# CASE#2024-015 RESOLUTION NUMBER 10-

# GRANTING A REZONING AND VARIANCES FOR CERTAIN PROPERTY LOCATED AT 6200 BLOCK OF MOTTAR ROAD, ROCHESTER, IL 62563 SANGAMON COUNTY, ILLINOIS

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals has presented to the Sangamon County Board, Sangamon County, Illinois, its Findings of Fact and Recommendation that the Sangamon County Board granting a rezoning and variances to the Sangamon County Zoning Ordinance with respect to the following described property, to-wit:

### See Exhibit A

WHEREAS, the Petitioner, Cameron Ayers, has petitioned the Sangamon County Board requesting for Proposed Parcel 1: pursuant to Chapters 17.68 and 17.12, a rezoning from "A" Agricultural District to "R-1" Single Family Residence District; pursuant to Chapter 17.66, a variance of Section 17.36.010(B) to allow an accessory structure to be approximately twenty-four (24) feet instead of the maximum height of eighteen (18) feet allowed in the "R-1" Single-Family Residence District; and, a variance of Chapter 17.04 (Lot depth) to allow the lot depth to be greater than two and one-half (2.5) times the lot width; and,

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held at the Sangamon County Building on May 16, 2024 after proper notice was posted on said property and given by news publication, as is required by said Ordinance, and all procedural and jurisdictional requirements of the Sangamon County Zoning Ordinance have been met; and,

WHEREAS, the Sangamon County Zoning Board of Appeals has presented to the Sangamon County Board of Sangamon County its Findings of Fact and Recommendation that the Sangamon County Board granting a rezoning and variances; and,

WHEREAS, the Sangamon County Board does hereby adopt the recommendation of the Sangamon County Zoning Board of Appeals.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the County Board of Sangamon County, Illinois, in session assembled this 11<sup>th</sup> Day of June, 2024 that the following requests on the above described property are hereby approved:

# For Proposed Parcel 1:

- Pursuant to Chapters 17.68 and 17.12, a rezoning from "A" Agricultural District to "R-1" Single-Family Residence District;
- Pursuant to Chapter 17.66, a variance of Section 17.36.010(B) to allow an accessory structure to be approximately twenty-four (24) feet instead of the maximum height of eighteen (18) feet allowed in the "R-1" Single-Family Residence District; and,
- Pursuant to Chapter 17.66, a variance of Chapter 17.04 (Lot depth) to allow the lot depth to be greater than two and one-half (2.5) times the lot width.

Signed and passed by the Sangamon County Board in session on this 11th day of June, 2024.

	COUNTY BOARD CHAIRMAN
ATTEST:	

SANGAMON COUNTY CLERK

## Exhibit A

PART OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 3, TOWNSHIP 14 NORTH, RANGE 4 WEST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, DESCRIBED MORE PARTICULARLY AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT AN IRON PIPE MARKING THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE AFOREMENTIONED SECTION 3, THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 38 MINUTES 52 SECONDS WEST ALONG THE SECTION LINE A DISTANCE OF 327.21 FEET TO AN IRON PIPE, THENCE NORTH 02 DEGREES 07 MINUTES 09 SECONDS WEST A DISTANCE OF 1292.50 FEET TO AN IRON PIPE, THENCE NORTH 89 DEGREES 38 MINUTES 52 SECONDS EAST A DISTANCE OF 329.39 FEET TO AN IRON PIPE ON THE QUARTER, QUARTER SECTION LINE, THENCE SOUTH 02 DEGREES 01 MINUTES 22 SECONDS EAST ALONG THE QUARTER, QUARTER SECTION LINE A DISTANCE OF 1292.45 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

EXCEPT ANY INTEREST IN THE COAL, OIL, GAS AND OTHER MINERALS UNDERLYING THE LAND WHICH HAVE BEEN HERETOFORE CONVEYED OR RESERVED IN PRIOR CONVEYANCES, AND ALL RIGHTS AND EASEMENTS IN FAVOR OF THE ESTATE OF SAID COAL, OIL, GAS AND OTHER MINERALS, IF ANY.

SITUATED IN SANGAMON COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PIN #30-03.0-300-014

## **RECAP**

(For County Board Use)

COUNTY BOARD MEMBER:

#4

NAME:

**Jeffrey Thomas** 

DOCKET NUMBER: 2024-015

ADDRESS: 6200 Block of Mottar Road, Rochester, IL 62563

PETITIONER: Cameron Ayers

PRESENT ZONING CLASSIFICATION: "A" Agricultural District.

REQUESTED ZONING CLASSIFICATION: Proposed Parcel 1: Pursuant to Chapters 17.68 and 17.12, a rezoning from "A" Agricultural District to "R-1" Single Family Residence District; pursuant to Chapter 17.66, a variance of Section 17.36.010(B) to allow an accessory structure to be approximately twenty-four (24) feet instead of the maximum height of eighteen (18) feet allowed in the "R-1" Single-Family Residence District; and, a variance of Chapter 17.04 (Lot depth) to allow the lot depth to be greater than two and one-half (2.5) times the lot width.

AREA:

**9.74** acres

COMMENTS:

None

**OBJECTORS:** 

None

PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Recommend approval. The petitioner is proposing to sell the timber to an adjacent owner and utilize the remainder as a buildable lot. While the LESA score of 177 indicates the property is suitable for agricultural use only, the subject property is relatively small in size and is located in an area with a rural residential trend. Granting the requested lot-width-depth variance will facilitate a reconfiguration of the 9.74-acre subject property to allow the timber area to be combined with the adjoining parcel to the east and allow the remaining 5-acre parcel to be available for rural residential development, which is consistent with the lot area of the other surrounding parcels. Allowing an accessory structure to be 24 feet instead of 18 feet in the R-1 District would be consistent with the height of accessory structures located on properties in this area that are zoned Agricultural, which has no height restrictions. The Standards for Variation are met.

SANGAMON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS RECOMMENDATION:

Approval of Staff Recommendation.

ECORDING SECRETARY

#### SANGAMON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

#### SANGAMON COUNTY, ILLINOIS

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF: )	DOCKET NO: <b>2024-015</b>
Cameron Ayers )	
)	PROPERTY LOCATED AT:
)	6200 Block of Mottar Road
)	Rochester, IL 62563

## RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

THIS MATTER, Coming on for a hearing before the Sangamon County Zoning Board of Appeals of Sangamon County, Illinois, and it appearing to said Board that a petition for a **rezoning and variances** of the Zoning Regulations of said County has been filed herein by the above captioned petitioner(s); that legal publication has been made pursuant to law; and that a public hearing was held on **May 16, 2024** pursuant to law; and that said Board took testimony of witnesses, examined the evidence, and otherwise being fully advised in the premises, therefore finds as follows:

- 1. That said Board has jurisdiction to consider the petition filed herein.
- 2. That the above-captioned petitioner(s) is the owner(s) and/or has a beneficial interest in, contract to purchase, or is the County Board Member representing the property commonly known as: 6200 Block of Mottar Road, Rochester, IL 62563 and more particularly described as:

See Exhibit A

- 3. That the present zoning of said property is 'A' Agricultural District.
- 4. That the present land use of said property is **cropland and timber.**
- 5. That the proposed land use of said property is for Proposed Parcel 1: Single-family residence with accessory structures and for Propsed Parcel 2: Timber.
- 6. That the request(s) for the subject property are for Proposed Parcel 1: Pursuant to Chapters 17.68 and 17.12, a rezoning from "A" Agricultural District to "R-1" Single Family Residence District; pursuant to Chapter 17.66, a variance of Section 17.36.010(B) to allow an accessory structure to be approximately twenty-four (24) feet instead of the maximum height of eighteen (18) feet allowed in the "R-1" Single-Family Residence District; and, a variance of Chapter 17.04 (Lot depth) to allow the lot depth to be greater than two and one-half (2.5) times the lot width.
- 7. That required findings and standards of the Sangamon County Board of Appeals are accurately stated on the attached exhibit(s).
- 8. The evidence adduced at the hearing **does** support the proposition that the adoption of the proposed **rezoning and variances** is in the public interest and is not solely in the interest of the petitioner(s).

IT IS, THEREFORE, the recommendation of the Sangamon County Zoning Board of Appeals to the County Board of Sangamon County that the following requests are hereby approved:

For Proposed Parcel 1:

- Pursuant to Chapters 17.68 and 17.12, a rezoning from "A" Agricultural District to "R-1" Single-Family Residence District;
- Pursuant to Chapter 17.66, a variance of Section 17.36.010(B) to allow an accessory structure to be approximately twenty-four (24) feet instead of the maximum height of eighteen (18) feet allowed in the "R-1" Single-Family Residence District; and,

• Pursuant to Chapter 17.66, a variance of Chapter 17.04 (Lot depth) to allow the lot depth to be greater than two and one-half (2.5) times the lot width.

CHAIRMAN

# MINUTES OF THE SANGAMON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

There was a motion by Zoning Board Member, **Don Wulf**, which was duly seconded by **George Petrilli**, to concur with the findings of fact and recommendation of the Regional Planning Commission and recommend to the County Board that the following requests **are hereby approved:** 

# For Proposed Parcel 1:

- Pursuant to Chapters 17.68 and 17.12, a rezoning from "A" Agricultural District to "R-1" Single-Family Residence District;
- Pursuant to Chapter 17.66, a variance of Section 17.36.010(B) to allow an accessory structure to be approximately twenty-four (24) feet instead of the maximum height of eighteen (18) feet allowed in the "R-1" Single-Family Residence District; and,
- Pursuant to Chapter 17.66, a variance of Chapter 17.04 (Lot depth) to allow the lot depth to be greater than two and one-half (2.5) times the lot width.

The vote of the Board was as follows:

YES: Don Wulf, George Petrilli, Charlie Chimento, Richard Thompson, Phil

**Sidles** 

NO:

PRESENT:

ABSENT: Tony Mares, JD Sudeth

# SANGAMON COUNTY RECOMMENDED - FINDINGS OF FACT

Case #: 2024-015

Address: 6200 Block of Mottar Road, Rochester

(i) Existing uses of property within the general area of the property in question.

North & East – Residence and timber. South – Residence. West – Cropland.

(ii) The zoning classification of property within the general area of the property in question.

North, East, South, & West – Agricultural.

(iii) The suitability of the property in question to the uses permitted under the existing zoning classification.

While the LESA score of 177 indicates the property is suitable for agricultural use only, the subject property is relatively small in size and is located in an area with a rural residential trend.

(iv) The trend of development, within the vicinity since the property was originally classified.

The trend of development is rural residential.

# SANGAMON COUNTY RECOMMENDED - STANDARDS FOR VARIATIONS

Case #: 2024-015

Address: 6200 Block of Mottar Road, Rochester

The Zoning Board of Appeals shall not recommend to the Sangamon County Board that the regulations of this ordinance be varied as authorized in F. (1) hereof, unless it shall make findings of fact based upon the evidence presented to it in each specified case:

(i) that the property in question cannot be economically used or yield a reasonable return, if permitted to be used only for the conditions allowed by the regulations.

Granting the requested lot-width-depth variance will facilitate a reconfiguration of the 9.74-acre subject property to allow the timber area to be combined with the adjoining parcel to the east and allow the remaining 5-acre parcel to be available for rural residential development, which is consistent with the lot area of the other surrounding parcels. Allowing an accessory structure to be 24 feet instead of 18 feet in the R-1 District would be consistent with the height of accessory structures located on properties in this area that are zoned Agricultural, which has no height restrictions.

(ii) that the plight of the owner is due to circumstances unique to the property and not generally applicable to other property in the area.

Granting the requested lot-width-depth variance will facilitate a reconfiguration of the 9.74-acre subject property to combine the timber portion with the adjoining parcel to the east and leave the remaining 5-acre parcel for rural residential development via tract survey. Other parcels in the area are zoned Agricultural, which has no height restrictions.

(iii) that the variation, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the locality, impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property, increase the congestion of traffic, or diminish or impair property values in the locality.

Negative impacts are not anticipated.

# LAND EVALUATION AND SITE ASSESSMENT

AGRICULTURAL/RURAL LAND WITHIN .5 MILE 90% or more 75-89% 10 50-74% 50-74% 50-74% 10 Under 50% 0  CONTIGUOUS AGRICULTURAL/RURAL LAND 90% or more 20 75-89% 10 50-74% 50-74	Part 1: Site Assessment	Available Points	Points
10	AGRICULTURAL/RURAL LAND WITHIN .5 MILE		
SO-74%	90% or more	20	
SO-74%	75-89%	10	10
CONTIGUOUS AGRICULTURAL/RURAL LAND   90% or more   20   75-89%   10   10   50-74%   5   Under 50%   0   0	50-74%	5	10
90% or more 20 75-89% 10 50-74% 5 Under 50% 0  PERCENTAGE OF SITE AGRICULTURAL/RURAL 75-100% 10 50-74% 5 Under 50% 0  COUNTY SECTOR Rural 20 0.5 mile from incorporated area 10 Incorporated area 0  SOIL WITH SEVERE RESTRICTIONS FOR ON-SITE WASTE DISPOSAL 75% or more 20 50-74% 10 25-49% 5 Less than 25% or sewer available 0  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE Negative impact 15 Little or none with protective measures 5 Little or none with protective measures 5 Little or none impact 15 Little or none with protective measures 5 Little or none with protective measures 10  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES Negative impact 10  O  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES Negative impact 10  O  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES Negative impact 10	Under 50%	0	
90% or more 20 75-89% 10 50-74% 5 Under 50% 0  PERCENTAGE OF SITE AGRICULTURAL/RURAL 75-100% 10 50-74% 5 Under 50% 0  COUNTY SECTOR Rural 20 0.5 mile from incorporated area 10 Incorporated area 0  SOIL WITH SEVERE RESTRICTIONS FOR ON-SITE WASTE DISPOSAL 75% or more 20 50-74% 10 25-49% 5 Less than 25% or sewer available 0  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE Negative impact 15 Little or none with protective measures 5 Little or none with protective measures 5 Little or none impact 15 Little or none with protective measures 5 Little or none with protective measures 10  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES Negative impact 10  O  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES Negative impact 10  O  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES Negative impact 10	CONTIGUOUS AGRICULTURAL/RURAL LAND	THAT PROPERTY AND ADMINISTRATION OF A STATE OF A STATE AS A STATE	and the second s
SO-74%   5		20	
SO-74%   5	75-89%	10	40
PERCENTAGE OF SITE AGRICULTURAL/RURAL   75-100%	50-74%	5	10
75-100%       10         50-74%       5       10         Under 50%       0         COUNTY SECTOR         Rural       20         0.5 mile from incorporated area       10       20         Incorporated area       0         SOIL WITH SEVERE RESTRICTIONS FOR ON-SITE WASTE DISPOSAL         75% or more       20         50-74%       10         25-49%       5         Less than 25% or sewer available       0         ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE         Negative impact       15         Little or none with protective measures       5       0         Little or none       0         IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES         Negative impact       10       0	Under 50%		
75-100%       10         50-74%       5       10         Under 50%       0         COUNTY SECTOR         Rural       20         0.5 mile from incorporated area       10       20         Incorporated area       0         SOIL WITH SEVERE RESTRICTIONS FOR ON-SITE WASTE DISPOSAL         75% or more       20         50-74%       10         25-49%       5         Less than 25% or sewer available       0         ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE         Negative impact       15         Little or none with protective measures       5       0         Little or none       0         IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES         Negative impact       10       0	PERCENTAGE OF SITE AGRICULTURAL/RURAL		
50-74%		10	
Under 50%         0           COUNTY SECTOR         20           Rural         20         20           0.5 mile from incorporated area         10         20           Incorporated area         0         0           SOIL WITH SEVERE RESTRICTIONS FOR ON-SITE WASTE DISPOSAL 75% or more         20           50-74%         10         5           25-49%         5         5           Less than 25% or sewer available         0         5           ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE Negative impact         15         15           Little or none with protective measures         5         0           Little or none         0         0    IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES Negative impact  10 0			10
Rural       20         0.5 mile from incorporated area       10       20         Incorporated area       0         SOIL WITH SEVERE RESTRICTIONS FOR ON-SITE WASTE DISPOSAL       75% or more       20         50-74%       10       5         25-49%       5       5         Less than 25% or sewer available       0         ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE       15         Negative impact       15         Little or none with protective measures       5       0         Little or none       0         IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES       Negative impact       10			. •
Rural       20         0.5 mile from incorporated area       10       20         Incorporated area       0         SOIL WITH SEVERE RESTRICTIONS FOR ON-SITE WASTE DISPOSAL       75% or more       20         50-74%       10       5         25-49%       5       5         Less than 25% or sewer available       0         ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE       15         Negative impact       15         Little or none with protective measures       5       0         Little or none       0         IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES       Negative impact       10	COUNTY SECTOR		
0.5 mile from incorporated area       10       20         Incorporated area       0         SOIL WITH SEVERE RESTRICTIONS FOR ON-SITE WASTE DISPOSAL       75% or more       20         50-74%       10       5         25-49%       5       5         Less than 25% or sewer available       0         ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE       15         Negative impact       15         Little or none with protective measures       5       0         Little or none       0         IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES       Negative impact       10		20	
Incorporated area 0  SOIL WITH SEVERE RESTRICTIONS FOR ON-SITE WASTE DISPOSAL 75% or more 20 50-74% 10 25-49% 5 Less than 25% or sewer available 0  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE Negative impact 15 Little or none with protective measures 5 Little or none 0  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES Negative impact 10			20
SOIL WITH SEVERE RESTRICTIONS FOR ON-SITE WASTE DISPOSAL 75% or more 20 50-74% 10 25-49% 5 Less than 25% or sewer available 0  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE Negative impact 15 Little or none with protective measures 5 Little or none 0  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES Negative impact 10	·		20
75% or more 20 50-74% 10 25-49% 5 Less than 25% or sewer available 0  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE Negative impact Little or none with protective measures Little or none 0  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES Negative impact 10 0	incorporated area	U	
50-74% 25-49% 5 Less than 25% or sewer available  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE Negative impact Little or none with protective measures Little or none  0  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES Negative impact 10 0			
25-49% 5 Less than 25% or sewer available 0  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE Negative impact 15 Little or none with protective measures 5 Little or none 0  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES Negative impact 10			
25-49% 5 Less than 25% or sewer available 0  ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE Negative impact 15 Little or none with protective measures 5 0 Little or none 0	50-74%	10	5
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE  Negative impact 15  Little or none with protective measures 5  Little or none 0  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES  Negative impact 10	25-49%	5	•
Negative impact Little or none with protective measures 5 Little or none 0  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES Negative impact 10	Less than 25% or sewer available	0	
Negative impact Little or none with protective measures 5 Little or none 0  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES Negative impact 10	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED USE		
Little or none 0  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES Negative impact 10		15	
Little or none 0  IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CULTURAL FEATURES  Negative impact 10	Little or none with protective measures	5	0
Negative impact 10	·	0	
Negative impact 10	IMPACT ON UNIQUE HISTORICAL/CUI TURAL FEATURES		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		10	•
	-		U

SITE ASSESSMENT TOTAL		120
Less than 15 minutes	0	
15-30 minutes	5	3
Over 30 minutes	10	5
DRIVING TIME TO HIGH SCHOOL		
	AND STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF T	
0-2.5 miles	0	Principles and Principles and Principles are an order to the Principles and the Principle
2.6-5 miles	5	5
More than 5 miles or fire protection by assignment	10	5
Not in fire protection district	20	
DISTANCE FROM RESPONDING FIREHOUSE		
1 ubile water available at site		
Public water available at site	0	
Less than 1,000' away	5	20
1,000-1,500' away	15	
Not available	20	
AVAILABILITY OF PUBLIC WATER		
Sewer 600' or less away and available	0	
Private central sewage system	5	
Sewer over 600'-1200' away	8	15
Not available	15	
AVAILABILITY OF PUBLIC SEWER		
> 20' pavement, 40' ROW or County or State Highway	0	
18'-20' pavement, 40' ROW	10	20
16'-18' pavement, 40' ROW	15	
CONDITION OF ROAD unpaved, <40' ROW, or < 16' pavement	20	

Part 2: Agricultural Land Evaluation (Based on Sangamon County Soil Survey)

Relative

		-		Relative	
<u>Soil</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>Points</u>
198A	Elburn	P		100	
199A	Plano	P		100	
43A	Ipava	Р	3	100	3
7148A	Proctor	Р		100	
46A	Herrick	P		100	
7037A	Worthen	Р	15	100	15
705A	Buckhart	P		98	
199B	Plano	Р		98	
36B	Tama	Р		98	
244A	Hartsburg	P2		98	
257A	Clarksdale	P2		98	
68A	Sable	P2		87	
679B	Blackberry	Р		87	
705B	Buckhart	Р		87	
86B	Osco	P		87	
684B	Broadwell	Р		87	
50A	Virden	P2		87	
712A	Spaulding	P2		87	
127B	Harrison	Р		87	
3077A	Huntsville	P3		87	
138A	Shiloh	P2		87	
249A	Edinburg	P2		87	
242A	Kendall	P2		87	
7242A	Kendall	P2		87	
134A	Camden	Р		87	
17A	Keomah	P2	0	87	
3451A	Lawson	P3		75	
3107A	Sawmill	P5		75	
7075B	Drury	Р		75	
8396A	Vesser	P2		75	
3074A	Radford	P3		75	
3073A	Ross	P3		75	
3284A	Tice	P3		75	
279B	Rozetta	Р	49	75	37
45A	Denny	P2		75	
134B	Camden	Р		75	
112A	Cowden	P2		75	
685B	Middletown	Р		75	
3405A	Zook	P5		75	
131C2	Alvin	Р		75	
86C2	Osco	1		74	
36C2	Tama	1		74	
684C2	Broadwell	1		74	
119C2	Elco	1		74	
119D	Elco	1		74	
127C2	Harrison	I		74	
119D2	Elco	1	3	74	2
567C2	Elkhart	1		74	
134C2	Camden	I		74	
259C2	Assumption	1		74	
	•				

685C2 280D2 119D3 259D2 212C2 630C2 630D2 630D3 131D2 8D 8D2 280D3 8D3 8F 549G 533	Middletown Fayette Elco Assumption Thebes Navlys Navlys Navlys Alvin Hickory Hickory Fayette Hickory Hickory Marseilles Urban Land	   N	74 74 74 74 74 74 57 57 50 50 44 44 44
8F	Hickory		44
533 536 830 862 864 801C	Urban Land Dumps Orthents, Land Pits, Sand Pits, Quarries Orthents, Silty	• •	v
W	Water		

Prime/Important Farmlands Designations:

P: Prime farmland

P2: Prime where drained

P3: Prime where protected from flooding or flooding is less often than once in two years during the growing season.

P5: Prime where drained and either protected from flooding or flooding is less often than once in two years during the growing season.

I: Important farmland

N: Not Prime/Important Farmland

AGRICULTURAL LAND EVALUATION TOTAL		57
	GRAND TOTAL	177

Fewer than 150 points shall be deemed acceptable for non-agricultural development.

From 150 - 175 points is considered marginal requiring mitigating factors for non-ag development.

Greater than 175 points shall be considered suitable for agricultural use only.