

DON GRAY

SANGAMON COUNTY CLERK

SANGAMON COUNTY COMPLEX
200 SOUTH NINTH STREET – ROOM 101
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62701
TELEPHONE: 217-753-6700/FACSIMILE: 217-535-3233
WEBSITE: www.sangamoncountyclerk.com



MINUTES

SANGAMON COUNTY BOARD

MAY 8, 2018

The Sangamon County Board met in Reconvened Adjourned September Session on May 8, 2018 in the County Board Chambers. Chairman Van Meter called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. Mr. Smith gave the Invocation and Mr. O’Neill led the County Board in the Pledge of Allegiance. Mr. Bunch asked for a moment of silence for their comrade Arizona State Senator John McCain who is passing away and doesn’t have much time.

ROLL CALL

Chairman Van Meter asked County Clerk Gray to call the roll. There were 28 Present – 1 Absent. Ms. Sheehan was excused.

APPOINTMENT

Chairman Van Meter announced, without objection, they would proceed out of the regular order of business to accommodate one of their appointees to the Springfield Airport Authority. This particular appointee is the Chairman of the Springfield Airport Authority. Chairman Van Meter made the nomination to re-appoint Frank Vala as Chairman of the Springfield Airport Authority. He is known to all of the County Board members here and is widely known throughout the community. He has been an outstanding Chairman of the Airport Authority. Under his leadership, the commercial ridership of the Airport Authority has increased by almost 50% to some 170,000 people. Under his leadership and his actions alone, he secured a multi-million dollar grant from Dick Levi to build the Lincoln Land Facility for Airplane Engine Repair. They are in the process of building a new facility for private planes. Under his leadership, they are close to securing financing for a major overhaul of the commercial airport. Frank has been an incredible leader of the Airport Authority. His finest hour was when they lost the low-cost carrier that was servicing Florida because of some financial and quality issues with that carrier. Frank really rallied the board to bring a new low cost carrier back into this community. Within two or three months they had Allegiant back in here making low-cost travel

available to every citizen of this community, and really changing the nature of the trajectory of that airport. He has been an outstanding leader of the airport and they hope he continues to serve as the Chairman of the Springfield Airport Authority. Chairman Van Meter strongly recommended the re-appointment of Frank Vala as Chairman of the Springfield Airport Authority. A voice vote carried on the appointment. Mr. DelGiorno voted No.

Mr. Vala addressed the County Board. He wishes he could take the credit for all of this, but they run as a board out there. The County appoints three members and the City appoints four. They work hand-in-hand. When the airport does something, it's almost always by unanimous vote. They have held the tax line, expanded the airport, and added jobs. The school of aviation housed in the Levi, Ray & Shoup Aviation Center at Lincoln Land is working with them and is going to hire their graduates at \$60,000 to \$70,000 to start. He is proud of the board and the support he receives from Chairman Van Meter and the County Board. He thanked them for the honor of the re-appointment.

Chairman Van Meter asked Mr. Vala to give some background on the plans for improvements to the private and public terminals. Mr. Vala explained they have been fortunate enough to get some loans and grants to totally rebuild the fixed-base operator building at the airport. This is the gas station for private airplanes for when they need fuel, oil or repairs. This should start in the next few months. They will also extend the exit road. This will eliminate three cross overs and gain them about 80 to 95 more parking spots. Right now it is pretty difficult to find a place to park. They are working with the Representatives and Senators to continue to improve and expand the actual terminal. The basic idea is to take the glass as it exists now and extend both those walls out past the sidewalk so the interior will probably gain about 40% more room. They will relocate the PSA so you will not have a long line coming past the check-in terminal. They will have a new exterior put on the building and new sidewalks put in. They will put in an exterior overhang so you are not getting in and out of your car trying to get your luggage during bad weather. The three air carriers they have now, besides the private charters, are all in support of this. They pay them a PFC (passenger facilities charge), which essentially allows them to do things like this.

Mr. Hall stated his mom's family farms airport acreage, and he has partial ownership of that farm. He asked if he should vote Present because of this. Assistant State's Attorney Dwayne Gab confirmed that he should. Mr. Hall voted Present on the appointment.

MOTION CARRIED
APPOINTMENT APPROVED

AMAREON NAUDAIN – 4-H NATIONAL CONFERENCE REPORT

Linda Fulgenzi introduced Director of Community Resources Sharmin Doering. Mrs. Doering introduced Amareon Naudain for a presentation. Mr. Naudain and Erica Austin, who is a Scholastic Achievement Member, addressed the County Board. Mr. Naudain stated he is here to give a presentation about his trip to Washington D.C. for the 4-H National Conference April 7th through the 14th.

He is a Junior at Springfield High School, a 4-H Junior Leader for the 6-8th Grade Scholastic Program, Member of the State 4-H Youth Leadership Team, and Member of the Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority, Inc.-ASCEND Youth Program. He was given two days to work on his round table presentations. He was provided with four questions to answer throughout the presentations.

1. How can safety conscious teens change the teens among their friends and other teens? 2. What type of messaging do teens think will be effective? 3. How can individuals, organizations, and NHTSA make a difference? 4. What will it take to convince teens to put their phones away while driving? During his Day on Capitol Hill he shared the work he does with 4-H with Congressman Rodney Davis. He talked about the projects he's involved in, how the 4-H program has impacted him, and how much his continued support would mean to the program. They also met with the staffers of Congressman Mike Bost, Congressman John Shimkus, and Congressman Darin LaHood.

Their next steps will be to design a project with the rest of the Illinois Delegation that will relate to addressing an issue they will identify as important in their respective communities. He thanked the County Board for their sponsorship and support.

MINUTES

A motion was made by Mr. O'Neill, seconded by Mr. Bunch, for approval of the Minutes of April 9, 2018. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTION CARRIED
MINUTES ADOPTED

CORRESPONDENCE

A motion was made by Mr. Bunch, seconded by Mr. Preckwinkle, to place correspondence on file with the County Clerk. A voice vote was unanimous.

RESOLUTION 1

1. Resolution approving the purchase of a paint striper for the Highway Department.

A motion was made by Mr. Fraase, seconded by Mrs. Williams, to place Resolution 1 on the floor. County Clerk Gray called the roll. Upon a roll call vote, there were 27 Yeas – 0 Nays.

MOTION CARRIED
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

RESOLUTION 2

2. Resolution approving the purchase of a used Durapatcher for the Highway Department.

A motion was made by Mr. DelGiorno, seconded by Mr. Snell, to place Resolution 2 on the floor. A motion was made by Mr. Preckwinkle that the roll call vote for Resolution 1 stands as the roll call vote for Resolution 2. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

RESOLUTION 3

3. Resolution approving the FY2019 United Planning Work Program for the Springfield-Sangamon County Regional Planning Commission for planning costs of streets and highways.

A motion was made by Mr. Fraase to withdraw Resolution 3. There were no objections.

MOTION CARRIED
RESOLUTION WITHDRAWN

RESOLUTION 4

4. 2018-011 – Glenn Braker & John Braker, 17000 block of Mansion Road, New Berlin – Granting Variances. County Board Member – Craig Hall, District #7.

A motion was made by Mr. Hall, seconded by Linda Fulgenzi, to place Resolution 4 on the floor. A motion was made by Mr. Stumpf to waive the reading of the professional staff's report. There were no objections. A voice vote was unanimous for the adoption of Resolution 4.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

RESOLUTION 5

5. 2018-012 – Mike Hoyle, 12750 Edgewater Way, New Berlin – Granting Variances. County Board Member – Craig Hall, District #7.

A motion was made by Mrs. Scaife, seconded by Mr. Ratts, to place Resolution 5 on the floor. Chairman Van Meter asked the professional staff to give the procedural history of the case. Zoning Administrator Trustin Harrison stated the petitioner is requesting a variance to allow the side yard setback to be approximately seven feet instead of the required ten feet and a variance to allow the lot depth to be greater than 2 ½ times the lot width. Steven Keenan with the Regional Planning Commission stated the staff recommends denial of the setback variance. The petition cites the unique circumstances are that the contractor poured the concrete pad in the wrong location. While this may be the case, this is not a circumstance related to the property nor does it alleviate the responsibility of the property owner to ensure the regulations of the ordinance are met. The Standards for Variation are not met for this request. They recommend approval of the lot depth variances. The subject property was created in 1996, so granting the lot depth variance will bring the

parcel into compliance. The Standards for Variation are met for this request. Mr. Harrison stated the Zoning Board of Appeals made a motion to concur with the staff recommendation and the motion failed with a vote of 2 to 3. The Zoning Board of Appeals made a motion to approve the petition as requested and adopt their own standards for variation, and the motion carried 3 to 2.

Thomas Pavlik, Attorney for the Petitioner, addressed the County Board. His address at Delano Law Offices is 1 SE Old State Capitol Plaza in Springfield. They are not here to debate the propriety or the appropriateness of a 90x25 foot building on the subject property. They are here today to talk about a 2 ½ foot variance. He thinks that is important and will come back to that. Mr. Hoyle purchased this property in 2009. He bought an orphaned and deformed piece of property that was a leftover from a development that probably shouldn't have happened. In 2009 they filed a zoning petition to get some relief. There were two important things in that zoning petition. One is the 2009 zoning petition identified a 90x25 foot building. He mentions this because there was a misperception that people thought Mr. Hoyle had promised one thing but had built something else. That is just not the case. From the beginning of 2009 he always identified a 90x25 foot building. The second thing is the staff report in 2009 indicated the neighbors to the south, which is Mrs. Elam, had no objection. That is why they are not here to debate the propriety of this building on the property. They are here to talk about a 2 ½ foot error. Fast forward to 2012, Mr. Hoyle has his General Contractor Pat Patterson do a building permit. Attached to that was a sketch that showed again a 90x25 foot building. There's no subterfuge here. He said there was something unique about this property. It is long and narrow and if you miss your elevations, for lack of a better word, you are going to have some problems. That is exactly what happened because of the uniqueness of this orphaned leftover property. Pat Patterson laid the foundation, and instead of being on the east west access, it was askew so on the east it missed the 10-foot setback and on the west by about 2 ½ feet. There is no encroachment on the neighbor's property on the south. They are talking about missing the mark by about 2 ½ feet. Again, the time to make an issue of a 90x25 foot building on this property was in 2009. Here, they are only talking about 2 ½ feet. He knows there has been some discussion about a recent case where they made a building come down because there was no building permit. It was an unsafe structure and was a flagrant violation of the law. Mr. Hoyle tried to follow the law and hired a contractor who had an error. He thinks those are completely different. If he was able to move this building 2 ½ feet to solve the problem, would it make any difference to the objectors? He would submit not. He would say the Zoning Board of Appeals had it correct when they found all standards for variation were met, and he asked that they vote for their endorsement.

Jenny Elam, residing at 1507 S. Wake Road in New Berlin, addressed the County Board. She stated that Mike has depreciated her property. If you give him the easement he gets away with the mistakes he has made. He owns Kwik-Wall. She doesn't believe that he didn't know what he was doing. He never got a final permit until he was forced to do so. He knew very well what he was doing. That building towers over her house and yard. He is still playing games now, and is trying to offer her money so she will go away. He is trying to buy her house for a depreciated price after he was the one who depreciated it. Mike knew he was wrong. He withdrew the petition and re-submitted it, but nothing has changed. He was thinking he would lose. The fact is he has made mistakes and he needs to fix them. He is the one who approached her about buying her house. He says she is the one who wants him to buy her house, but she does not because he doesn't want to

give her a fair price. He thinks he can bully her into selling by making her uncomfortable. He has a surveillance camera pointing at her back door and bedroom window. Someone cut two holes in her chain link fence so her dogs can get out, along with air horns being blown at 1:00 a.m., fireworks, and loud blowing music. If he is given the easement then it will be like saying it is okay to do this to your neighbors. It is not okay. She asked that they please take these facts into consideration before voting.

Mr. Madonia asked if she was okay with this in the beginning in 2009 and 2012. Mrs. Elam stated she does not remember much being said about the structure. They did not come in here and contest it, but her husband was sick and she just didn't pay much attention. They were told he was going to build a garage there and they were fine with that. Mr. Madonia asked what has changed since 2012 to 2018 to make her want him to move this or tear it down. Mrs. Elam stated it is because of the circumstances and how she is uncomfortable in her house because of the activities that have gone on there.

Mr. Krell asked if her house is up for sale right now. Mrs. Elam stated it is not and it has not been up for sale.

Mr. DelGiorno asked if she's had an appraisal done to see what the fair market value is and when. Mrs. Elam stated she did recently within the last couple months. It was appraised at \$64,000 and that's after they considered the depreciation. Mr. DelGiorno asked if she used a licensed appraiser. Mrs. Elam stated she did and his name is Mike Carnduff. Mr. DelGiorno asked if he specifically cited a depreciation value because of that building. Mrs. Elam stated he did. Mr. DelGiorno asked how much he said is attributed to the depreciation. Mrs. Elam stated she doesn't think he said an amount, but just said it definitely depreciated the property value. Mr. DelGiorno asked if they raised any objections about the size of it at the time it was built. Mrs. Elam stated they did not, but they were shocked when it was built. Mr. DelGiorno asked if they have spoken with an attorney about any of the circumstances with the fence and the camera and if they are seeking regress for that. Mrs. Elam stated they have not. They thought about calling the police, but they can't prove anything unless they look at Mike's camera.

Mrs. Annette Fulgenzi stated they said her house was devalued because the structure was too close, but she asked if they addressed the fact that her house is within 4 feet of the property as part of the reason it is devalued. Mrs. Elam stated that her house was built first so they said now that this building is built it has depreciated the value.

Mr. Krell asked if she had her house appraised before the structure was even built. Mrs. Elam stated she did not build the house, but she doesn't remember what it was appraised 40 years ago. Mr. Krell asked why she recently had it appraised. Mrs. Elam stated she did because Mike approached her about buying it. He is the one that asked her to get an appraisal.

Mr. Ratts asked who the person on record was back in 2009 that was okay with this garage being built. He asked if there was testimony, and what part of the family was okay with it. Molly Berns stated she does not remember and she did not check the tape ahead of time.

Matt Elam, son of Mrs. Elam, residing at 2644 Emerson Road in New Berlin, addressed the County Board. He just wants to take a minute to focus on the facts. Mr. Hoyle never called in one inspection for this building five years ago. He owns the business that analyzes wall systems and does this on a daily basis. He knows you have to call in inspections to get it finalized. He never did this because he knew it wouldn't meet code. He knew what he did was wrong. Mr. Hoyle approached his mom and offered her \$10,000 to not contest this, and she said she was not going to do that. She told him they were not here about the dollar denomination. She told them they made her uncomfortable in her home and their building has almost ruined her back yard. There is no air flow and no access to the back of the house anymore. Mr. Hoyle knows he is not in compliance and that's why he has offered to buy her house. He has all but bullied her into selling him her house. He's got a surveillance camera pointed right at the back door of her house. There is no point in that other than to make her uncomfortable. Everything he has done has been to try and make her sell her house at a depreciated value. He met the appraiser there and he said he has to appraise the house as is, how is, and the way it is with that building there. Her property taxes are almost \$80,000 per year. Your property taxes are almost always less than the value of your home.

Mr. Bunch asked if he heard Mr. Elam say her taxes are \$80,000 per year. Mr. Elam clarified that amount is based on an \$80,000 value of the home. Mr. Bunch stated he thinks if she has a problem now that she should have complained six years ago. Mr. Elam stated his father was ill and in the hospital and she is sick fighting cancer and they just didn't have time to contest this. Mr. Bunch stated he does feel sorry for someone who is sick but he can't look at this based on someone's health.

Annette Fulgenzi stated she understands the concern that the builder built within 2 ½ feet when it shouldn't have been, but in her mind if they force the building to be moved she does not feel that will help Mrs. Elam's property value. She doesn't feel it would provide any relief for anyone. Mr. Elam stated it would not correct that issue, but it is the first step to correcting the wrongs that have been done here. His mom has lived in that house for 40 years, she did not ask for this, and she does not want to be here. He asked if the building would have been in the form that it is if he had called in any inspections on it. He never called in one inspection on this building the whole time it was built. He also has stated it was built by a contractor, and that is not what he said the first time around. He said he paid a contractor to pour the concrete and his guys built the building.

Attorney Pavlik gave his rebuttal. He explained again they are not here to debate the propriety of this building on the property. That was a 2009 issue. They are here to talk about 2 ½ feet. As Mrs. Fulgenzi had said, if they could miracle the building 2 ½ feet to the north, would it solve any of the objectors problems? He would submit that it would not. They had close to an hours' worth of testimony with the Zoning Board of Appeals and he thinks they had an opportunity to consider everything. He encouraged the County Board to follow their recommendation.

Mr. Madonia asked if he had an initial building permit. Mr. Pavlik stated he did in 2012, but did not get a final inspection permit. It was different than the case some people referenced where a building came down and there was no permit.

Mr. Hall stated he had the privilege of meeting with the neighbor and the owner of the property several times. They have made many attempts to come to a conclusion. The conclusion is, this is a three-sided piece of property and your client got it wrong two out of three. The one building to the northwest goes onto and over the township line. The building to the south that they have been talking about is 2 ½ feet too close to a property line. The reason he ran for and is still on this board is to right things that he sees. He thinks this sends a message to all the other people they have ever turned down or approved that there are rules. They gave him a conditional use permit, and he did not follow the conditions this Board gave him. So tonight they are supposed to say “well you didn’t do it right, but we are just going to keep going or not keep going.” He does not know how this Board is going to vote, and he has tried not to ask how they are going to vote. He does appreciate this Board going out and looking at this property. To have someone else build something on my farm, it is still my responsibility. Mr. Hall asked the State’s Attorney who is responsible if he builds something. Dwayne Gab stated the responsibility lies initially with the contractor in relationship to his privity to the person that hires him, and the person that hires him has privity to Sangamon County. So ultimately the property owner has a responsibility, but there is a secondary responsibility on the part of any contractor you hire to perform labor on your property. The property owner has an ultimate responsibility to comply with zoning and that is why a variance is an appropriate form of relief for the property owner and not the contractor.

Mrs. Elam gave her rebuttal. She thanked everyone for their time and hopes they will really consider this before they make their final decision.

Chairman Van Meter asked for a roll call vote. Upon the roll call vote there were 15 Yeas – 12 Nays. Those voting Yea were: Mr. Bunch, Mr. DelGiorno, Mrs. Douglas Williams, Annette Fulgenzi, Mr. Krell, Mr. Madonia, Mr. O’Neill, Mr. Ratts, Mrs. Ruzic, Mrs. Scaife, Mrs. Small, Mr. Smith, Mr. Snell, Mr. Sullivan and Mrs. Williams.

Those voting Nay were: Mrs. Deppe, Mr. Forsyth, Mr. Fraase, Linda Fulgenzi, Mr. Hall, Mrs. Hills, Mr. Mendenhall, Mr. Miller, Mr. Preckwinkle, Mr. Stumpf, Mr. Thomas and Mr. Tjelmeland.

Mr. Bunch voted yes, but has mixed emotions about this after hearing both sides of the story.

Mrs. Annette Fulgenzi voted yes, but she feels they should at least impose a fine on him as part of the correction to this.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

RESOLUTION 6

6. 2018-015 – Michael Kinner, 1559 Parkes Kinner Road, New Berlin – Granting a Variance. County Board Member – Craig Hall, District #7.

A motion was made by Mr. Hall, seconded by Mrs. Hills, to place Resolution 6 on the floor. A motion was made by Mr. Stumpf to waive the reading of the professional staff's report. There were no objections. A voice vote was unanimous for the adoption of Resolution 6.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

RESOLUTION 7

7. Resolution adding Sections 5.04.050 and 5.04.390 of Sangamon County Liquor Code.

A motion was made by Mr. O'Neill, seconded by Mrs. Scaife, to place Resolution 7 on the floor. A motion was made by Mr. Preckwinkle that the roll call vote for Resolution 1 stands as the roll call vote for Resolution 7. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

RESOLUTION 8

8. Resolution authorizing Sangamon County to participate in the Illinois' Federal Surplus Property Program.

A motion was made by Mrs. Ruzic, seconded by Mr. Fraase, to place Resolution 8 on the floor. A motion was made by Mr. Preckwinkle that the roll call vote for Resolution 1 stands as the roll call vote for Resolution 8. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

WAIVER OF TEN-DAY FILING PERIOD

A motion was made by Mr. O'Neill, seconded by Mr. Tjelmeland, to waive the ten-day filing period. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTION CARRIED
TEN-DAY FILING PERIOD WAIVED

RESOLUTIONS 9 – 15

9. Resolution approving an Intergovernmental Agreement between the Illinois Department of Transportation, Springfield-Sangamon County Regional Planning Commission, Sangamon County Mass Transit District and Sangamon-Menard Area Regional Transit.

A motion was made by Mr. Miller, seconded by Mr. Madonia, to place Resolution 9 on the floor. A motion was made by Mr. Bunch, seconded by Mr. Smith, to consolidate Resolutions 9 – 15. Chairman Van Meter asked County Clerk Gray to read Resolutions 10 – 15.

10. Resolution approving salaries for Sangamon County elected officials.
11. Resolution approving an addendum to a Professional Service Agreement for the Delinquent Tax Liquidation Program.
12. Resolution approving the purchase of vehicles for the Sheriff's Office, Department of Public Health, and the Zoning Department.
13. Resolution approving new healthcare services to County employees.
14. Resolution authorizing the SMART program to obtain insurance coverage.
15. Resolution approving the renewal of the County's vehicle insurance with the Cincinnati Insurance Company.

A voice vote was unanimous on the consolidation. A motion was made by Mr. Preckwinkle that the roll call vote for Resolution 1 stands as the roll call vote for Resolutions 9 – 15, as consolidated. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED

OLD BUSINESS

Mr. Stumpf praised the work of all his colleagues and the professional way they handled this zoning case tonight and other zoning cases.

NEW BUSINESS

A. Resolutions

There were no new resolutions.

B. Appointments

Appointment of David Mendenhall to the Sangamon County Extension Board for a term expiring May, 2019.

Appointment of Craig Hall to the Sangamon County Extension Board for a term expiring May, 2019.

Appointment of Dick Ciotti to the Sangamon County Water Reclamation District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of Mike Burg to the Athens Fire Protection District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of Don Dufour to the Auburn Fire & Rescue District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of Joe Lester to the Buffalo Fire Protection District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of George Perkins to the Chatham Fire Protection District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of Jamie Petitt to the Dawson Fire Protection District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of William Beaty to the Divernon Fire Protection District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of Ernest Ball to the Eastside Fire Protection District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of Elizabeth Royce to the Illiopolis Fire Protection District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of Karla Gathard to the Lake Springfield Fire Protection District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of Larry Richie to the Loami Fire Protection District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of Tom Harms to the Pleasant Plains Fire Protection District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of Mike Yurtec to the Sherman Fire Protection District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of Donnie Richardson to the Sherman Fire Protection District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of Peggy Knowski to the Sherman Fire Protection District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of Gary Fraase to the Western Fire Protection District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of Brian Mendenhall to the Williamsville Fire Protection District for a term expiring May, 2021.

Appointment of Molly Berns as the Executive Director of the Springfield-Sangamon County Regional Planning Commission.

A motion was made by Mr. O'Neill, seconded by Mr. Bunch, for approval of the appointments. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTION CARRIED
APPOINTMENTS ADOPTED

The nominations for appointment in June were also submitted.

REPORTS OF COUNTY OFFICIALS, REPORTS OF SPECIAL COMMITTEES, REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES, COMMITTEE REPORT ON CLAIMS

Sheriff Wes Barr gave a brief report regarding the phone contract for the Sangamon County Jail. This August will be the second anniversary of the five-year contract. To date, they have brought in over \$500,000 in revenue. Part of that process with this contract was they had Video Visitation installed with the price tag of \$320,000. That has now been paid in full well in advance of what was anticipated. That increased revenue will help pay for medical & health coverage in the Jail. He has said this in the past that the Sangamon County Sheriff's Office and Jail are well above other agencies in the State of Illinois with the coverage they have in the Jail. He thanked the County Board for their support, and it is going well.

Auditor Andy Goleman asked all members of the Employee Services Committee to meet with him right after the meeting.

A motion was made by Mr. Bunch, seconded by Mr. O'Neill, to place the Committee Report on Claims on file with the County Clerk. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTION CARRIED
REPORTS FILED

RECESS

A motion was made by Mr. Mendenhall, seconded by Mrs. Williams, to recess the meeting to June 12, 2018 at 7:00 p.m. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTION CARRIED
MEETING RECESSED

Don Gray
Sangamon County Clerk