed GWO and HAA for Sangamon Avenue and Dirksen Parkway. Note that the historic high benzene concentrations from MW-21Rand MW-35 were used as conservative values in the R26 calculations. Calculation spreadsheets are provided in Appendix Hand IEPA input parameter sheets are located in Appendix I.

19-27

In order to address groundwater conditions beneath the site, the first property north of the site, and the first two properties northwest and southwest of the site and second property west of the site, a GWO prohibiting the use of groundwater as a potable water supply is prohibited. A H M with IDOT for Dirksen Parkway and Sangamon Avenue was secured on October 30, 2002 to address groundwater conditions to the east and south of the site. Based on approval and implementation of the GWO, further evaluation of the groundwater component of the groundwater ingestion exposure pathway is not required.

# Soil Component of the Groundwater Ingestion Exposure Pathway Evaluation and Calculations

#### Tier 1 Evaluation

Soil samples collected at the site were compared to Tier 1, Class I Soil Component SROs. The following samples had constituent concentrations above the Tier 1, Class I soil RO:

- Benzene Gas UST #1 Hoor (12), Gas UST #2 Hoor (12), Gas UST #3 Hoor (12), Gas UST Area #1 North Vall East Half (6'), Gas UST Area #1 North Vall East Half (6'), Gas UST Area #1 North Vall (6'), Gas UST Area #1 East Vall (6'), Gas UST Area #1 West Vall South Half (6'), Gas UST Area #1 West Vall North Half (6'), Gas UST Area #1 West Vall South Half (6'), Gas UST Area #1 West Vall North Half (6'), Gas UST #4 Floor (12'), Gas UST Area #2 North Vall (6<sup>1</sup>), Gas UST Area #2 South Vall (6'), Gas UST Area #2 East Vall (6'), Gas UST Area #2 West Vall (6'), Pump Island #2 (3'), Old Pump Island #1 (6'), Old Product Line #1 (3'), PH-01 (4-6'), PH-02 (4-6'), PH-05 (2 4'), SB-05 (2-4'), SB-06 (2-4'), SB-07 (0-2'), MW-35 (2-4')
- Toluene: Gas UST Area #2 North Wall (6'), Gas UST Area #2 South Wall (6'), Gas UST Area #2 East Wall (6'), PH-05 (2-4')
- Ethylbenzene: Gas UST Area #2 South Wall (6'), PH-02 (4-6'), PH-05 (2-4'), SB-06 (2-4')
- Total Xylenes: Gas UST Area #2 South Wall (6'), PH-05 (2-4')

#### Tier 2 Evaluation

In order to address soil concentrations above Tier 1, Class I ROs, equation S28 from 35 IAC 742 was used to calculate site-specific Tier 2 ROs for the soil component of the groundwater ingestion exposure pathway for BTEX. The site-specific Tier 2 ROs are listed below.

.

THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY AND	DINTHESOLUTION	OF EQUATION S28
Parameter		Description
-	Benzene 0.005 mg/L	
Tier 1, Class I groundwater RO	Toluene 1 mg/1 Ethylbenzene 0.7	TACO value
	mg/L	TAGE Value
	Total es 10	
,,,,,,,		The distance across the
Source Length Parallel to		estimated extent of soil
Groundwater Flow	123.1 meters (404	conditions parallel to
(L)	feet)	groundwater flow measured between two clean points
		(MW-22and MW-27)
Infiltration Rate for Equation		(10100-2224114 (0100-27)
S28	0.18 m/yr	TACO default
Infiltration Rate	0.3 m/yr	TACO default
		Approximate thickness of
Aquifer Thickness	3 meters	the portion of aquifer
(da)		evaluated at the site
Depth of Source		Vertical thickness of soil
(ds)	1.52 meters (5 feet)	conditions above the
Exposure Duration		roundwater table
	70 years	TACO default
Mixing Zone Depth	2 meters	TACO value
	2 1101015	
- Dry Soil_		(Appendix G)
Benzene	mg/kg	
Gas UST #1 Floor	0.29	
Gas UST #2 Floor Gas UST #3 Floor	0.14 0.18	
Gas UST Area #1 North Wall	<0.5	
East Half		
Gas UST Area #1 North Wall	0.15	
West Half		
Gas UST Area #1 South Wall	<2.5	Concentrations above
Gas UST Area #1 East Wall	<0.5	Tier I, Class I ROs
Gas UST Area #1 West Wall	0.5	
South Half Gas UST Area #1 West Wall	1.2	
North Half	1.4	
Gas UST #4 Floor	0.57	
Gas UST Area #2 North Wall	3.3	
Gas UST Area #2 South Wall	10	
Gas U.ST Area #2 East Wall	1.4	
Gas UST Area #2 West Wall	<0.5	

19-28

The design and the

 Corrective Action Phin Addendum BPSS# 5167 LUST Incident Nos.941260 & 960236

	Pump Island #2	<0.05	
i	Old Pump Island #1	<0.5	
	Old Product Line #1	<0.05	
	PH-01	1.84	
	. PH-02	7.01	
	PH-05	2.64	
	SB-05	<0.13	
	SB-06	1.5	
	SB-07	<0.13	
	MW-35	<0.13	
	Toluene		
	Gas UST Area #2 North Wall	31	
	Gas UST Area #2 South Wall	100	
	Gas UST Area #2 East Wall	13	
	PH-05	18.5	
	Ethylbenzene		
	Gas UST Area #2 South Wall	30	
	PH-02	24.8	
1	PH-05	36.3	
	SB-0.6	14	
	Total Xylenes		
	Gas UST Area #2 South Wall	180	
	PH-05	154	
-			

The solution of Equation S28 using the above parameters achieved the following results:

UATION S28 Result (mg/L)
Result (mg/L)
0.00269
0.0013
0.00167
0.00464
0.00139
0.02322
0.00464
0.00464
0.01115
0.00529
0.03065
0.09289
0.013
0.00464
0.00046
0.00464

1929

.

RE	ESULTS OF EQUATION S28
Sample Location	Result (mg/L)
Old Product Line #1	0.00046
PH-01	0.01709
PH-02	0.06512
PH-05	0.02452
SB-05	0.00121
SB-06	0.01393
SB-07	0.00121
MW-35	0.00121
Toluene	
Gas UST Area #2 North Wall	0.28796
Gas UST Area #2 South Wall	0.92889
Gas UST Area #2 East Wall	0.12076
PH-05	0.17184
Ethybenzene	
Gas UST Area #2 South Wall	0.27867
PH-02	0.23036
PH-05.	0.33719
SB-06	0.13004
TotalXylenes	
Gas UST Area #2 South Wall	1.672
PH-05	. 1.43049

Values in BOLD were determined to be above the !EPA Tier 1, Class I ROs

Calculated groundwater concentrations above the Tier 1, Class I ROs were then used as source concentrations in Equation R26 to estimate the extent of groundwater conditions from these source concentrations. Equation R26 was solved using the parameters given in the groundwater component of the groundwater ingestion exposure pathway presented previously.

The predicted extent of groundwater conditions was calculated as f\_ollows.

•';;j•;iJ'i-'.W;:' \WNmt?•\W; , :'.' ;N	1.\ :  ::    :S: [S   ; : ; &: , ::J .* S: f/ii .r i::\
•(_::-:N>:-'; '\):'N'_;;:-=R.e(iif•?::.\:;;:;;':';;;)\ /:t::• ;r	'::::-).'- Y?ViT::VFY:\\(C< !'::\YL\'(;:,:Jvaru:e/:t\::: !)/Yi:'';}t'i\\:YNit:;;\u-::::'r::\{;::;
Predicted distance from source at which groundwater concentrations will meet Tier 1, Class I groundwater ROs	Benzene Gas UST Area #1 South Wall (28.2') Gas UST Area #1 West Wall North Half (14') Gas UST #4 Floor (0.93') Gas UST Area #2 North Wall (33.5') Gas UST Area #2 South Wall (54.5') Gas UST Area #2 East Wall (61.15') PH-01 (22.25'). PH-02 (47.7') PH-05 (29.25') SB-06 (18.25')

Based on the results of Equations S28 and R26 and the Tier 1, Class I ROs for BTEX, the modeled extent of soil to groundwater migration, assuming a predominant

southeasterly groundwater flow, extends off-site beneath the site, but remains in the rights of way of Dirksen Parkway and Sangamon Avenue (Figure 4). Physical delineation of soil conditions has been completed to the north by SB-8, SB-9 and MW-23; to the east by MW"26, PH-3, and PH-4; to the south by SB-1, OSB-2, MW-21, and MW-22; and to the west by SP-10, PH-6, and SB-11. Soil analytical data are presented in Table 4 and Table 5, calculation spreadsheets are provided iAppendix Hand IEPA input parameter forms are included in Appendix I.

In order to address soil and groundwater conditions beneath the site, a GWO prohibiting the use of groundwater as a potable water supply is proposed. A HAA with IOOT for Dirksen Parkway and Sangamon Avenue was secured to address soil and groundwater conditions beneath the roadway to the east and south of the site (Figure 3). Based on approval and implementation of these institutional controls, further evaluation of the soil component of the groundwater ingestion exposure pathway is not required.

14. Provide documentation to demonstrate the following for alternative technologies:

Not applicable.

- a. The proposed alternative technology has a significant likelihood of successfully achieving compliance with all applicable regulations and remediation objectives
- b. The proposed alternative technology will not adversely affect human health and safety of the environment
- c. The owner or operator will obtain all Illinois EPA permits necessary to legally authorize use of alternative technology
- d. The owner operator will implement a program to monitor whether the requirements of subsection 14(a) have been met
- e. Within one year from the date of the Illinois EPA approval, the owner or operator will provide to the Illinois EPA monitoring program results establishing whether the proposed alternative technology will successfully achieve compliance with the requirements subsection 14(a)
- f. Demonstration that the cost of alternative technology will not exceed the cost of conventional technology as is not substantially higher than at least two other alternative technologies, if available and technically feasible

#### F. EXPOSURE PATHWAY EXCLUSION

1. A description of the tests to be performed in determining whether the following requirement will be met:

The soil samples collected at the site meet the requirements listed below.

- a. Attenuation capacity of the soil will not be exceeded for any of the organic contaminants
- b. Soil saturation limit will not be exceeded for any of the organic contaminants
- c. Contaminated soils do not exhibit any of the reactivity characteristics of hazardous waste per 35 III. Adm. Code 721.123
- d. Contaminated soils do not exhibit a pH S2.0 or 12.5

e. Contaminated soils which contain arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, or selenium (or their associated salts) do not exhibit any of the toxicity characteristics of hazardous waste per 35111. Adm. Code 721.124

101-32

2. A discussion of how many exposure pathways are to be excluded .

#### Sci/Ingestion Exposure Pathway

Since soil concentrations were below Tier 1 soil ingestion ROs, no further evaluation of the soil ingestion exposure pathway is necessary.

#### Soil Inhalation Exposure Pathway

Refer to section E.13.d for the Tier 1 and Tier 2 evaluations of the soil inhalation exposure pathway. B sed on the results of the calculations, no soil samples were above the calculated Tier 2 ROs for benzene, toluene, or total xylenes. Further evaluation of the soil inhalation pathway is not required.

#### Groundwater Component of the Groundwater Ingestion Exposure Pathway

Refer to section E.13.d for the Tier 1 and Tier 2 evaluations of the groundwater component of the groundwater ingestion exposure pathway. Based on the results of the R26 calculation and a predominant southeasterly groundwater flow, the modeled extent of groundwater conditions extends off-site beneath the first property north of the site, the first two properties northwest and southwest of the site, the second property west of the site, Dirksen Parkway and Sangamon Avenue (Figure 4). In order to address groundwater conditions beneath the site and adjacent properties, a GWO is proposed. A HAA for Dirksen Parkway and Sangamon Avenue was secured on October 30, 2002 to address groundwater conditions beneath the roadways east and south of the site (Figure 3). Based on approval and implementation of these institutional controls, further evaluation of the groundwater component of the groun water ingestion exposure pathway is not required.

#### Soil Component of the Groundwater Ingestion Exposure Pathway

Refer to section E.13.d for the Tier 1 and Tier 2 evaluations of the soil component of the groundwater ingestion exposure pathway. Based on the results of Equations 828 and R26, the modeled extent of soil to groundwater migration extends off-site beneath Dirksen Parkway and Sangamon Avenue (Figure 4). In order to address groundwater conditions beneath the site, a GWO is proposed. A HAA with IOOT for Dirksen Parkway and Sangamon Parkway was secured on October 30, 2002 to address groundwater conditions beneath the roadways east and south of the site (Figure 3). Based on approval and implementation of these institutional controls, further evaluation of the soil component of the groundwater ingestion exposure pathway is not required.

#### CONCLUSION

In accordance with the procedures outlined in 35 IAC 742, BP has completed a soil and groundwater evaluation of the site. Following !EPA approval of this CAPA and execution of the GWO and the POS Form, BP will request that LUST Incident Nos. 941260 and 960236 be closed and that a NFR status be issued for BP Service Station No. 5167. Institutional controls associated with Incident Nos. 941260 and 960236 are listed below.

- Record the NFR letter to the deed of the property specifying that the groundwater beneath the site shall not be used as a potable water supply in accordance with the proposed groundwater ordinance.
- A HAA with IDOT for Dirksen Parkway and Sarigamon Avenue to address groundwater conditions beneath the roadway was submitted to the IEPA on October 30, 2002 (Figure 3).
- A GWO prohibiting the use of groundwater as a potable water supply is proposed for the following properties:
  - o PIN 14-13-401-015, site
  - o PIN 14-13-401-020, 1st property north of site
  - o PIN 14-13-401-009, 1st property northwest of site
  - o PIN 14-13-401-010, 1st property southwest of site
  - o PIN 14-13-401-039, 2nd property west of site

In addition, any soil and/or groundwater with residual petroleum constituents removed, excavated, or disturbed from the site, will be handled in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations.

#### G. SIGNATURES

UST Owner or Operator

Consultant

Name:	BP Products North America, Inc.	Company:	Stantec Consulting Corporation
Contact:	Diane Diks	Contact	Luisa Price
Address:	150 West Warrenville Road,	Address	446 Eisenhower Lane North
	Mail Code 200-1E		
City:	Naperville	City:	Lombard
State:	Illinois	State:	Illinois
ZIP Code:	60563	Zip Code:	60148
Phone:	6307A20-5537 C. On be let	Phone:	630-792-1680
Signature:	P4-15-11-0V-15 SUL 11 214A A A 11/10	Anature:	d p
Date:	$\begin{array}{c} 330 + 7420 - 523 + 546 \\ \hline \\ 94 - 11 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 11 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 4 - 11 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 4 - 11 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 4 - 11 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 4 - 11 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 4 - 11 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 4 - 11 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 4 - 11 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 4 - 11 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 4 - 11 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 4 - 11 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 4 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 10 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 10 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 10 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 10 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 10 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 5 \sqrt{-6} \\ \hline \\ 8 - 4 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 $	'Date:	6/15/11
	414		

certify Under-p-anaJty 'OT it virthat 'all'activities that are the Subje-Ct oftnis plan Were conducted under my supervision or were conducted under the supervision of another Licensed Professional Engineer or Licensed Professional Geologists and reviewed my me; that this plan and all attachments were prepared under my supervision; that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the work described in this plan has been completed in accordance to the Environmental Protection Act [415 ILCS 5], 35 III Adm. Code 731, 732, or 734, and generally accepted standards and practices of my profession; and that the information presented is accurate and complete. I am aware there are significant penalties for submitting false statements or representations to the Illinois EPA, including but not limited to fines, imprisonment, or both as provided in Section 44 and 57.17 of the Environmental Protection Act [415 ILCS 5/44 and 57.17].

Licensed Professi	ional Engineer or Geologist	(P.E.or LP.G. Seal
Name:	Christopher B. Kocka, P.G.	
Company:	Stantec Consulting Corporation	
Title: Address:	Associate Geologist 446 Eisenhower Lane North Lombard, Illinois 60148	
Phone:	(630) 792-1680	CHARLESTOPHENBROCK
IL Registration No. License Exp. Date		19 ALINOIS

Signature:

---4

Ξ

### TABLES

Corrective Action Plan Addendum IEMA Incident Nos.941260 & 960236

BP Service Station #5167 2201 North 31st Street (Dirksen Parkway) Springfield, Sangamon County, Illinois

#### TABLE! GROUNO\YATER BTEX ANAL\'TICAL RESULTS BP SERVICE STATION NO.05167 2201 NORTH 3111 STREET SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

SAMPLE ID	DATE	BENZE	NE :	TOLU	ENE	ETH BENZI		TOTA XYLEN	L. ES	\$04	Mg	B
ROUNDWATER	GLASSI	0.00	5			0.7	101-01-0	10		NR	NR	I.N
REMIEDIATION	HEAD CONTRACTOR STATES	0.02		25			SHORE CO	10	9484 15 M	NR	NR	N
OBJECTIVES	CLASS II	Liberral and a second second second	<u>ير ا</u>	A REAR SPORTS AND AND	1,2		1,2	10.000		and the second second second	a belowers com	
OW-01	12/02/93 05/12/94	> 60 17.3	12	> 60	1,2	> 60	1,2	> 60	1,1 1,2	NA	NA	N
	09/10/96	20.5	1,2	22.8	1,2	3.74	1,2	12.7	12	NA NA	NA NA	N. N.
	Destroyed	20.5		20.1		5.14		10.5		NA	NA	N.
OW-02	03/28/89	46	1,2	122	1,2	30	1,2	143	<u> 5</u>	NA	NA	N.
0.1.02	08/16/89	12	1,2	17	1,2	7.8	1,2	24	1,2	NA	NA	N
	12/02/93	27.9	1,2	39.7	1,2	1	1	20.4	12	NA	NA	N
1	05/12/94	19.7	<b>1</b> ,2	30	1,2	2.02	1,2	13.1	1,2	NA.	NA	N
	09/10/96	19.7	1,2	14.1	1,2	2.66	1,2	11.7	1,2	NA	NA	N
	Destroyed								<u> </u>	NA	NA	N
0\V-03	03/28/89	18	1,1	24	1,2	8.4	1,2	29	3,2	NA	NA	N
	08/16/89	12	1,2	9.1	1,2	1.9	1,2	8.9		NA	NA	N.
	12/02/93	25.4	1,2 1,2	26.4	12 12	2.73	1,2 1,2	14.3	1,2	NA	NA	N
	05/12/94	25.1	1,2	24.8	1,2	2.14	t,2	11.2	1,2 1,2	NA	NA	N.
	09/10/96	22.7		25,2		3,5		17.2		NA	NA	N
MW-04	Destroyed 03/28/89	9.3		13		3.9	1,2	18	1,2	NA NA	NA NA	N.
14/11-04	08/16/89	8.7	12	7.7	1,2	4.5	1,2	16	1,2	NA	NA	N N
	12/02/93	13	12	0.859		< 0,5		1.16		NA	NA	N
	05/12/94	13.3	1,2	2,14	1	0.285		1.19		NA	NA	N
	09/10/96	11.6	1,2	0.23		1,06	12	1.22		NA	NA	N
	Destroyed	_								NA	NA	N
MW-05	12/02/93	1.54	1,2	0.126		< 0,2		3,64		NA	NA	N
	05/12/94	1.74	12	< 0,5.		0,479		2.87		NA	NA	N/
	09/10/96	2.49	5,2	0,11		2.05	1,2	6.39		NA	NA	N/
MW-06	Destroyed				<del></del>				_	NA	NA	N
W W-00	03/28/89	6	1,2 · 1,2	8.6	1,2	2,7	12	12	12	NA	NA	N
	08/16/89	4.6	12	3.1 0.61		1.3	12	6.5		NA	NA	N/
	12/02/93 05/12/94	5.57 2.77	1,2	0.388		2.12 0.661		6.99 5.25		NA NA	NA NA	N/
1	09/10/96	3,16	12	0.388		2,58	1,2	5.31		NA	NA	N/ N/
•	Destroyed	2,10		0.24	ľ	2,30		5.51	ł	NA	NA	N/
"f1W-07	12/02/93	9.36	1,2	1.07		1.23	12	9.26	+	NA	NA	N/
	05/12/94	4,99	1,2	1.07	ľ	0.417		7.11		NA	NA	N/
	Destroyed	•			l,	L				NA	NA	N
1\1\V-08	08/16/89	2.6	1,2	6,7	1,2	4.7	12	9	-	NA	NA	N
	12/02/93	0.748	1,2	0.202	-	2.03	12	5.38		NA	NA	NA
1	05/12/94	0,794	1,2	0.238		2,18	(2)	7.64		NA	NA	NA
OW-15	Destroyed									NA	NA	NA
000-10	05/03/89	11	12 12	21	1,2	6.5	12 12	45	12	NA	NA	NA
	08/16/89	1.6	12	0.31		1.1	۳ I	4.5		NA	NA	NA
	12/02/93	1.82 1.65	1.2	0.298		0.643		4.7		NA	NA	N/
1	05/12/94 Destroyed	1.02	<u> </u>	0.247		0.611	- 1	6.68		NA NA	NA NA	·NA NA
OW-16	05/03/89	11	1,2	20	1,2	13		42	-12[-	NA	NA	N/ N/
	12/02/93	2.49	12	1.53	1	1.54	12		12	NA	NA	N/
	05/12/94	2.67	1,2	1.78	1	1.42	5,2		1,2	NA	NA	NA
	09/10/96	1.05	1,2	0.512		2,3	<b>5</b> 2	7.7		NA	NA	NA
•	Destroyed	•	(				[			NA	NA	NA
OW-17	12/02/93	7.12	1,2	0.897		0,232		7.29	1	NA	NA	NA
1	05/12/94	3.82	1,2	0.634		- 1.21	1,2	5.17		NA	NA	NA
	09/10/96	9.91	1,2	1.9	1	3,31	12	12.2	1,2	NA	NA	NA
	Destroyed									NA	NA	NA
OW-18	05/03/89	22	12	65	12	NA	12 1	***	12	NA	NA	NA NA
[	12/02/93	7,58	1,2 ] 1,1	1.91	1	0.367	1.1		12	NA	NA.	NA
1	09/10/96	6.08		1,6	•	3		13.4	1,2	NA	NA	NA

 Destroyed

 1 RAbove Class I Remediation Objective

 2 Above ClassII Remediation Objective

R below laboratory detection limit

Results In milligrams per liter (mg/1) • This site has been evaluated based on Class I Remediation Objectives

NA=Not Analyzed ·

NR R No Remediation Objective

19-36

ţ
# TABLE I GROUNDWATER DTEX ANALVTICAL RESUL'IS DP SERVICE STATION NO.05167 2201 NORTH Ji" STREET SPIUNGFIELD, ILLINOIS

Transfer and the second	Hestikasista	sa kananananan	WASEN	91 992 91 992	SERIES REAL			antes.			0 (658580)	
SAMPLEID	DATE	BENZI	INE		TOLUENE		ETHY BENZE		TOTAL XYLENE	S SO4i	Mg	Br
GROUNDWATER	CLASSIN	1	<b>1</b> 0.00		1.5		0.7		10	NR	NR	NR
REMEDIATION OBJECTIVES	CLASS)II	0.02	<b>1</b> .000		2:5		1		10	NR	NR	NR
MW-19	11120/97	0,0012	100000000		0.001	<u>use</u> T		0400000	< 0.002	T NA	5 <u>609</u> 826000	NA
	03/30/99	< 0.002		<	0.002	<	0.002		< 0.005	NA	NA	NA
	10/04/01	< 0.001		<	0.001 0.001	< <	0.001.		< 0,003	NA	NA	NA
	05/16/02 08/07/02	< 0.001 < 0.001		< <	0.001	2	0.001 0.001		< 0.003 < 0.003	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	11/05/02	< 0.001		<	0.001	<	0.001		< 0.003	NA	NA	NA
	07/09/03	< 0.001		<	0.001	<	0.001		< 0,003	NA	NA	NA
	10/16/03 01/15/04	< 0.001		< <	0.001	< <	0.001		< 0,003 < 0.003	NA	NA	NA
	01/13/04	< 0.001 < 0,005		<	0.001 0.005	<	0.001 0.005		< 0.005	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	08/04/04	< 0.001		<	0.001	<	0.001		< 0,003	NA	NA	NA
	11/04/04	< 0.001		<	0.001	<	0,001		< 0,003	NA	NA	NA
	03/16/05 06/23/05	< 0.001 ·< 0.001		< <	0.001 0.001		0.001 0,001		< 0.003 0,003	46 77.4	NA 44	NA
	09/22/05	< 0.001		<	0.001		0,001		0,003	69.9	31.6	NA NA
	12/20/05	< 0.001		1	0.001		0.001		0.003	95	42.4	NA
	05/24/06			ļ					0.003		68	<u>NA</u>
MW-20	· 03/30/99	<		<		1			< 0.005	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	10/04/01	<		<					< 0.003	NA NA	NA	NA NA
	05/16/02	<		<		l			< 0.003	NA	NA	NA
	08/07/02	<		<					< 0.003 < 0.003	NA	NA	NA
	01/15/04 04/07/04	< <		< <					< 0.003	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
·	08/04/04	<		<					< 0.003	NA	NA	NA
	03/16/05			<					< 0.003	71.1	NA	NA
	06/23/05 09/22/05								< 0.003 < 0.003	88.4	115	NA
	12/20/05								< 0.003	89.3 91.1	57 37.1	NA NA
	05/24/06	< 0.001		<	0.001	<	0.001		< .0.003	104	254	NA
MW-21R	08/07/02	0.64 1.1	1,2		0.095 0.16		<b>0.62</b> 0.43		<b>1</b> 1.9.	NA NA	.NA	NA NA
	11/04/02	0.69	1,2		0.18		1.1	I	1.9.	NA		NA
	04/07/03	1.1	1,2		0.24		1.2	I	2.4	NA		NA
	07/09/03	0.45	1,2 1,2		0.11		0.53		0.75	NA		NA
	10/16/03 01/16/04	0.86 0.81	1,2 1,2		0.12 0.18		0.94 1.3	1,2	1.3 2	NA NA		NA NA
	04/07/04	0.81	1,2		0.13		0.977		1.37	NA		NA
	08/04/04	0.31	1,2		0.047		0.3		0.28	NA		NA
	11/04/04	0.322	<b>I</b> 1,1		0.0587		0.546	1,1	0.461	NA		NA
	03/16/05 06/23/05	0.843 0.353	1,2		0.146 0.0635		1.28 0.382	-,.	1.8 0.388	. 87.4 < 2.5		NA NA
	09/22/05	0.389	1,2		0.0577		0.577		0.484	< 2.5		NA
	12/20/05	0.454	1,2		0,0934		0.647		0.804	< 2.5		NA
	05/24/06	0.326	1,1 T		0.0599		0.496		0.455	< 2.5		NA
	02/28/07 06/01/07	0.485 0.321	1,2		0.0778 0.0589		0.615 0.385		0.736 0.367	1.8 11.1		NA 2
	08/09/07	0.456	ļ		0.0806		0.674		0.514	< 2.5		2.1
,	10/17/07	0.381	1,2		0.0574		0.563		0.523	484	165	< 0.25
	01/30/08	0.388	1,2 1,2		0.0686		0.586	r	0.536	12.1	141	NA
·	06/12/08 09/16/08	0,646 0.575	r		0.116 0.0958		1.02 0.989		0.979 0.864	12.1 0.0138	141	NA
	12/18/08	0.413	1,2		0.0938		0.606		0.804	47.9	88.8 102	0,83 0.68
	03/18/09	0.51	1,2		0.085 ntilligrams per lite	L	0.721	1	0.594		NA	0.08 NA

I a Above Class I Remediation O bjecth e 2 a Above Class II Remediation O bjective <a below(aboratOI)'detection!!mit

 1.2
 0.085
 0.721
 1
 0.594
 NA

 Results ntilligrams per liter (mg/l)
 • This site has n evaluated based on Class I Remediation Objectives
 NA=Not Analyzed
 NR• No Remediation Objectin

7

1 ÷

### TARLE I GROUNDWATER DTEX ANALYTICAL RESULTS BP SERVICE STATION NO. 05167 2201 NOR'fH31'' STREET SPIUNGFIELD, ILLINOIS

SAMPLEID	DATE	BENZ	ENE		TOLUENE		ETHY BENZE		TOTAL XYLENE		SO4	Mg	Br
GROUNDWATER	GLASSIE	0.0	05		1	調整	07		10		NR	INR	INR
REMEDIATION OBJECTIVES	CLASSII	0.0	25		215		1		10	Ř.	NR	NR	NR
MW-22	11/20/97	< 0.00		<	0.001	1<	0.001		< 0.002	T	NA	NA	NA
1	03/30/99	1				<							NA
	10/04/01 05/16/02	[		< <		< <				1			NA
	03/10/02			<		<							NA NA
	11/05/02			<		<							NA
	04/07/03			<		<				1			NA
	07/09/03			<		<							NA
	01/16/04					1.						NA	NA
1012 00	04/07/04	< 0,00		≝	0.005	╨╧	0.005		< 0.005	╬		NA NID	NA
MW-23	11/20/97 03/30/99	< 0.001 < 0.002		<  <	0.001 0.002	<	0.001		<b>&lt; 0.002 &lt; 0.005</b>	ll.	NA	NA NA	NA NA
	10/04/01	< 0.001		$\left\  \mathbf{z} \right\ $	0.002	<			< 0.003			NA	NA
	05/16/02	< 0.001		<	0.001	<			< 0.003			NA	NA
	08/07/02	<		Ľ		<			< 0.003			NA	NA
	11/04/02	<				<			< 0.003			NA	NA
	04/07/03	<				<			< 0.003		ĺ	NA	NA
	07/09/03	< <				< <			< 0.003 < 0.003			NA	NA
	10/16/03 01/15/04	< 0.001		<	0.001	Ì<	0.001		< 0.003			NA NA	NA NA
	04/07/04	< 0.001			0.001		0.005		< 0.005			NA	
MW-24	03/30/99	1.1			0.082	1	0,000		<b>H</b>			NA	NA
	10/04/01	1.4			0.071		2.1		1.4			NA	NA
	05116/02	0.43	1.2		0.02	1	0.4	1.2	0.61			NA	NA
	08/07/02	1.2	1.2 1.2		0.084		1.5	1,2 1.2	1.6			NA	NA
	12/18/02 04/07/03	1.6 1.6	1.2		0.11 0.082		1.9 1.4	1.2	2.1 2			NA NA	NA.
	07/09/03	1.0	1.2		0.082		1.4	1.2	1.8		1	NA	NA
	10/16/03	1.6	1.2	İ I	0.066		1.7	1.2	1.5		NA	NA	NA
	01/16/04	1.9	1.2		0.067	-	1.7	1,2	1.9		NA	NA	NA
	04/07/04	0.843	1.2		0.0258	ł –	0.657		0.662	<	15	NA	NA
	08/04/04	2.1	1.2 1.2		0.083		1.8	1.2 1.2	1.7	<	2.2	NA	NA
	11/04/04 03/16/05	1.85 2.22	1.2		0.0618 0.0667		1.93 1.79	1.2 1,1	1.62 1.55	< <	2.5 2.5	NA 77.7	NA
	06/23/05	1.92	1.2		0.0825		1.79	1,2	1.65		2.5	70	NA NA
	09/22/05	L54	1,2		0.0536		1.88	1,2	0.872		438	149	NA
	12/20/05	1.97	1.2		0.0462		1.63	1,2	1.02		30	84.4	NA
	05/24/06	1.7	1,2		0.(>325		1.76	1,2	0.674		138	125	NA
	02/28/07	1.69	1).		0.0379	ļ	1.61	1,2	0.917	<	2.5	77.6	NA
	06/01/07	1.47	1.1 1,2		0.0366	l	1.52	1,2 1,2	0.487		47.4	113	1.2
	08/09/07 10/17/07	1.37 0.592	1,2 1,1		0.0288 0.0126		1.62 0.976	1,2	0.265 0.288		591 7430	179 NA	4.4
	01/30/08	1.38	1.1		0.0128	l	1.36	1,1	0.288		1340	392	0.43
	06/12/08	1.58	1.1		0.0275		1.50	1,2	0.346		1340	392	NA NA
	09/16/08	1.56	1,2		0.0307		1.35	1.2	OAI4		179	90.9	0.9
	12/18/08	1.24	1,2		0.0182	l	1.48	1.2	0.15		588	377	0.76
		1.65	1).	Ļ		Ļ_					NA	NA	NA
I & Abolve Class I Remed 2 Above Class II Reme			Kesuli	ts in r	nflligrams per lite has been evaluate	er (mg	ll) d on Clore	I D	adiation Ohi	+1-			
2 Above Class II Reme <=below labomtol }' dete					has been evaluate Analy.zed	u Dase			No Remediation				

### TABLE 1 GROUJ'\'DWATER BTEX ANALYTICAL RESULTS **BP SERVICE STATION NO.05167** 2201NORTH311<sup>1</sup>STREET SPIUNGFIELD, IL INOIS

SAMPLEID	DATE	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHVL BENZENE	TOTAL	SO4	Mg. Dr
GROUNDWATER	CLASSIC	0.005	1	0.7	10	NR	NR. NR.
REMEDIATION OBJECTIVES	CLASSII	0.025	215	1	-10	NR	NR NR
MW-25	03/30/99	2.3 12	0.23	1.7 1.7 <sup>1,2</sup>		NA	NA NA
	10/04/01 05/16/02	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 1.4 & {}^{1,2} \\ 1.7 & {}^{1.2} \end{array} $	0.2 0.29	1.7 $1.21.7$ $1.2$		NA NA	NA NA NA NA
	08/07/02	1.8 1.2	0.36	2.3 1.1		NA	NA NA
	11/04/02	1.3 <sup>1).</sup>	0.16	1.9 1.1		NA	NA NA
	04/07/03	1.7 $121 1 1.2$	0.24	1.7 <sup>1.2</sup>		NA	NA NA
	07/09/03 10/16/03	1.1 $1.21.6$ $1.2$	0.22 0.15	1.6 $1.21.9$ $1.2$	3.8	NA NA	NA NA NA NA
	01/16/04	1.0 1.2 <sup>1.2</sup>	0.13	1.9	3.9	NA	NA NA
	04/07/04	I.16 <sup>1.2</sup>	0.18	1.91 <sup>1J</sup>	4.24	< IS	NA NA
	08/04/04	1.1 1.2	0.22	1.9 1	4.5	NA	NA NA
	11/04/04	I.18 <sup>1.2</sup>	0.14	2.27 $1.2$	5.01	13	NA NA
	03/16/05 06/i3105	1.16 - 1.2 $1.08 ^{1,1}$	0.195 0.129	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1.78 & {}^{1.2} \\ 1.75 & {}^{1.2.} \\ \end{array}$	4.08 3.78	< 2.5 < 2.5	NA NA 146 NA
	09/22/05	0.79 <sup>1.2</sup>	0.142	2.3 <sup>1.2</sup>	4.1	4.3	47.9 NA
	12/20/05	0.51 <sup>1,2</sup>	0.0515	1.01 1.2	1.42	471	72.7 NA
	05/24/06	0.595 <sup>1.1</sup>	0.0377	1.32 <sup>1.2</sup>	0.896	229	132 NA
	02/28/07	0.291 <sup>1,1</sup> 0.254 <sup>1,2</sup>	0.0426	0.791	1.07	77.3	45.7 NA
	06/01107 08/09/07	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0.254 & {}^{1.2} \\ 0.318 & {}^{\prime\prime} \end{array}$	0.0161 0.0078	0,563 0.671	0.229 .0.058	193 !56	77 <b>&lt; 0.25</b> 78.6 <b>&lt; 0.25</b>
	10/17/07	0.435 <sup>1,1</sup>	0.0097	1.06 <sup>I).</sup>	0.621	24.8	75 < 0.25
	Ol/30/08	0.223 <sup>1,1</sup>	0.0288	0.849	0.963	195	61.8 NA
	06/12/08	0.103 <sup>1,1</sup>	0.0151	0.452	0.656	195	NA NA
	12/18/08	0.174 12 0 0 1	0.0287	0.719 0.842	0.752	11.3	801 < 0.25
MW-26	<u>03/18/09</u>	0.2 12	<u>0.0</u> 154	2		NA	NA NA NA NA
1111 20	10/04/01	13 1,1	6 <sup>1,1</sup>	2.8 1.2	10	NA	NA NA
	05/16/02	12 <sup>1,1</sup>	5.4 <sup>1).</sup>	1.6 <sup>1).</sup>	6	NA	NA NA
	08/07/02	$16$ $\frac{1,1}{8,5}$ $\frac{1,1}{1,1}$	2.6 <sup>1).</sup> 4 2 <sup>1,2</sup>	2.6 <sup>1).</sup> 1.7 <sup>1.2</sup>	12 6.2	NA	NA NA NA NA
	<i>11105/02</i> 04/07/03	$8.5$ $^{1,1}$ 10 $^{1,1}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 4.2 & {}^{1,2} \\ 4.1 & {}^{1,2} \end{array}$	1.7 $1.2$ $1.5$ $1.2$	6.2 6.4	NA NA	NA NA NA NA
	07/09/03	7 1,1	2.3 1	1.3 1.2	4.9	NA	NA NA
	10/16/03	5.2 <sup>1,1</sup>	l.1	1.3 <sup>1.1</sup>	3.9	NA	NA NA
	01116/04	2.6   1.2   6.66   1.2	0.15	0.29	0.66	NA	NA NA
	04/07/04 08/04/04	$\begin{array}{ccc} 6.66 & {}^{1.2} \\ 8.1 & {}^{1,2} \end{array}$	1.27 0.94	1.4 $1.21.8$ $1,2$		< 15 < 2.5	NA NA NA NA
	11/04/04	3.76 <sup>1.2</sup>	0.362	0.998		< 2.5	NA NA
	03/16/05	9.1 <sup>1.2</sup>	0.982	2.1 1.2		< 2.5	NA NA
	06123/05	7.04 <sup>1,2</sup>	1.01	1.81 <sup>1,2</sup>	6.56	3.1	105 NA
	09/22/05	8 <sup>1.2</sup> 8 55 <sup>1.2</sup>	0.677	2.19 <sup>1,1</sup> 1.71 <sup>1,2</sup>	3.77	1040	221 NA
	12/20/05 05124/06	$\begin{array}{ccc} 8.55 & {}^{1.2} \\ 7.65 & {}^{1.2} \end{array}$	0.376 0.0507	$1.71$ $^{1.2}$ $1.85$ $^{1,2}$	1.55 0.63	187 2770	142 NA 463 NA
	02/28/01	8.5 1.2	0.416	2.89 1,2	1.63	180	228 NA
	06/01107	6.89 <sup>1.2</sup>	0.429	2.23 1.1	1.46	415	360 4.2
	08/09/07	5.42 1.2	0.18	$1.58 \cdot 1.2$	0.599	30600	2420 < 0.25
	10/12/07 . 01/30/08	$\begin{array}{ccc} 6.35 & {}^{1.2} \\ 1.74 & {}^{1,2} \end{array}$	0.2 0.0817	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2.06 & {}^{1.2} \\ 1.08 & {}^{1.1} \end{array}$	1.33	3230	1230 8.2
	06/12/08	6.57 $1.2$	0.0817	2.78 <sup>1.2</sup>	1.38 0.459	6.6 6.6	210 NA 210 NA
	09/16/08	6.99 1.2	0.45	2.78 2.53 <sup>1.1</sup>	2.7	328	210 NA 248 4.9
	12/18/08	3.7 <sup>1,2</sup>	0.0326	1.94 <sup>1.1</sup>	0.0765	16.2	631 4.3
	03/1			2.23 1,2	0.206	NA	NA NA

1 a Abolve Class I Remediation Objectile

Results in milligrams per liter (mgll)

2 • Abol'e Class II Remediation O bjective <a below laboratory detection limit

NA=Not Analyzed

This site has be:n evaluated based on Class I Remediation Objectives
 NA=Not Analyzed NR=No Remediation Objective

19-39

i

### TABLE! GROUNDWATER BTEX ANALYTICAL RESULTS BP SERVICE STATTON NO. 05167 2201 NORTH 31>1 STREET SPRINGFIELD, ILLIN'OIS

SAMPLEID	DATE	BENZENE	TOLUEN	E ETHYL BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	<b>SO</b> 4	Mg	Br
GROUNDWATER	CLASSIN	0.005		0,7	10	NR	NR	INR
-REMEDIATION OBJECTIVES	CLASS IL	0.025	25	1	10	NŔ	NR	NR
MW-27	03/30/99	< 0.002	< 0,002	0.002	0.005	ringenningerier	19005810308	NA
	10/04/01		0.001	0.001	0.003			NA
	· 05/16/02		0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003			NA
	08/07/02		0.001	< 0.001	0.003		NA	NA
2	11/05/02 07/09/03		0.001	< 0.001 < 0.001	0.003	NA	-na na	NA NA
	10/16/03		0.001	< 0.001	0.003	NA	NA	NA
	01/15/04		< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	NA	NA	NA
	04/07/04		< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	490	NA	NA
	08/04/04		< 0.0001	< 0.001	< 0.003	277	NA	NA
	11/04/04		< 0,0001	< 0.001	< 0.003	278	NA	NA
	03/16/05		< 0.0001	< 0.001	< 0.003	425	84.7	NA
	<i>06123105</i> 09/22/05		< 0.0001 0.0001	< 0.001	< 0,003	296	79.8	NA
	12/20/05		0.0001	< 0.001 < 0.001	< 0.003 < 0.003	205 387	59.9 15.6	NA NA
	05/24/06		0.0001	< 0.001	< 0.003	387	134	NA
	02/28/07		0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	426	76.8	NA
	06/01107		< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0,003	290	123	< 0.25
	08/09/07		< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	331	160	5.5
	10/12/07	<	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	190	65.3	< 0.25
	01/30/08	<	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	394	113	NA
	06/12/08	<	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003 < 0.003	394	113	NA
	09/16/08 12/18/08		< 0.001 < 0.001	< 0.001 < 0.001	< 0,003	224 266	46.2 63.8	< 0.25 < 0.25
·	03/18/09	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.001	- 0,005	200	05.8	- 0,25 NA
MW-28	03/30/99	0.013		0.	0.015		NA	NA
	10/04/01	0.001	0.005	0,035	0.048		NA	NA
	05/16/02	< 0.001	0.0038	0.025	0.02		NA	NA
	08/07/02	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	NA	NA	NA
	11/05/02 07/09/03	< 0.001 < 0.001	0.0021 0.0011	0.013 0.0023	0.016	NA NA	NA	NA NA
	10/16/03	< 0.001	0.003	0.0023	< 0.003	NA	NA NA	NA
	01/15/04	< 0,001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0,003	NA	NA	NA
	04/07/04	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0,005	NA	NA	NA
	08/04/04	< 0.001	0.0019	0.016	0.021	113	NA	NA
	11/04/04	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.0051	0.0058	112	NA	NA
	03/16/05	< 0.001	< 0.001 0.0018	< 0.001	0.003	187	69.9	NA
	06123/05 09/22/05	< 0.001 < 0.001	0.0018	0.0137 0.0012	0.0156 0.003	141	66.5 67.4	NA NA
	12/20/05	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.0012	< 0.003	110 164	58.2	NA
	05/24/06	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.0018	0.0043	240	156	NA
	02/28/07	< 0.001	< 0,001	0.0065	0.0075	198	64.9	NA
ļ	06/01/07	< 0.001	0.0014	0.0037	0.0044	199	164	0.39
	08/09/07	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.003	175	179	0.39
	10/12/07	.< 0.001	< 0,001	< 0.001	0.003	169	176	< 0.25
	01/30/08 06/12/08	< 0.001 < 0.001	< 0.001 < 0.001	0.0031 0.0064	0.0033 0.0064	189 189	79.8	NA
	09/16/08	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.0064	0.0064	212	79.8 62.8	NA
	12/18/08	0.001	0.001	0.001	< 0.0271	204	167	0.26 0.26
	03/1	0.00	< 01	l< 0.001	< 0.003		NA	0.20 NA

Ja

2 • Above Class JI Remediation O bjecth e < low laboratol}'detection limit

Results in milligrams per liter (mg/l) • This site has been evaluated based on Class I Remediation Objectives

NA Not Analyzed NR=No Remediation Objective 19-40

1

# 19-41

# TABLE 1 GROUNDWATER BTEXANAL/TICAL RESULTS BP SERVICE STATION NO. 05167 2201 NORTH Jist STREET SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

SAMPLE ID	DATE	BENZE	NE	TOLUE	(E	ETHY BENZE	L) NE	TOTAL XVLENE	SO4	Mg	Br
GROUNDWATER	CLASSIF	0.005				0.7		10	NR	NR	NR
REMEDIATION OBJECTIVES	CLASSII	0.025	63576	2:51		1		10	NR	NR	NR
MW-29	05/16/02	< 0.001		< 0.001	2000000	< 0.001	0000000151	< 0.003	NA	NA	NA
	08/07/02	< 0.001		< 0.001		<. 0.001		< 0.003	NA	NA	NA
	11/05/02	<	•	· ·		< 0.001		< 0,003	NA	NA	ŇA
	04/07/03	<		<		< 0.001		< 0.003	I NA I	NA	NA
	07/09/03	<		<		< 0.001		< 0.003	377		NA
	10/16/03	<		<		< 0.001	·	< 0.003	NA		NA
A (071070)	Destroyed			····							NA
MW4300	05/16/02	< 0.001		< 0.001		< <		< 0.003 < 0.003	NT 75		NA
	08/07/02	< 0.001 < 0.001		< 0.001 < 0.001		~ <		< 0.003	NA NA	NA	NA
	11/05/02 04/07/03	< 0.001		< 0.001 < 0.001		<			NA	NA	NA NA
	07/09/03	< 0.001 < 0.001		< 0.001 < 0.001		<			NA	NA	NA
	10/16/03	< 0.001		0.0012		<			NA	NA	NA
	01/15/04	< 0.001		< 0.001		<			NA	NA	NA
	04/07/04	< 0.005		< 0.005		<			NA	NA	NA
	08/04/04	< 0.001		0.0011					107	NA	NA
	11/04/04	< 0.001		< 0:001					122	NA	NA
	03/16/05	< 0.001		< 0.001					83.9	78.4	NA
ľ	06123/05	< 0.001		< 0.001					109	125	NA
	09/22/05	0.001	•	< 0.001					111	79.3	NA
	09/16/08	0.001		0.001					89.1	104	0.51
	<u>12/1</u> 8/08								108	102	0.54
MW-391	04/08/03		1.0			1.4			NA	NA	NA
	07/09/03	0.12	1,2 1,2			0.62		1.2	NA	NA	NA
	10/16/03	0.052	1,1			0.087		0.063	NA	NA	NA
.	01/15/04	0.071 0.0571	1,2			0.19 0.221		0.14 0.109	NA 135	NA	NA
1	04/07/04 08/04/04	0.0371	,	0.0092		0.221		0.109	62.9	NA NA	NA NA
	11/04/04	0.0328	1,2	0.0049		0.106		0.0368	65.5	NA	NA
	03/16/05	0.0736	1,2	0.0103		0.431		0.0228	216	89.5	NA
	06/23/05	0.0147		0.0105		0.0967		0.0398	96.7	74.4	NA
	09122/05	0.0551	1,2	0.0024		0.0367		0.0039	58	57.5	NA
	12/20/05	0.0305	1,1	0.0028		0.0273		0.0131	288	79	NA
	05/24/06	0.0236		0.007		0.128		0.0637	120	93.3	NA
	02128/07	0.0246		0.0078		0.0904		0.0559	179	65.1	NA
	06/01/07	0.0131		0.007		0.0823		0.0242	106	85.1	0.37
	08/09/07	0.0189		0.0102		0.142		0.0147	86.4	108	0.34
	10/12/07	0.0386	1,2	0.0105		0.0726		0.0291	55;3		< 0.25
	01/30/08	0.0392	1,2	0.005		0.0798		0.0458	135	102	NA
	06/12/08	0.0283	1,2	0.0055		0.0773		0.0224	135	102	NA
	09116/08	0.0101		0.0038		0,052		0.0288	109	51.4	0.27
	12/18/08	0.0146		0.002	Ŧ	0.0734 0.0656	,	0.0181 0.0052	105 1 NA 1	81 NA 1	0.3
Abol'e Class I Remedia	tion Objective	<u>^</u> ,0081	Result	dn milligrams j	er liter			0.0032	I NA I	NA	. NA
AboI'C Class II Reme						based on Class	I Reme	dintlon O b i e	ctives		
<a below="" dete<="" laboratory="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>lot Analyzed</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>onObjedive</td><td></td><td></td></a>				lot Analyzed					onObjedive		
2				-					-		

19-4

### TABLE 1 GROU!'I'DWATER BTEX ANALYTICAL RESULTS BP SERVICE STATION NO.05167 2201 NORTH31<sup>11</sup> STREET SPRINGFIELO, ILLINOIS

SAMPLEID	DATE	BENZENE	TOFUENE	ETHYL BENZENE	TOTAL		Mg	Br
GROUNDWATER	CLASSIN	0.005		07	10	NR	NR	INR.
REMEDIATION OBJECTIVES	CLASSI	0.025	25	1	10	NR	ŇŔ	NR
MW-32	04/08/03	0.039 1.2		0.66	1.1	NA NA	NA	
1 11-04	07/09/03	< 0.005	1 0.00	0,035	0.044	' ····		NA
	10116/03	0.0042		0.042	0.043			NA
	01/15/04	< 0.001		0.0033	0.0045		NA	NA
	04/07/04	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0,005	33	NA	NA
	08/04/04	< 0.001	0.0041	0.02	0.0087	17.3	NA	NA
	11/04/04	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.0134	0.0064	30.4	NA	NA
	03116/05	< 0.001	0.001	0.0058	< 0.003	30.3	94.2	NA
	06/23/05	0.0015	0.001	0.017	0.0113	21.7	88.8	NA
	09/22105	< 0.001	0.001	0.0051	< 0,003	30.3	44.4	NA
	12/20/05	< 0,001	0.001	0.0021	< 0.003	16.7	80.2	NA
	05/24/06	0.0029	0.0011	0.0183	0.01	23.1	131	NA
	02/28/07	< 0.001	0.001	0.0049	0.003	26.2	78.3	NA
	06/01/07	< 0.001	0.001	0.001	< 0.003	19.1	198	1.4
	08/09/07	<· 0.005	0.005	0.0099	< 0.015	8.5	368	
	10/12/07	< 0.001	0.001	0.001	0.003	6.7	95.6	
	01/30/08	< 0.001	0.001	0.001	0.003	31.8	89.1	NA
	06/12/08	0.0129	0.005 0.005	0.0137 0.0013	0.003	31.8	89.	NA
	09/16/08	< 0.001 < 0.001	0.005	0.0013	< 0.003	46.8	125	0.46
	12/18/08	< 0.001	0.003	0.0018	< 0.003	37.9	136	
MW-33	03/18/09	0.081	0.007	0.027	0,003	NA NA		NA
101 00-55	07/09/03	0.022	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	10/16/03	0.061	0.0057	0,001	1 0.003	I NA	NA	NA
	01/15/04	0.03	0.0017	<		NA	NA	NA
	04/07/04	0.026	0.005	<		NA	NA	NA
	08/04/04	0.039 1,1	0.0033	0.0024	< 0.003	NA	NA	NA
	11/04/04		< 0.001	0.0019	< 0.003	NA	NA	NA
	03/16/05	0.143 <sup>.1.1</sup>	0.0031	0.0034	0.003	NA	NA	NA
	06/23/05	0.162 1.1	< 0.001	0.0027	0.007	2.5	138	NA
	09/22/05	0.262 1,1"	0.0036	0.0015	0.0116	2.5	74.5	NA
	12/20/05	0.198 1,2	0.0031	0.0013	0.0106		71.2	NA
	05/23/06	0.155 <sup>1</sup> ,2	0.0018		0.0105		220	NA
	02/28/07	0.163 <b>u</b>	0.004		0.011	2.9	79.2	ŇA
	06/01/07	0.16 12	0.0048		0.0116	2.5	147	0.91
	08/09/07	0.264 <sup>1,2</sup>	0.0063		0.0243	< 2.5	165	0.92
	10/12/07	0.317 <sup>1.1</sup> 0.22 <sup>1.2</sup>	0.0079		0.032	'2.5	98.	< 0.25
	01/03/08	0.22	0.005	l. –	.	2.6	146	NA
	12/18/08	1.1	0.0025	0.0011	0,0043	< 2.5	157	0.41
	diation Objective		0.0027	< 0.001	0.0039	NA	NA	NA

1=Abol'e Class I Remediation Objective 2=Abol cClass II Remediation Objectil'e <=below laboratory detection limit

 Resl Iltsin
 III (grams per liter (mg/l))

 • 'Iltis site has been el'aluated based on Class I Reinediation Objectil es

 NA = Not Analyzed

# TABLEI GROUNDWATER BTEX ANALYTICAL RESULTS BPSER VICESTATIONNO. 05167 2201NORTH 31<sup>51</sup> STHEET SPHINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

SAMPLE ID	DATE	DENZE	的问题	TOLUENE	ETHY BENZE		TOTAL XYLENE	2   20 9 N   11 0 0 V	Mg	Br
GROUNDWATER	CLASSIE	0.005		1	07		10	NR	NR	NR
SREMEDIATION OBJECTIVES	CLASSII	0,025		2.5	1		10	NR	NR	NR
MW-34	04/08/03	0.031	17	0.0024	0.012		0.019	NA	NA	NA
	Destroyed									
M\V-35	04/07/04			0.	2.48		6.58	NA	NA.	NA
	03/16/05	1.72	D	0.129	0.922		1.69	93.6	NA	NA
	06/23/05	0.115	Ð	0.13	1.36	1,2	2.49	3.1	134	NA
	09122105	2.99	1.2	0.163	1.96	1.2	4.19	< 2.5	192	NA
Í	12/20/05	1.87	1,2	0.106	0.923		2.17	2.7	132	NA
	05/24/06	1.85	1,2	0.101	1.43	1,2	2.59	< 2.5	231	NA
	02128/07	2.03	1.2	0.13	1.46	1,2	2.47	10.5	201	NA
	06/01/07	1.71	1,2	0.11	!.21	1,2	1.79	< 2.5	186	i.6
	09/27/07	1.55	1,2	0.111	1.61	1.1	1.93	14.9		< 0.25
	10/12/07	1.57	1.2	0.084	0.958		1.32	19.3	60.6	) I I
1	01/30/08	4.86	1,2	0.289	2	1,2	1.09	953	677	NA
	06/12/08	1.93	1,2	0.0853	1.18	1,2	1.3	953	677	NA
	09/16/08	2.13	1,2	0.081	1.27	1,2	1.12	3.2	213	0.64
<u> </u>	03/18/09	1.51	u	0.0559	702		0.707	NA	NA	NA

I = Above Class I Remediation Objective 2 = Above Class II Remediation Objective < ≈ below laboratory detection limit

Results In milligrams per liter (mg/1) \* This site has been evaluated based on Class I Remediation Objectives NA=NotAnalyzed NR=No Remediation Objective

ζ.

TABLE2 GROUNDWATER PNA ANALYTICAL RESULTS BP SERVICE STATION NO.05167 2201 NORTII31"STREET SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

jyrene. Veptinelene	014 021	0.22 1.05		<u>/ v</u>	v	v	v	<u>v</u>	0022 < 0,000052	0004 < 0.00004	v	004 < 0.00004	052 0.00011	< 0.002	-	3	14 < 0.000042 18 < 0.000051	<u>/                                    </u>	<u>' v</u>	21 < 0.000052	145 <sup>1</sup> < 0.002		39 < 0.000052	2	<u>' v</u>	<u>∨</u>	v	v v	0.000056	۲ ۲	v ;	<u>.</u>	<u>/ \</u>	/ v	v	005 < 0.00005	052 < 0.000052	v
Varitibatioe	0.00043	0:00215 0.	0.00055 1< 0.00	<u>v</u>	0.00012 < 0.00004	v	<u>v v</u>		25000.0 > 25000	0.00004 < 0.00004	0.00004 < 0.00004	v	0.000077 < 0,00052	v	0.000042 0.11		0.000051 0.18			0.000052 0.21			0.000052 0.139				0.000051 0.218	0.000041 0.154		0.000042	2	0.00005 < 0.0005			0.00004 < 0.00004	v	0.000052 < 0.00052 0.000052 < 0.00052	/
) ladeou (23940) Liuorene	0.28	1.4 0.0		<u>_v</u>		v	0.0001 < 0.00	/ \	v v	v	v	<u>v</u>		v	0.000042 < 0.00	/ \	/ v	v	v	v	v	v	0.000 > 1000.0	v	v	v	0.0068 < 0.00	v	v	0.000043 < 0.00	0,000069 < 0.00	<u>v v</u>	0.000042 < 0.00	v	v	v .	00.0 > 1000.0	
Fluoranthene	870		0.00004	<u>v</u>	<u>v</u>	V	V V 1000.0	' v	0,002	0.00004	v	v	<u>v v</u>	v ·	0.000044		v		v	v	<u>v</u>		<u>v</u> v				0.0001	v		0.000042 0	1	<u>v</u> v		v	4 V	0.0001	/ V	
(d,n)znadiQ Sinsience Bilinseence	0.0003	0.0015	< 0.00004 <		0.00004	<ul> <li>0.00004</li> <li>0.00004</li> </ul>	0.0001			0.00004		0.00004	C1000.0	< 0.0003	< 0.000042	<ul> <li>0.000042</li> </ul>		< 0.0001 <			<ul> <li>0.0003</li> <li>0.0001</li> </ul>			< 0.0001 <	<ul><li>&lt; 0.0001</li><li></li></ul>	<pre>&lt; 0.0001</pre>	> 100070 >	< 0.0001 <	< 0.00004	< 0.000042	> 1100000. >	<b>0.0001</b>	< 0.000042 <	< 0.00004 <	<del></del>	> 1000.0 > > 0 0001		
Christene	0.0015	0.0075	< 0.00004			< 0.00004	_	< 0.000052	< 0,0015	0.00004		~ 0,00004	0,0001		<ul> <li>0.000042</li> <li>0.000042</li> </ul>	< 0.000042	< 0,000051	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	250000.0 >	<ul> <li>0.0015</li> <li>0.00062</li> </ul>	20000.0 -	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	< 0.00005	0.00013	< 0.000052	< 0.000041		< 0.000042 ·		<ul> <li>0.00005</li> <li>0.00005</li> <li>0.00004</li> </ul>	< 0.000042	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	CUUUUUU	< 0.000052	
jęszeg() blitei	0000	0.002	< 0.00004	< 0.000043	< 0.0004	<ul> <li>0.00004</li> <li>0.000051</li> </ul>		< 0.000052	< 0.0002		0.00004	0.0004	< 0.0002	< 0 000042	< 0.00004	< .0.000042	< 0.000051	< 0.00005	< 0,00005			< 0.000052	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	<ul> <li>0.00005</li> <li>0.000051</li> </ul>		< 0.000052	< 0.000041	< 0.00004	< 0.000042	- 1	<ul> <li>0.00005</li> <li>0.00005</li> <li>0.00004</li> </ul>	0.000042	< 0.00004		0	< 0.000052	0000
ເມີດເຈັດທີ່ເມີດແຕ່	0.0		<u>v</u> 1	/ \		/ v	v	< 0.000052	v	A 0.0004	/ v		2000000	/ 		12 < 0.000042	v	v		/ V	/ v	v	v	v	< 0.00005	· v	v	v	v 1	/	<u> </u>	4 < 0.00005 4 < 0.00004	v	t < 0.00004	/ <b>`</b>	v	< 0.000052	
			<u>v</u> v			<u>v</u> v	v	52 < 0.0001	v .	04 < 0.00004	/ v	v	<u>v</u>	<u>/</u> \ [	-	342 < 0.000042	v	V	05 < 0.0001	v	v	v	v	v	05 < 0.0001	v	v	v	<u>v v</u>	<u>/</u>	~	00 1 < 0.0001 004 < 0.00004	v	04 < 0.00004 04 < 0.00004	v	v	52 < 0.0001	
			<u>v</u> \		/ v	<u>v</u> v	v	v v	v	0.0004 < 0.00004	′ v	2		0,000042 < 0.000042	v	0042 < 0.000042	<u>v</u>	v	0.00005 < 0.00005 0.00052 < 0.00052	v	v	0.00006 < 0.000052	v	<u>v</u>	0.00005 < 0.00005	' V	v	<u>v</u>	<u>v</u> v	,	<u>v</u> l⁄	0004 < 0.00004	<u>v</u>	004 < 0.00004 004 < 0.00004	v	v		<u>v</u>
			0.0004 < 0.0004	/ v	/ v	· v	v	v V	0.0 < 0.0	~ `	v	v	v	0.000043 < 0.00	v	0.00008 < 0,000042	v	<u>v v</u>	0.021 < 0.00	v	v 0 v 0		v	v	0,0005 < 0.00005 0,00051 < 0,000051	v	v	v	0.00019 < 0.00004	/ V	/ v	v +	<u>v</u>	0.00004 < 0.00004 0.00004 < 0.00004	v	v	0.00052 < 0.000052	
Date Sampled	CLASSIF		0.2/16/07 2 0.2	/ v	v	v	v		v	/ v			v	1 05/16/02 F 0.0	v		,	0 > 50/60/10	٧	v	v	11/04/04 < 0.1	v	,	$10^{-12}$ $(0.1220)$	v	v		.01/30/08 0.		<u> </u>	_v	v	08/07/02 < 0.0	v	v	v	
SAMPLE D	н ș	OBJECTIVES	MW-19						00 100	07-W W				MW-21R +																•	MW-22	-						•

05167Tobles-l.xls

19-44

- 10-10 - 10-1 - 10-1 - 10-1

TABLE2 GROUNDWATER PNA ANALYTICAL RESULTS BP SERVICE STATION NO.05167

19-

•••

TABLE2 GROUNDWATER PNA ANALYTICAL RESULTS BP SERVICE STATION NO.05167 2201 NORTHJI"STREET SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

Litene	0.21	1.05			< 0.000041	< 0.00004	< 0.000043	< 0.000051	< 0.000052	< 0.000051	< 0.000051	2 0 000052	1.21 < 0.00004	0	1.2 0.000049	< 0.00005		L < 0.000052	< 0.000052	mi < 0.002	. 5 ,0,0053,		v	1500000 > 1 in	v	1:1 < 0.000052	۷	< 0.000041	0.000054	< 0.000041
anaiohiilgaV.	- 0.14	0.22	< 0.00055	< 0.0004	< 0.000041	0.00022	< 0.000043	< 0.00051	< 0.00052	< 0.00051	100000	10.0				0.22	0.31	0.41 1.	0.15	. –	0.33						0.302 1	0.205		0.135
(b2:C,S,I))טאפאט סייניוע גענייע	0.00043	0:00215	< 0.000055	< 0.00004	< 0.000041	< 0.00004	< 0.000043	< 0.000051	< 0.000052	< 0.000051		< 0.000157	~ 0.0000	0.0004	< 0.00004	< 0.00005	< 0.000051	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	< 0.0003	<ul><li>&gt; 0.00003</li><li>&gt; 0.00003</li></ul>	< 0.000052	< 0.000053	< 0.000051	< 0.000051	< 0.000052	< 0.000055	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	< 0.000041
Fluðrane	0.28		< 0.00011	< 0.00004	< 0.000041	< 0.00004	< 0.000043	< 0.0001	100.0 ×	1000'n >		1000'0 >	0.00041	0.0038	0.00041	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0,001	< 0.0001	0.002     0.002	110000 >	0.0038	0.0038	0.0056	0.0021	0.0001	0.00011	0.0003	0.00048	0.00032
สกรกไทยางปฏิจ	0.28	A STATES	< 0.00011	< 0.00004	< 0.000041	< 0.00004	< 0.000043	<ul> <li>0.0001</li> <li>0.0001</li> </ul>		100010 >	~ 000 v	0.001	< 0.00004	0.000052	0.000044	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<ul> <li>0.002</li> <li>0.0011</li> </ul>	11000.0 >	< 0.0001	< 0,00011	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001.	< 0.00011	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	< 0.000041
Dibenz(a, h) au (h) neene	0.0003	0.0015	< 0.00011	<ul> <li>0.00004</li> </ul>	< 0.000041	< 0.00004	< 0.000043	10000 > 1		< 0.0001	< 0.0003	< 0.0001	< 0.00004	< .0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.00011	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.00011	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	< 0.000041 0.00018
ດມາະເທດ	0.0015	0.0075	< 0.000055	< 0.00004	Ŭ	<ul> <li>0.00004</li> <li>0.00004</li> </ul>	< 0.000043		2000000 >	< 0.000051	<u>دَ 0,001 &gt;</u>	< 0.000052	≤ 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00005	< 0.000051	< 0.000052	20000.0 <	CT00:0 >	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	< 0.000053	< 0.000051	< 0.000051	< 0.000052	< 0.000055	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	< 0.000041 < 0.000042
Jenzo(4); b) tene;	0.0002	0.002	< 0.000055	< 0.00004	< 0.000041	< 0.00004	< 0.000043	1000000 >	< 0.000051	< 0.000051	< 0.0002	< 0.000052	▲ 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00005	< 0.000051	< 0.000052	< 0.0002	< 0.000053	< 0.000052	< 0,000052	< 0.000053	< 0.000051	< 0.000051	< 0.000051	< 0.000055	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	<ul> <li>0.000041</li> <li>0.000042</li> </ul>
Denzo(k) Denzo(k)	4100010	0:00085	0			< 0.00004					< 0,00017	-	< 0.00004	0			< 0.000051	> 0.000052		, 0	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	< 0.000053				o'	0 0	< 0.000041	<ul> <li>0.000041</li> <li>0.000042</li> </ul>
Benze(b)	0.00018	60000	< 0.00011		-	< 0.00004				< 0.0001	< 0.00018		< 0.00004		-		< 0.0001		-	< 0.00011	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.00011						<ul> <li>0.000041</li> </ul>	
Benzo(n) Benzo(n)	0.00013	0.00065	< 0.000055		< 0.000041	<ul> <li>0.0004</li> <li>0.00043</li> </ul>	< 0.000051	< 0.000052	< 0.000051	< 0.000051	< 0.00013	-	< 0.00004		< 0.00004		100000 >	200000,0 <	< 0.00013	< 0.000053	< 0.00005:!	< 0.00005:1	< 0.000053	-	-	< 0.000052		< 0.000041	<ul> <li>0.000041</li> <li>0.000041</li> </ul>	-
	21	10.5	< 0.000055		< 0.000041		1500000 >	< 0.000052	< 0.000051	< 0.000051	< 0.005	0.00015	0.000063	0.000064	0	< 0.00005	100000	< 0.000052		< 0.000053	0,00011	< 0.000052				< 0.000052		0.000022	0.000044	<ul> <li>0.000041</li> </ul>
ənəli)iqanəəA.			≥ 0.00055		< 0.000041	-	< 0.00051	< 0.00052	< 0,00051	< 0.00051	< 0.01	0.0015	0,00045	0.00039	-	< 0.0005		0.01 0.01		< 0.0053	< 0.00052		-		0	< 0.0026		0.00024	+cooo.o	0.00059
Dink Sampkd		CLASSII	03/50/99	10/04/01	05/16/02	11/04/02	04/07/03	01/09/03	10/16/03	01/15/04	04/07/04	03/30/99	10/04/01	08/07/02	12/18/02	04/07/03	10/40/03	50101301	04/07/04	08/04/04	11/04/04	03/16/05	06/23{05	09/22105	GU/02/21	50/02121	10/10/00	10//.1101	00/06/10	03/18/09
SMATEID	<b>GROUNDWATER</b>	OBJECTIVES	52-WW									MW-24																		

05167Toblcs-1.:ds

19-44

TABLE2 GROUNDWATER PNA ANALYTICAL RESULTS BP SERVICE STATION NO.05167 2201 NORTH 31"STREET SPRINGFIELD,ILLINOIS

Garbes	Date	ມວນຸງນຸດຍິນອອງຈ	Antinacene	sufficiente Benzo(9)	(1)11.0001116000		flucornihene Benzo(k)	: ຼີງຣູຫຼະດູ(ຢ) ໃນເປັນ	(DhTyene)	arosari()na (1,1)(	Tuorintiena	Fluorene	505760 114606(1,1,2,1,3,6)	araloiliilidaN	Pyrene Pyrene	
CROUNDWATER	CLASS IF	0.42		0.00013	13 / 0.0018	18	100000	0:0002	0.0015	0.0003	0.28	0.28	0.00043	014	LCU STATE	
OBJECTIVES	CLASSIN		105	0,00065	62 0.0009	60	0.00085	0.002	0.0075	0.0015	1.4		0.000			
MW-25	66/02/20	0.0015	0.00019	- 0.00005	<b>52</b>   < 0.0001	01 <	0.000052	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	1< 0.0001	< 0.001		100001000000			
	10/04/01	0.00034		< 0.00004	v	04 <	0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	0 00027	<u>v</u> v	0.43	<	<u>دا</u>
	05/16/02	0.00022	< 0.000042	< 0.000042	v	042 <	0.000042	< 0.000042	< 0.000042	< 0.000042	< 0.000042	0.00017	/ v	0.44		<b>z</b> 4
	08/07/02	•< 0.000042	< 0.000042	< 0.000042	<u>v</u> v	042	0.000042	0.000042	0.000042	< 0.000042	< 0.000042	< 0.000042	۷	0.00015	-< 0.000042	1 4
	04/07/03	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	200000 × 100000		/ v	0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	0.00026	v	0,36	111 000004	4
	20//00/20	< 0.001	0	Ŭ	v v	<u>, v</u>	0.000051			00000 v	<ul><li>0.0001</li><li>1</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2</li><li>2<td>&lt; 0.0001</td><td>v</td><td>0.3</td><td>1.1 &lt; 0.00005</td><td>5</td></li></ul>	< 0.0001	v	0.3	1.1 < 0.00005	5
	10/16/03	0.018	< 0.000033	< 0.000033	v	> 110	0.000053	< 0.000053	<ul> <li>0.00003</li> <li></li> </ul>	1000.0 >	< 0.0001	1000.0 >	<u>v</u> v	0.14	< 0.00005	51
	01/16/04	0.023	< 0.000033	< 0.000053	53 < 0.00011	> 110	0.000053	< 0.000053	< 0.000053	< 0.00011	< 0.00011		200000 > 1	0.38	v '	22
	04/07/04				v	> 810	0.00017	< 0.0002	< 0.0015	< 0.0003	< 0.002		<u>v</u>	16.0	12 < 0.000	2.0
	08/04/04		-		v	v []	0.000053	< 0.000053	< 0.000053	< 0.00011	< 0,00011	< 0.00011	v	0.35	v v	, c;
	07/104/04	< 0.0106	< 0.000053		v .	v   110		< 0.000053	< 0.000053	< 0.00011	< 0.00011	< 0.00011	<u>v</u>	0.286	t.i < 0.000053	23
	CU/91/20	200000	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	<u>v v</u>	<u>v</u>	0.000052	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	v	0.299	1.2 < 0.000051	151
	C0/C7100	4 0.034	V.00004		<u>v v</u>		0.000054	< 0.000054	< 0.000054	< 0.00011	< 0.00011	0.0093	< 0.000054	0.43	L!! < 0.000054	54
	20/00101			<pre>counce &lt; /pre&gt;</pre>	1000.0 × 0.0001	5 2	0.0000.0	< 0.0000 >	< 0.00005	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0043	< 0.00005	0.241	···· < 0.00005	05
	20/07171		< 0.00003	_	/ v	/ v	250000.0	200000 < .	< 0.000052	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0031	v	0.209	< 0.000052	52
	10/17/07	0.00039	0.000082	-	v	)43 ×	0.000043		< 0.000013	< 0.00011	0.00011	< 0.00011	<u>v</u>	0.128	< 0.000053	53
	01/30/08	0.00058	0.00018	0.000075	v.	)41 ×	0.000041	0.00005	0.000054	< 0.000045	0.00045	0.00041	v 1	0.261	III 0.000062	5
	12/18/08	0.00038	0.00006	< 0.000042	42 < 0.000042	342 <	0.000042	< 0.000042	< 0.000042	< 0.000042	< 0.000042	0,0003	<ul> <li>0.00041</li> <li>0.000042</li> </ul>	0.244	EI 0.00018	∞ :
	03/18/09	0.00057	0.0001	0.000058	58 < 0.00042	v 51	0.000042		0.00013	< 0,000042	2600000	00000	<u> </u>	0.230	_	4
MW-26	03/30/99	0,0013	0.00051	Ŭ	v	× II0	0.0000\$3	< 0.000053	< 0.000053	0.00012	0.00012	0.0051	<u>/ v</u>		13 0.0006	010
	10/04/01	0.00024	< 0.00004		v	> 04	0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0,00004	▲ 0.00004	0.00021	v	0.36	$\underline{\vee}$	א ני די ני
	20/20/80	51000.0	< 0.000042	< 0.000042 < 0.00004	42   < 0.000042	042	0.000042	< 0.000042	< 0.000042	< 0.000042	< 0.000042	0.00013	v	0.49	t: < 0.000042	42
	11105/02	0.0002	< 0.000043		v	/ v	0.000043	<ul> <li>0.00004</li> <li>1.000042</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>0.00004</li> <li>0.000042</li> </ul>	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	0.00023	v	0.56	1.2 < 0.00004	14
	04/07/03	< 0.01	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	v	· · 10	0.0000\$2	< 0.000052	< 0.000082	< 0.00015	< 0.000043	0.00018	8 < 0.000043	0.22	< 0.000043	43
	01/09/03	< 0.00052	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	v 10	> IC	0.000052	< 0.0000S2	< 0.000052	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		v	0.16	0.000091	6
	10/16/03	0.026	0.00013	<ul> <li>0.000031</li> </ul>	v 		0.000051	< 0.000051	0.000072	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		v	0.32	conno.o >  "-'	70, 13
	01/16/04	< 0.0028			-		0.029	0.036	0.062	< 0.0II	1;1 0.14	< 0.00056		1.21 < 0.0028		
	04/07/04	<ul><li>0.05</li><li></li></ul>			13 < 0.00018	<u>v v</u>	0.00005	< 0.0002	< 0.0015	< 0.0003	< 0.002		v	0.141	0.002	
	11/04/04	< 0.00053	0 000065		, v	<u> </u>	50000 0		< 0.00005	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	v	0.26	t> < 0.00005	55
	03116/05	< 0.00051	< 0.000051	-	/ v	· ·	500000		COUDD > 0.000053	< 0.00011	< 0.00011	< 0.00011	v	0.109	< 0.000053	53
	06/23/05	< 0.00051	< 0.000051	< 0.00001	v	· v	1000001			< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0026	v	0.182	< 0.000051	51
	09(].2/05	0,0221	< 0.000054	0.00012	v	<u>v</u>	0 000054	100000 -		1000.0 >	<ul><li>0.0001</li><li>0.0001</li></ul>	0.0014	v	0.216	v	51
	12120/05	< 0.00052	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	v	×		< 0.000052	> 0.000034		11000.0	0.004	<u>v</u>	0.345	1.II < 0.000054	54
	01!J.8/07	< 0.0026	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	52 < 0.0001	× 10	0.000052	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	- 0.001 <		0.0012	< 0.00052	0.2	0.00013	<u>6</u>
	06/01/07	0.0119	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	52 < 0.0001	> IC	0.000052	< 0.000052	< "0.000052	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	' v	0.419	• 0.00013	<u>ლ</u> წ
	10/11/07	0.000"4	< 0.000041	-	v	041 <	0.000041	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	0.00027	v	1010.0		70 :
	80/05/10 11/100/0	0,0003	<pre>&lt; 0.000041</pre>	-	v	741 	0.000041	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	0.000042	0.00019	v	0.469	••• < 0.00004	4 4
-	8/081/21	0.0002	<ul><li>0.00005</li></ul>	< 0.00005	000005 < < 0.00005	05	0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	0.00013	3 < 0.00005	0.0773	< 0,00005	5 2
	1 KN/NT/KIN	60000	i		4	v 5	0.0004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	0.00031	0.000052	2 < 0.00005	0.295	t;II 0.00009	6

CKIhTIWW...ca..1 vk

- 19-47

-----

ĺ

TABLE2 GROUNDWATER PNA ANALYTICAL RESULTS BP SERVICE STATION NO.05167 2201 NORTH31<sup>11</sup> STREET SPRINGFIELD, ILLLINOIS

		903	0				oua			<b>.</b>		CP.			
SAMPLE	Date Sampled	oqqqqqa	เวราสาม	(hinicen (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A)	(d)osnat	юңтивот (я)охар	o(8) b21	p13.2640	, a) 5090 111110 11110 11110 11110 11110 11110	nsillakti	ποτεπε	X16U6 0(['5'3-	) Nibalan	y tene	
		ane.	W	nu it. I			3643 2098	õ	ue IQ	Elito	IA		dvN-	a	186993
GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION	CLASSIT	0.42	17	0.00013	3 0.00018	0:00017	0:0002	0.0015	0.0003	820	0.28	0.00043	10.14	0.21	
OBJECTIVES	Š.	21	105	0.0000	s 0.000	0.0085	0.002	0.0075	0.0015	1.4	1.1	0.00215	022	1.05	8 68
LE-WM	10/04/01	< 0.0004	<ul> <li>0.00004</li> <li>1</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>0.0004</li> <li>0.0004</li> </ul>	<u>v</u> \		< 0.0004	< 0.00004	<ul> <li>4</li> <li>0.00004</li> </ul>	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	1100000	I < 0.0004	3
	08107/02	<ul><li>0.00004</li><li>0.00004</li></ul>	<ul><li>0.00004</li><li>0.00004</li></ul>	<ul><li>0.00004</li><li>0.00004</li></ul>	4 < 0.00004 4 < 0.00004	<ul> <li>0.00004</li> <li>0.00004</li> </ul>	< 0.00004 < 0.00004	< 0.00004 < 0.00004	<ul> <li>0.00004</li> <li>0.00004</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>0.00004</li> <li>0.00004</li> </ul>	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.0004	< 0.00004	5
	11/05/02	< 0,000041	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	<u>v</u>	-	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	Ŭ	<ul> <li>0.000041</li> </ul>	< 0.000041	< 0.00004 < 0.000041	0.00017	< 0.00004 < 0.000041	
	10116/03	<ul><li>&lt; 0.00058</li></ul>	< 0.000058	2 0.000058	& <ol> <li>0.0001</li> <li>0.00012</li> </ol>	22.0000,0. >	< 0.000052	< 0.0000.52 < 0.0000.58	< 0.0001 < 0.00012	<ul> <li>0.0001</li> <li>0.0001</li> </ul>					
	01115/04	< 0,00052	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	v	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	< 0.0000.52	-	< 0.0001 ×	< 0.00012 < 0,0001	< 0.000058 < 0.000052	< 0.00058 < 0.00052	< 0.000058 < 0.000052	
MW-28	04/07/04	<ul><li>0.01</li><li>0.00004</li></ul>	<ul> <li>0.005</li> <li>0.0004</li> </ul>	< 0.00015	Contraction 1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (	< 0.00017	<ul> <li>A 0.0002</li> <li>A 0.0002</li> </ul>	< 0.0015	< 0.0005	< 0.002	< 0.002	v			
	05/16/02	< 0.000042	-	< 0.000042	/_v	. –	< 0,000042	<ul> <li>0.000042</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>0.00004</li> <li>0.000042</li> </ul>	<ul><li>0.000042</li></ul>	0.000052	< 0.00004 < 0.000042	0.003	< 0 00000	
-	08107/02	<ul> <li>0.00004</li> <li>0.00004</li> </ul>	< 0,00004	< 0.00004	v 1		< 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0,00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	
	20/60/11	<ul><li>0.000.51</li></ul>	<ul><li>0.000051</li></ul>	<ul><li>0.00004</li><li></li></ul>	+ < 0.0004	< 0.00004 < 0.000051	< 0.00004	< 0.00004 < 0.000051	< 0.0004	< 0.00004 < 0.0001	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	0.00043	< 0.00004	
	10/16/03	< 0.00051		_	v	-	< 0.000051	< 0.000051	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.000051	< 0.000.51	< 0.0000.51	
	01/15/04	<ul> <li>&lt; 0.00051</li> <li>&lt; 0.00051</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>0.0000.51</li> <li>0.000</li> </ul>	< 0.000051	v	-	0	< 0.000051	1000.0 >	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		< 0.000.51	< 0.0000.51	
02-WW	05/16/02	<ul> <li>0.000043</li> </ul>	0	<ul> <li>0.00015</li> <li></li> /ul>	3 < 0.00038	< 0.00017	<ul> <li>0.0002</li> <li>1</li> <li>1<!--</th--><th>&lt; 0.0015</th><th>&lt; 0.0003</th><th><ul> <li>0.002</li> <li></li></ul> </th></li></ul>	< 0.0015	< 0.0003	<ul> <li>0.002</li> <li></li></ul>	<ul> <li>0.002</li> <li>0.002</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>0.0003</li> </ul>		v	
	08/07/02	< 0,000041	< 0.000041		v			17000001 ×	< 0.000041	<ul> <li>0.000043</li> <li>0.000041</li> </ul>	<ul><li>0.000043</li><li>0.000041</li></ul>	<ul> <li>&lt;. 0.000043</li> <li></li> /ul>	< 0.000043 < 0.000041	< 0.000043 < 0.000041 <	
	11/05/02	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	1000000 ×	<u>v</u> \	< 0.000041		< 0,000041	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	< 0,000041	< 0.000041	< 0.000041	
	20/1/0/1/00 01/109/02	10000.0 >		<ul><li>0.000052</li></ul>	2 < 0.0001		< 0.000051	< 0.000051	<ul><li>0.0001</li><li></li></ul>	<ul> <li>0.0001</li> <li>0.0001</li> </ul>	< 0.0001	Ť	-	-< 0.000051	
1	10/16/03	< 0.0005	< 0.00005	< 0,0000.5	v			< 0.0000.5	< 0.0001			<ul> <li>0.000052</li> <li>0.000052</li> </ul>	< 0.00052	< 0.000052	
MW-30	- 05/16/02 -	< 0.000043	< 0.000043	< 0.000043	<u>v</u> \	<ul> <li>0.000043</li> <li>0.000043</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>0.000043</li> <li>0.000043</li> </ul>	< 0.000043	< 0.000043	< 0.000043	< 0.000045	< 0.000045	< 0.000045	<ul> <li>0.00005</li> <li>0.000043</li> </ul>	
	11/05/02	< 0.00004		< 0.00004	+ / <b>0.0004</b> 4 < 0.00004		< 0.00004	< 0.00004	< 0.00004 < 0.00004	<ul> <li>0.00004</li> <li>0.00004</li> </ul>	0.00024	<ul> <li>0.00004</li> <li>0.00004</li> </ul>			
	04/07/03	< 0.0005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	v			< 0.00005	< 0.0001	< 0.0001			<ul> <li>0.00014</li> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>0.00005</li> <li></li> </ul>	
	10/16/03	<ul><li>&lt; 0,00054</li></ul>	< 0.000054	<ul><li>&gt; 0.000054</li></ul>	4 < 0.00011	< 0.000052 < 0,000054	< 0.000052 < 0.000054	< 0.0000.52 < 0.000054	< 0.0001	1000'0 >	<ul><li>1000.0</li><li></li></ul>	< 0.000052		< 0.000052	
-	01/15/04	< 0.00051	0	< 0,000051	v	< 0.000051	< 0,000051	< 0.000051		< 0.0001		< 0.000051	<ul> <li>&gt; 0.00051</li> <li>&gt; 0.00051</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>0.000051</li> <li>0.000051</li> </ul>	
IE-WW	04/07/04	< 0.01 0.053		<ul> <li>0.00013</li> <li></li> /ul>	3 I < 0.00013	< 0.00017	< 0.0002	< 0.0015 0.0016	< 0.0003	0.002		. 6000.0 >	< 0.01	<ul> <li>0.002</li> </ul>	
	01/09/03	< 0.00052	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	<u>v</u>	-	< 0.000052	< 0.000052	1000.0 >	1000.0 >	<pre> 0.0001 </pre>	<ul> <li>0.000062</li> <li>0.000052</li> </ul>	0.43 0.032	12 < 0.000056 < 0.000052	
	10/16/03	0.0071	0.00013		v	-	-	-	-	0.00015	< 0.00012	< 0.000058	0.017		
	04/07/04	▲ 0.01		100000 >   2000000 >	00001 > 1 2	< 0.000051	< 0.000051	< 0.0015	<ul><li>0.0001</li><li>0.0003</li></ul>	< 0.0001	Ģ	0	0.012	< 0.000051	
MW-32	04/08/03	0.028	< 0.000053	< 0.00003	v		14	0	< 0.00011	<ul> <li>0.0001</li> </ul>	2000	<ul><li>0.0005</li><li>0.0005</li></ul>	0.17	< 0.000053	
_	07/09/03	<ul> <li>0.00051</li> <li>0.00051</li> </ul>	0.000079	< 0.000051	<u>v</u> .	< 0.000051		< 0.000051	< 0.0001	1000.0 >			0.0028	< 0.000051	
	20/01/01 01/15/04	< 0.00053	< 0.000053	1000000 >		<ul> <li>0.00001</li> <li>10.00001</li> </ul>			1000.0 >		< 0.0001 < 0.00011	0.000053		< 0.000051	
	04/07/04	10'0 ×		< 0.00013	v			<pre>\$100'0 &gt;</pre>	<pre>0.0003 &gt;</pre>	<ul><li>0.002</li><li></li></ul>			ccuuu.u >		
MW-33	04/08/03	<ul><li>0.00053</li><li>0.00053</li></ul>	<ul> <li>0.000053</li> <li>0.000053</li> </ul>	< 0.000053	<u>v v</u>	-			1	<b>—</b>	-	1	5500.0	0.00015	
	10/16/03					-0	<pre>1 &lt; 0.000051</pre>	<pre>1&lt; 0.000051</pre>	<b>1≤</b> 0.0001 < 0.0001   	o.0001 <ul> <li>0.0001</li> <li></li></ul>	< 0.0001 0.00015	< 0.000051 < 0.000051	< 0.00051 < 0.00051	<ul> <li>0.000051</li> <li>0.000051</li> </ul>	
	01/15/04	<ul> <li>0.00053</li> <li>0.01</li> </ul>	< 0.000053 < 0.005	<ul> <li>0.000055</li> <li>1.000055</li> </ul>	<u>v</u>	< 0.000053	<ul> <li>0.000053</li> <li>0.000053</li> </ul>	< 0.000053	< 0.00011	< 0.00011	< 0.00011				
	1 04/0//04	v	1	- 0.0013	1 < 0.00018		7000.0 ~	< 0.001 >	< 0.0003	0.002	< 0.002	< 0.0003	< 0.01	< 0.002	

OS167Toblos-I.xls

# TABLE2 GROUNDWATER PNA ANALYTICAL RESULTS BP SERVICE STATION NO.05167 2201 N O R m 31"STREET SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

Matrix ID         Date           SAMOTIX ID         Date           Sampled         Sampled           Sampled         Sampled           Sampled         Sampled           MW-34         0.4/05/04           MW-34         0.4/05/04           MW-34         0.4/05/04           MW-35         0.8/04/04           0.5716/05         < 0.           0.5716/05         < 0.           0.5716/05         < 0.           0.5716/05         < 0.           0.5716/05         < 0.           0.5716/05         < 0.           0.5716/05         < 0.           0.5716/05         < 0.           0.5716/05         < 0.	0.00053 0.00053 0.00053 0.00051 0.00051 0.00053 0.0000	2.11 Figure 10 Construction 10	000033 0000033 000003 00003 00003 00003 000003 000003 000003 000003 0000003 000003 000003 000000	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)		Contraction     Contract	anos anos	Difference (1997) Difference (	Construction         Construction           Construction         Construction	■ 0.028 0.028 0.028 0.0001 0.0001 0.0005 0.012 0.0	N         N	аплілийцам 0.003 0.149 0.1	
v	1	< 0.000052	< 0.000052		< 0.000052	0,00007	0.000056	1000.0 >	0.00013	1000.0 >	0.000052	< 0.00052	0.00014
Abandoned						_]							

-Above Closs In Groundw:ltcr Rem

- This should be seen a value of the second posed on Close f Remediation v c s

19-49

----

.

19-8

1

1

### TADLE3 GROU!\'UWATER ELEVATION DATA BP SERVICE STATION i\0, 05167 2201 NORTH 31'<sup>1</sup> STREET SPRii'\GF!ELD, ILLii'\OIS

(DAVARASSES)		TOP OF CASING	DEFTUTO	DEPTHTO	DEPTHION	PRODUCT	GROUNDWATER
Well ID	DATE	ELEVATION	GROUNDWATER		BOTIOM	TUICKNESS.	ELEVATION
		(FEET)	(FEET BTOC)	(FEFT RTOO)		(FEET)	TEET
OW-01	03/28/89	100.00	3.55	3.49	1201 21001	0.06	96.45
	05/03/89	100.00	3.41				96.59
	12/02/93	100.00	3.56				96.44
	05/12/94	100.00	3.21			•	96,79
H	09/10/96	100.00	5.71				94.29
	Destroyed			•			
OW-02	03/28/89	100.48	4.06				96.42
li	05/03/89	100.48	3.95				96.53
1	12/02/93	100.48	4,06				96.412
	05/12/94	100.48	3.69				\$6.779
	09/10/96	100,48	6,32			1	94,16
	Destroyed						
OW-03	03/28/89	100.04	3.49				96.55
	05/03/89	100.04	3,39		1		\$6,65
	12/02/93	100.04	3.62			1	96,42
1	05/12/94	100.04	3.40				\$6.64
	09/10/96	100,04	5,58	[	• [	1	94.46
•	Destroyed						
MW-04	03/28/89	100.32	3,69				96.63
	05/03/89	100.32	3.56	· •	1		\$6.76
	12/02/93	100,32	4,00	1			96.32
	05/12/94	100.32	3.49				96.83
	09/10/96	100.32	5.40	]			94.92
	Destroyed				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
MW-05	03/28/89	100,28	4.05	4.02		0.03	96.23
	05/03/89	100.28	3.90				96.38
	12/02/93	100.28	4.34				95.94
	05/12/94	100.28	3.75		1		\$6.53
	09/10/96	100.28	6.05				94.23
	Destroyed				·		
MW-06	03/28/89	100.4	3.94		•	•	96.46
	05/03/89	100.40	3.79				96.61
	12/02/93	100,40	4,33			1	96,07
	05/12/94	100.40	3.69				96.74
· .	09/10/96	100.40	5.95	I	1.	I	94.45
	Destroyed	100 09	3.94	2.02			
MW-07	03/28/89	100.08		3.93			.14
	05/03/89	100.08 100.08	3.75 4.18				96. <b>33</b>
•	12/02/93	100.08	4.18 3.50				95.90
	05/12/94	100.00	3.30	I	I	. 1	96. <b>58</b>
MW-08	Destroyed	100.44	4.05				
MW-900	03/28/89	100.44	3.96			.	96.39 96.48
	05/03/89 12/02/93	100.44	3.95	· [		[	96.49
	05/12/94	. 100.44	3.45	1		1	96,99
	Destroyed		41.14	. 1	1	I.	70.77
OW-09	Destroyed					· · ·	
OW-10	Destroyed	}					
OW-11	Destroyed						[
OW-12	Destroyed						
OW-12 OW-13	Destroyed	ł			·····		
OW-14	Destroyed						
<u></u>	- united (			t		الم <u>ست</u>	

### TABLE3 GROUNDWATER EUWATION DATA fiP SERVICE STATION NO. 05167 2201 NORTH 31'<sup>1</sup> STREET SPRINGFIELD, TLLINOTS

ł

Well ID	DATE	TOP OF CASING ELEVATION	GROUNDWATER		BOITOM	PRODUCT. THICKNESS	GROUNDWATE
000035002	a servesseredens	(FEE/I)	(FEET BTOC)	(FEET BTOC)	(FEEF BTOC)	(FEET)	
OW-15	05/03/89	99.16	3.98				95,18
[	12/02/93	99.16	4.68		1	1	94.48
	05/12/94	99.16	3,93			{	95,23
L	Destroyed			L	[	f	
OW-16	05/03/89	99,68	2.96				
	12/02/93	99,68	3.38				96.30
	05/12/94	99.68	2.90				96.78
	09/10/96	99.68	5.12			1	94.56
	Destroyed			,	•		
OW-17	05/03/89	99.88	4.17	4.06		0.11	95.71
	12/02/93	99.88	3.30				96.58
	05/12/94	99.88	3.79				96.09
	09/10/96	99.88	7.12				92.76
	Destroyed						
OW-18	05/03/89	99,52	2.94				96.58
	12/02/93	99.52	3,06				96.46
	09/10/96	99.52	5.27				94.25
	a						
MW-19	03/30/99	99.29	5,63		12		
	10/04/01	99.29	6.22		14.13		93.07
1	05/16/02	99.29	5.26		14.10		94.03
	08/07/02	99.29	6.61		14.18		92.68
	11/04/02	99.29	4.96		14.13		94.33
	07/09/03	99.29	5.36		14.10		93.93
	10/16/03	99.29	5.52		14.12		93.77
	01/15/04	99.29	5.58		14.11		93.71
	04/07/04	99.29	5.53		14.22		93.76
í	08/04/04	99,29	5.79		14.08		93.50
	11/04/04	99.29	4.44		13.95		94.85
	03/16/05	99.29	5.66		14.09		93.63
	06/23/05	99.29	6,23		14.80		93.06
	09/21/05	99.29	5.72		14.02		93.57
	12/20/05	99.29	5.63		13.96		93.66
MW-20							
111 11 -20	10/04/01		6.77		14.35		NA
	05/16/02		6.23		14.35		NA NA
	03/16/02		7.02		14.30		
	08/07/02		6.62		14.41		NA NA
	01/13/04 04/07/04				14.23		NA NA
		NA	6,57				NA NA
	08/04/04		6.65		14.35		
	11/04/04 03/16/05	NA	NS 6.07		NS		NA
		NA			14.38		NA
	06123/05	NA	6.86		14.36		NA
	09/21105	NA	6.63		14.34		NA
	12/20/05	NA	6.75		14.36		NA
	08/09/07	NA	GONE		GONE		NA
	10/12/07	NA	GONE		GONE		NA
1	06/12/08	NA	GONE		GONE		NA
i i	03/18/09	NA I	GONE				
MW-21	Destroyed						

19-52

### TADLEJ GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA DP SERVICE STATION NO.05167 2201 NORTff 31<sup>11</sup> STREET SPRINGFIELD, JT,I,INOJS

WellID	DATE	TOP OF CASING	DEPTHITO GROUNDWATER (FEET BTOC)	PRODUCT	PRODUCT THIGKNESS (TEET)	GROUNDWATER ELEVATION (REET)
MW-21R	05/16/02	100.04	4.	13.82		96.23
	08/07/02	100.36 100.36	6.05 5.71	13.88 13.91		94.31 94.65
	04/07/03	100.36	5.1•1	13.89		95.22
	07/09/03	100.36	5.26	13.89		95.10
	10/16/03 01/16/04	100.36	5.56 6.01	13.79 13.82		94.80 94.35
	01/18/04	100,36 100.36	4.94	14.02		95.42
	08/04/04	100.36	5.29	13.84		95.07
	11/04/04	100.36	4.63	13.75		95.73
	03/16/05 06/23/05	100.36 100.36	5.51 6.40	13.86 13.84		94.85 93.96
	09/21/05	100.36	6.29	13.80		94.07
	12120/05	100,36	5.27	13.72		95.09
	02/28/07 06/01/07	100.36	4.07	13.79 13.80		96.29 94.69
	08/09/07	100.36 100,36	5.67 6.12	13.80		94.09
	10/12/07	100.36	7.85	13.80.		92.51
	01/30/08	100.36	6.22	13.80		94.14
	06/12/08	100.36 100.36	4.36 4.47	13.75 76		96.00 95.89
	09/16/08 12/18/08	100.36	5.81	78		94.55
			5.56			
MW-22	10/04/01	100.17	<i>c. o.c.</i>	14.25		94.92
	10/04/01 05/16/02	100.17 100.17	5.25 3.10	14.35 14.44		94.92
	08/07/02	100.17	5.17	14.39		95.00
	11/04/02	100.17	4.76	14.38		95.41
	04/07/03 07/09/03	100.17 100.17	3.87 4.23	14.36 14.36		96.30 95,94
	01/16i04	100.17	4.47	14.26		95.70
	04/07/04	100.17	3.84	14.24		96.33
MW-23	D	99.47				95.37
W1W-25	10/04/01	99.47	4.55	14.29		94.92
	05/16/02	99.47	2.98'	14.23		96.49
	08/07/02 11/04/02	99.47 99.47	4.52 3.82	14.34 14.14		94.95 95.65
	04/07/03	99.47	3.10	14.01		96.37
	07/09/03	99.47	3.16	14.01		96.31
	10/16/03 01115/04	99.47 99.47	3.78 4.03	14.72 14.58		95.69 95.44
	04/07/04	99.47	3,25	14.38		96.22
	1				i I	05.45
MW-24	03/30/99 10/04/01	100.47 100.47	5.02 6.45	13,58		95.45 94.02
	05/16/02	100.47	4.15	13.50		96.32
	08/07/02	100.47	5.20	13.52		95.27
	12/18/02 04/07/03	100.47 100.47	6.92 5:05	13.52 12.87		93.55 <i>95.42</i>
	07/09/03	100.47	4,99	12.87		95.48
	10/16/03	100.47	5.30	13.52		95.17
	01/16/04	100.47	5.04 4.68	13.52 13.26		95.43 . 95.79
	04/07/04 08/04/04	100.47 100.47	4.08 5.31	13.26		95.16
	11/04/04	100.47	3.97	13.25		96.50
	03/16/05	100.47	5.14	13.41		95.33
	06123/05 09/21/05	100.47 100.47	6.16 6.18	13.43 13.48		94.31 94.29
	12120/05	100.47	5.00	13.25		95.47
	02/28/07	100A7	4.09	13.47		96.38
	06/01/07	100.47	5.27	13.50		95.20
	08/09/07 01/30/08	100.47 100.47	6.98 6.10	13.50 13.49		93.49 94.37
	06/12/08	100,47	4,22	13.50	1	96.25
	09/16/08	100.47	4.53	13.46		95.94
[	12118/08	100.47	4.98 4.91	13.49		95.49 95.56
י <u>ו</u>	03/18/09	100.47	7,21	I	1	55,550 I

.

19-53

ł

1

### TAIILE3 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA BPSER\'fCE STATION NO.05167 2201 NORTH 31"STREET SPRI..t\"GFJELD, ILLINOIS

-Well ID	DATE	ELEVATION	GROUNDWATER	PRODUCT	DEPTH-TO BOTTOM	PRODUCT THICKNESS	GROUNDWATER ELEVATION
12220231/252	02/20/02	REBI	(CEET BTOC)	(REMINUTOR):	SURFACTOR)	(FEET)	TEED
MW-25	<b>03/30/99</b> 10/04/01	100.64 100.64	<b>5.67</b> 6.17	i i	14.68		94.97 94.47
	05/16/02	100.64	4,60		14.08		96.04
	08107102	100.64	6.20		15,70		94.44
	11104102	100.64	5.81		15.61		94.83
	04/07/03	100.64	5.27		15.60		95.37
	07/09/03	100.64	5,53		15.60		95.11
	10/16/03		5.69		15.64		94.95
	01/16/04	100,64	5.51		15.53		95.01
	04/07/04	100.64	5.23	5.21		0.02	95.41
	08/04/04	100.64	5.91		15,38		94.73
	11/04/04		4.33		15.20		96.31
	03/16/05	100,64	5.72		15.29		94.92
	06123/05		6,62		15.28		94.02
	09/21/05	100.64	6.57		15.26		94.07
	12/20/05	100.64	5.50		15.21 [5,19		95.14 96.85
	02/28/07 06/01/07	100,64 100.64	3.79 5.83		15.20		96.85 94,81
	08/09/07	100.64	7.12		15.20		93.52
	10/12/07	100.64	10.24		15.20		90.4
	01/30/08	100.64	5.63		15.20		95.01
Í	06/12108	100.64	4.80		15.16		95.84
	12118/08		5.66		15.15		94.98
8	03/18/09						
MW-26	03/:				1		
	10/04/01	99,63	5.10		13.31		94.53
	051!6/02	99.63	2.72		13.29		96,91
	08/07/02	99.63	4.72		13.35		94.91
	11104/02	99.63	4.04		13.02		95.59
	04/07/03	99.63	3.52		12.98 12.98		96.11
	07/09/03 10/16/03	99.63 99.63	3.57 4.10		13.24		96.06 95.53
1	()11!6/04	99.63	4.12		13.24		95.55
	04/07/04	99.63	3.35		13.11		96.28
	08/04/04	99,63	4,08		13.12		9S.SS
ļ	11104104	99.63	2.86		12.94		96.77
	03/16/05	99.63	4.27		13.03		95.36
	06123/05	99.63	4.77		15.04		94.86
	09/21/05	99.63	5.12		13.09		94.51
	12120105	99.63	4.20		12.94		95.43
	02128/07	99,63	3.55		13.04		96.08
	06/01/07	99.63	4.51		13.00		95.12
	08/09/07 10112107	99.63 <i>99.63</i>	4.92 6,55		13.00 13.00		94.71 93.08
1	01/30/08	99.63	4.26		13.00		. 95.37
	06/12108	99,63	4.25		12.95		95.38
	091!6/08	99.63	3.09		13.01		96,54
	12/18/08	99.63	4.36		12.99		95.27
1							
MW-27	-						95,74
	10/04/01	98.88	6.12		12.77		92,76
	OS/16/02	98,88	1.59		12.76		97.29
	08/07/02	98.88	6.63		12.89		92.25
	11/04/02 07/09/03	98.88 98.88	4.74 4.72		12.79 12.79		94.14 94.16
	10/16/03	98.88 98.88	4.72 4.69		12.79	1	94.16 94.19
	01/15/04	98.88 98.88	4.05		12.88		94.19
	01/13/04	98.88	3.62		13.00		95.26
	08/04/04	98,88	5,11		12.76		93.77
	11104104	98.88	2.04		12,77		96.84
	03116105	98.88	4.48		12,87		94.4
[	06123/05	98.88	5.86		12.74		93.02
	09/21/05	98.88	5.98		12.82		92.9
	12/20/05	98.88	.4.16		12.78		94.72
	02128/07	98.88	1.97		12.81		96.91
	06/01/07	98,88	4.89		12.82		93.99
	08/09/07	98.88	6,55		12,82		92.33
	10/12/07	98.88	9.12		12.82		89,76
	01/30/08	98.88	4,24		12.80 12.75		94.64
	06112/08 09/16/08	98.88 98.88	2.79 0.50		. 12.80		96.09 98.38
	12/18/08	98,88	4.60		12.80		94.28
	12/10/00	20,00	+.00		12.02		77.20
		+		-			L

19-54

ŝ

### TABLE3 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA BP SERVICE STATION NO. 05167 2201 NORTH 31<sup>11</sup> STREET SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

		TOPOFCASING			GROUNDWATER
WellID	DATE	ELEVATION (FEET)	GROUNDWATER	PRODUCT BOTTOM THICKNES (FEET BTOC) (FEET BTOC) (FEET)	
MW-28	03/30/99	98.75	4.5	13	94.25
	10/04/01 05/16/02	98.75 98.75	6.07 2.77	12.75 12.68	92.68 95.98
ſ	03/16/02	98.75	6.58	12.00	92.17
	I1104/02	98.75	4.07	12.89	94.68
	07/09/03	98.75	4.77	12.89	93.98
	10/16/03	98.75	4.60	12.55	94.15 94.38
	01115/04 04/07/04	98.75 98.75	4.37 4.11	12.61 12.68	94.58
	04/07/04	98.75	5.19	12.65	93.56
	11/04/04	98.75	1.96	12.54	96.79
	03/16/05	98.75	4.62	12.64	94.13
	06123/05	98.75	5.88	12.62	92.87
1	09/21/05 12/20/05	98.75 98.75	5.54 4.43	12.62 12.54	93.21 94.32
	02/28/07	98.75	2.83	12.54	95.92
	06/01/07	98.75	4,90	12.62	93.85
	08/09/07	98.75	6.59	12.62	92.16
	10/12/07	98,75	9.20	12.62	89.55
	01/30/08	98.75	4.51	12.52	94.24 95.06
1	06/12/08 09/16/08	98.75 98.75	3.69 2.63	12.70 12.62	96.12
	12/(8/08	98.15	4.72	12.60	94.03
ļ	03/18/09	,	=	I	
MW-29	05/16/02	99.36	2.56		96.8
	08/07/02	99.36	4,28	11.94	95.08
	11/04/02 04/07/03	99.36 99,36	3.6 3.61	12.02 12.03	95.76 95.75
	07/09/03	99.36	3.04	12.03	96,32
	10/16/03	99.36	3.53	I1.93	95.83
	Destroyed			12.07	
MW-30	05/16/02 08/07/02	<b>99.28</b> 99.28	<b>1.61</b> 5.81	13.87 13.93	93.47
	11/04/02	99.28	3.8	13.88	95.48
	04/07/03	99.28	2.43	13.87	96.85
	07/09/03	99.28	3.9	13.87	95.38
	10/16/03	99.28	4.23	13.91	<i>95.05</i> 95.14
	01/15/04 04/07/04	99.28 99.28	4.14 3.58	13.89 14.02	95.14 95.1
	08/04/04	99.28	4.73	13.89	94.55
	11/04/04	99.28	1.65	13.81	97.63
	03/16/05	99.28	4.52	13.91	94.76
	06123/05	99.28 99.28	5.38 5.37	13.88 13.85	93.9 93.91
	09/21105 09/16/08	99.28 99.28	0.27	13.83	99.01
1	12/18/08	99.28	4.44		
MW-31	04/08/03	99.78	··		1
	07/09/03	99.78	5,12	12.97	94.66
	10/16/03 01/15/04	99.78 . 99.78	4.94 4.53	12.89 12.87	94.84 95.25
	01/13/04	99.78	4.28	12.87	95.5
	08/04/04	99.78	5.54	12.67	94.24
	11/04/04	99.78	2.67	12.50	97.1 I
	03/16/0S	99.78	4.83	12.68	94.95
	06/16/05 09/21/05	99.78 99.78	6,26 6.2	12.64 12.67	93.52 93.58
	12/20/05	99.78 99.78	4.6	12.50	95.18
	02/28/07	99.78	2.37	12.51	97.41
	06/01{07	99.78	5,22	12.51	94.56
	08/09/07	99.78	7.02	12.51	92.76
	10/12/07 01130/08	99.78 99.78	9.46 4.71	12.51 12.50	90.32 95.07
	06/12/08	99.78 99.78	4.71 3.97	12.50	95.81
	09/16/08	99.78	2.35	12.68	97.43
	1 <b>V</b> 18/08	99.78	4.85	12.68	94.93
]	03/18/09	99.78	4.50		
-					

19-55

### TADLEJ GROUNDWATER ELEV, VITON DATA BP SERVICE STATION NO. 05167 2201 NORTH 31"STREET SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

Well ID	DATE	TOP OF CASING ELEVATION	GROUNDWATER	DEPTILTO PRODUCT	DEPTH TO BOTTOM	THICKNESS	ELEVATION
MW-32	04/08/03	99.51	5.39	ILFEEL/BIOCH	12.85		94.12
1	07/09/03	99.51	5.01	•	12.85		94.5
	10/16/03	99.51	5.01		13.11		94.5
	01/15/04	99.51	5.02		13.09		94.49
	04/07/04	99.51	4.94		13.21		94.57
	08/04/04	99.51	5.28		13.03		94.23
	I1/04/04	99.51	4.64		12.82		94.87
	03/16/05	99.51	5.0-f		12.95		94.47
	06!23/05	99.51	5.8		12.93		93.71
	09/21/05	99.51	5.33		12.99	1	94.18
	12/20/05	99.51	5.07		12.82		94.44
	02128/07	99.51	4.79		12.93		94.72
	06/01/07	99.51	5.06		12.92		94.45 93.19
	08/09/07	99.51	6.32		12.92 12.92		93.19
	10/12/07 01/30/08	99.51 99.51	8.59 4.98		12.92		94.53
	06/12/08	99.51 99.51	4.98		12.95		94.66
	09/16/08	99.51 99.51	4.76		12.88		94.75
	12/18/08	99.51	5.02		12.88		94.49
	12,10,00	<i>JJ</i> .J1	5.02		12.00		
MW-33	04/08/03	100.23			12.92		
	07/09/03	100.23	5.93		12.92		. 94.3
	10/16/03	100.23	6.04		12.96		94.19
	01115/04	100.23	6.06		12.93		94.17
	04/07/04	100.23	6.02		13.15		94.21
	08/04/04	100.23	6.14		12.92		94.09
	11/04/04	100.23	5.84		12.93		94.39
	03/16/05	100.23	6.08		13.03		94.15
1	06123/05	100.23	6.47		12.98 12.9		93.76 94.12
	09121/05	100.23 100.23	<i>6.11</i> 6.12		12.9		94.12 94.11
	12/20/05 02/28/07	100.23	6.12 5.91		12.95		94.32
	02/28/07	100.23	6.07		12.91		94,16
	08/09/07	100.23	6,62		12.91		93.61
	10/12/07	100.23	9.83		12.91		90.4
	01/30/08	100.23	6.07		12.91		94.16
1 1	06/12/08	100.23	6.01	6.00	12.90	0.01	94.22
	12118/08	100.23	6.09		12.91	6.09	94.14
1	03/18/09	100.23	6.05				94.18
MW-34	04/08/03	100,37					96.24
	Destroyed		4.02				
MW-35	04/07/04 08/04/04		4.56		12.37		
	11/04/04		4.08		12.38		
	03/16/05		4.8		13.33		
	06/23/05		5.28		13.46		
	09/21/05		5.8		14.06		
	12/20/05		4.8		12.39		
	02/28/07		4.2		13.79		
	06/01/07		5.67		13.79		
1	08/09/07		4.2		13.79	1	
	10/12/07		7.42		14.46		
	06/12/08		3.55 4.25		14.46 14.48		
" İ	09/16/08 03/18/09		4.25	1	14.48		
proc- nete		a 1	*No product observed	in MIV-26 on Alt		l meetion with bail	er
NA=Not Ava	w top of casing table	s 	-140 product observed	III 19199-23 OR 4/ //	VT OPOR VISUAI INS	pection without	

### TAI3LE4 SOIL BTEX ANALYTICAL RESULTS I3PSERVICE STATION NO.05167 2201 NORTH 31<sup>11</sup>STREET SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

SAMPLEID	DATE	DEPTH (FEET		BENZ	ENE	States and	TOLUE	NE.		ethni Benzen			TOTA XYLEN	
Gas UST #1 Floor	02/09/96	12	Т	0.29	12	T	0.13		Τ	0.38		T	0.71	
Gas UST #2 Floor	02/09/96	12		0.14	I		0.19			0.35			0.42	
Gas UST #3 Floor	02/09/96	12		0.18	1.2		2.7		i i	0.51			2.6	
Gas UST Area III North \Vall, East Half	02/09/96	6	<	0.0	1,2		1.3			1.7			11	
Gas UST Area #I North Wall, West Half	02/09/96	6		0.15	I		0.013			0.086			0.18	
Gas UST Area#1 South Wall	02/09/96	6	<	2.5	1.2.5.6	,3	4.9			12			73	
Gas UST Area#1 East Wall	02/09/96	6	<	0.5	1.2		3.3			4.5			25	
Gas UST Area III West Wall, South Half	02/09/96	6		0.5	1.2		10	i		11			76	
Gas UST Area#1 West Wall, North Half	02/09/96	6		1.2	1.2.5		3.4			11			63	
Gas UST #4 Floor	02/09/96	12		0.57	1.2		0.5			0.82			3.3	
Gas UST Area 112 North Wall	02/09/96	6		3.3	1,2,5,6,8		31	1.2		10			54	
Gas UST Area#2 South Wall	02/09/96	6		10	1,2,5,6,	8	100	1,2,8		30	1.2		180	1,2,8
Gas UST Area 112 East Wall	02/09/96	6		1.4	1.2.5		13			5			28	
Gas UST Area 112 West Wall	02/09/96	6	<	0.5	1,2		4.8			7.1			41	
Pump Island #1	02/09/96	3	<	0.002		•	0.0031		<	0.002			0.0055	- [
Pump Island #2	02/09/96		<	0.05	I		0.14		<	0.05			3.3	
Old Pump Island#1	02/13/96		<	0.5	1.2		1.4			1.6		<	1.3	
Product Line II1	02/09/96			0.0021			0.0021		<	0.002			0.0056	
Product Line #2	02/09/96		<	0.002		<	0.002		<	0.002		<	0.005	
Old Product Line #1	02/13/96		<	0.05	1		0.13			0.072			0.18	
Used/heating oil north waU	04/15/96			0.0189			0.0179			0.0964	1		0.581	
Used/heating oil south wall	04/15/96			0.002		<	0.002		<	0.002			0.00Z6	
Used/heating oil east wall	04/15/96			0.002		<	0.002		<	0.002			0.0172	
Uscd/heating oil west wall	04/15/96			0.002		.<	0.002			0.002		<	0.002	
Used/heating oil Floor	04/15/96	6	<	0.002		<	0.002		<	0.002			0.398	
SOIL COMPONENT TO	CLASS	J.		0.03			12			13			150	
GROUNDWATER INGESTION	CLASS	ш	53	0.17			29			19			150	
INGESTION REMEDIATION	RESIDEN	TIAL		12			16;000			7,800	혧		16;000	
OBJECTIVES	COMMER	CIAL		100			410,000			200,000			410:000	
INHALATION REMEDIATION	RESIDEN	TIAL		0.8			650			400			320	
OBJECTIVES	COMMER	CIAL		1.6			650			400			320	
CONSTRUCTION/WORKER	INGEST	ION		2,300			410,000			20,000			41,000	
REMEDIATION OBJECTIVES	INHALA	TION		2:2		麣	42			58			5.6	

I = Above Class I Soil to Groundwater Remediation Objective 2 = Abolve Class II Soil to Oroundw11ter Remediation Objl!t'tiVC

3 = Above ResidentalIngestion Remediation Objet'ti\c

4 = Abovo CotumercialIngestion Remediation Objectil'e

 ${\cal S}$  =AboveResidential Inhalation Remediation O bjectiY c

6 = A bove CouunercialInhalation Remediation O bjeetile

7 = Above Construction Worker Ingestion Remediation Objective

8 = Above Construction Worker Inhalation Remediation Objective

Results in milligrams per kilogram (mglkg)

• This site has been evaluated based on Class I Remediation Obj!!!t'Uves

### TAIILE4 SOIL BTEX ANALYTICAL RESULTS **BP SERVICE STATION NO.05167** 2201 NORTH 31'1 STREET SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

SAMPLE ID	DATE	DEPTH (FEE1)		ENE		TOLUEN	E	ETIN Benzer		TOTA XYLEN	
PH-01	09/12/96	4-6	1.84	1,2,5,6	<	0.5	Т	5.56	T	10.1	8
PH-02	09/12/96	1	7.01	J;,S,6,8	<	0.5	•		<i>1,1</i> '	76.1	s
PH-03	09/12/96		< 0.002		<	0.002			<	0.002	
PH-04	09/12/96		0.01			0.182		0.524		1.59	
PH-05	09/12/96		2.64	I,I,S,6,8		18.5		36.3	1.2	154	1,2,8
PH-06	09/12/96		< 0.002		<	0.002	<	0.002		0.002	
PH-07	09/12/96	4-6						1	J	0.0282	2
MW-19	07/08/97	4			<	0.002	<	0.002		and the second second	<u> </u>
MW-20	07/09/97	4-5			<		<		<	0.002	
MW-21	07108197	2-4				0.0026	<			0.0056	: 1
MW-21	07/08/97	4-5				0.028		0.12		0.14	
MW-22	07/08/97				<	0.002	<	0.002	<	0.002	
MW-22 MW-23	07/09/97				<	0.002	<			0.002	1
	07/08/97				-	0.27		0.002		2.2	ł
ES-01 (replaced with SB-12) OSB-1	07/08/97	1-3	< 0.002		<	0.27	<		<		·
OSB-1 OSB-1	07/08/97	. 4	< 0.002			0.002	<		~		
		1			Γ	0.002	<	0.001		0.002	
OSB-2	07/08/97	2-3	0.0036	1	1			0.002		0.007	
OSB-2	07/08/97	4-5	0.01		L	0.025 0.0058		0.013		0.020	
MW-24 (r plnced with SB-10) MW-25 (replaced with SD-8)						0.0058		0.013		0.039	
MW-25 (replaced with SD-8) MW-26						0.0076				-	
								0.051	_	0.1 I	
<u>M</u> W-27			1	1	5	0.002				0.002	
	10/21/98	4-6	< 0.002		<	0.002	<			0.002	
SB-01	01/03/02	4-6	0.014			0.044		0.0025		0.21	
MW-30	01/03/02	3-5	< 0.0027			0.0027	<			0.008	
MW-31	04/08/03	3-5	< 0.0026			0.0026	<			0.0077	
SB-02	04/08/03	3.4	< 0.0026		<	0.0026	_<	0.0026	<u> </u>	0.0078	
SB-03 (replaced with SB-11) SB-04 (replaced with SB-10)							<		<		
SB-04 (replaced with SB-10) SB-05					<	0.13	~		<		
				1,2,5	<u> </u>	12				0.39 69	8
SB-06 SB-07					<	0.13	<		<	0.38	
						0.15	<				
MW-35	04/01/04	2-4	• • • • • •		v , v				< <	0.38	
SB-8	07/28/09	3-4	< 0.027				1-		<	0.202	
SB-9	07/28/09	2-4	< 0.0233			0.0583	K			0.175	
SB-10	07/28/09	0-2	< 0.0285			0.0713	1		- 1	0.214	
SB-11	07/28/09	0-2	< 0.0239	1		0.0598	<		<	0.179	
SB-12	07/28/09	3	< 0.0244		<	0.061	<	0.061	>)	0.183	100000
SOIL COMPONENT TO	CLAS	S-I *	0.03			12		13		150	
GROUNDWATER INGESTION	CLAS	sincesses	0.17			29	影響	19		150	
				Martin Sec.	STOCKED IN		999 - 200 1979 - 208		1992   1992		
INGESTION REMEDIATION	RESIDEN		12	22682		16;000		7,800	50 M	16,000	112 414
OBJECTIVES	COMME	<b>ICIAL</b>	100		쀓	410,000		200,000	關權	410,00	038
	RESIDEN	TIAT	0.8		(1) (1)	-650		400		320	
INHALATION REMEDIATION	10.1111 - 11.1112   11.1113   11.1113   11.1113   11.1113   11.1113   11.1113   11.1113   11.1113   11.1113   1						·····································				
OBJECTIVES			COLUMN STATES	N. 101023211	7.19 N	252603055	53. BB	400	動相關	Stann's	268 B
	COMMEI	<b>CUAL</b>	16		240	650	的题	NEXT CONTRACTOR	新潟 ジル	320	1000
		and a country will be									
CONSTRUCTION WORKER REMEDIATION OBJECTIVES	COMMEI INGEST	NON	2,30) 2,2			410,000 42		20,000 58		41;000 5:6	

1 = Above Class I Soil to Groundwater Remediation Objective 2 = Abo, e Class J Soil to Groundwater Remediation Objec.tive

3 = Abo\'e Res!dental Ingestion Remediation Objective

4 = Abore Commerc! all ngestion Remediation Objecth • e

5 = Abore Residential Inhalation Remediation Obje tive

6 = Abo\'c Commercial Inhalation Remediation Objectirc

7 = Above Construction Worker Ingestion Remediation Objective
 8 = Abore Construction Worker Inhalation Remediation Objective

Results in milligrams per kilogram (ntgikg)

• This site has be In evaluated based on Closs / Remediation Objectives

TABLES SOIL PNA ANALYTICAL RESULTS BP SERVICE STATION NO.05167 2210 NORTH 3lotSTREET SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

əriəyönlü -C.S.Donabri anaryy naistiningeY anary <sup>qı</sup>	288 < 121 288 < 121 288 < 121 288 < 121	0.141 > 0.0288 > 1.21 > 0.0288 > 1.21 > 0.0141 > 0.0288 > 1.21 > 0.014 > 0.01788 > 0.067 > 0.014 > 0.0158 > 0.067 > 0.014 > 0.0158 > 0.067 > 0.014 > 0.0158 > 0.067 > 0.0058 > 0.067 > 0.0058 > 0.0058 > 0.067 > 0.0058 > 0	0.14 < 0.08 < 0.3 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.3 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 < 0.3 <	0.08	0.14 < 0.08 < 0.3 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.3 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.3 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.08 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.14 < 0.1	14 12	2,800 69 18 21,000	3,100 0.9 1,600 2,300	82.000 8 4 <b>1,000</b> 61,000	NA NA IJO NA	NA NA 270 NA	<b>22,000 170 4,100 61,000</b>		
li,k)oznodi(T noorvitne noorvitne notineroul/T	<ul> <li>&lt; 0.02</li> <li>&lt; 0.02</li> <li>&lt; 0.02</li> <li>&lt; 0.02</li> <li>&lt; 0.0362</li> <li>&lt; 0.141</li> <li>&lt; 0.02</li> <li>&lt; 0.141</li> <li>&lt; 0.02</li> <li>&lt; 0.141</li> <li>&lt; 0.070</li> </ul>	0.02	V. V	0.045 <	0.045 <		7.6 21,000	0:09 3,100	0.8 82,000	NA NA	NA NA	17 82,000		<del>ctive</del> <del>ective</del> Objectives
lluoranthei pyreue Denzo(a)	$\begin{array}{rrrr}  4 & < 0.0154 & < 0.101 \\  4 & < 0.0154 & < 0.101 \\  4 & < 0.0154 & < 0.101 \\  4 & < 0.0154 & < 0.101 \\  4 & < 0.0154 & < 0.101 \\ \end{array}$	<ul><li>&lt; 0.0154</li><li>&lt; 0.0154</li><li>&lt; 0.0154</li></ul>	<ul><li>&lt; 0.025</li><li>&lt; 0.025</li><li>&lt; 0.025</li></ul>	<ul> <li>0.025</li> <li>0.025</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>0.025</li> <li></li> </ul>	8 10	. 82 800	0:00	0.8	NA NA	NA NA	0 17 17,000		<ul> <li>7 = Above Construction Worker Ingestion Remediation Objective</li> <li>8 = Above Construction Worker Inhalation Remediation Objective</li> <li>Thissile has been evalutited based on Class I Remediation Objectives</li> </ul>
anthracea Benzo(b)- Benzo(b)- Benzo(t)- Denzo(t)-	$\begin{array}{rrrrr} 871 &< 0.0121 &< 0.0114 \\ 222 & 0.0165 &< 0.0114 \\ 871 &< 0.0121 &< 0.0114 \\ 8871 &< 0.0121 &< 0.0114 \\ 866 &< 0.0121 &< 0.0114 \\ \end{array}$	0.0159 < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	<ul> <li>&lt; 0.035 </li> <li>&lt; 0.035 </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>&lt; 0.035</li> <li>&lt; 0.035</li> <li>&lt; 0.035</li> </ul>	/ V	5 49	25 250		8	NA I	a na na	0 170 1,700		7 = Above Construction V 8 ≈ Above Construction V • Thissite has been evalu:
1111(481030)A	21         < 0.442         < 0.00871           21         < 0.442	< 0.442 < 0.044 <	0.6 < 0.03 < 0.03 0.6 < 0.03 < 0.03	<ul> <li></li> <li><td><ul> <li>0.03</li> </ul></td><td>0 12,000 2</td><td></td><td>00 23,000 0.9</td><td>0 0</td><td>A NA NA</td><td>A   NA   NA</td><td>000 610,000 170</td><td></td><td></td></li></ul>	<ul> <li>0.03</li> </ul>	0 12,000 2		00 23,000 0.9	0 0	A NA NA	A   NA   NA	000 610,000 170		
0180 (1931) (1931) (1931) (1932)	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	6 < 1 4-6 < 0	10/21/98 4-6 < 0. 10/21/98 3-4 < 0.	10/21/98 1-2 < 0.6	46 <	CLASS1* 570	CLASS II 2,000			RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL NA	INGESTION 120,000	- INHALATION	ater Remediation Objective rater Remediation Objective nediation Objective
SampleID	Used/heating oil west wall Used/heating oil north wall Used/heating oil south wall Used/heating oil east wall	Used/heating oil Floor PH-7	MW-24 MW-25	MW-26 MW-27	MW-28	SOIL COMPONENT TO GROUNDWATTER	INGESTION	SOIL INGESTION REMEDIATION	OBJECTIVES	SULL INHALA HUN REMEDIATION	OBJECTIVES	CONSTRUCTION	REMEDIATION ORIECTIVES	<ul> <li>1 = Above Class I Soil to Groundwater Remediation Objective</li> <li>2 = Above Class II Soil to Groundwater Remediation Objective</li> <li>3 = Above Residental Ingestion Remediation Objective</li> </ul>

05167 Tahles-l.xl<

7

19-58

ALC: NOT A CONTRACTOR OF A

19-59

## FIGURES

Corrective Action Plan Addendum IEMA Incident Nos. 941260 & 960236

BP Service Station #5167 2201 North 31st Street {Dirksen Parkway) Springfield, Sangamon County, Illinois





19-101



19-62





, 20-al) - a y a v a v