DON GRAY
SANGAMON COUNTY CLERK
200 SOUTH NINTH STREET – 1st FLOOR
SPRINGFIELD, IL 62701
PHONE: (217)753-6700/FAX: (217)535-3233
WEBSITE: *countyclerk.sangamonil.gov*

MINUTES

SANGAMON COUNTY BOARD

NOVEMBER 10, 2026

The Sangamon County Board met in Reconvened Adjourned September Session on November 10, 2026 in the County Board Chambers. Chairman Van Meter called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm. Ms. Deaner gave the Invocation. Mr. Krell led the County Board in the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL

Chairman Van Meter asked the County Clerk to call the roll. There were 28 Present – 0 Absent.

APPOINTMENT OF COUNTY BOARD MEMBER FOR DISTRICT 16

Chairman Van Meter announced the appointment of Nathan Caruso to County Board District 16. A voice vote was unanimous. County Clerk Gray administered the oath to Mr. Caruso.

PRESENTATION – SANGAMON COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT 2026 EVALUATION

Janet Mathis and Craig Coil of The Development Consortium presented their evaluation of the Springfield Sangamon County Economic Development Council. Chairman VanMeter noted that Board members had received the full report before the meeting. Ms. Mathis introduced the consultants and described their experience in state and local economic development.

The County Board commissioned the consortium to study the county's economic development ecosystem in 2016–2017. The Board asked the consultants to return to assess what had changed since the original study. Ms. Mathis opened the presentation by addressing whether the county was getting its money's worth from its investment in the Council. She said the consultants' conclusion was yes.

The consultants explained that their 2016–2017 study recommended creating a separate economic development organization to give job creation and business investment more attention. At the time, economic development was housed within the Springfield Chamber of Commerce. The study also recommended that chief executives of major local employers serve on the organization's board of directors

alongside representatives of Sangamon County and the City of Springfield. The consultants reported that this leadership structure is now in place.

The consultants renewed their recommendation that the City of Springfield contribute the same amount as Sangamon County. They noted that the city's current contribution carried over from the previous administration and suggested that the expectation of equal funding may not have been communicated during the transition.

The consultants also recommended transferring administration of the Enterprise Zone to the Economic Development Council. They described the zone as a countywide economic development incentive that is currently underused. With the zone set to expire in 2030, they cautioned that continued underuse could put the incentive at risk. They also reported that the Council had facilitated more than $3 billion in investment over approximately seven years of operation, following an early leadership transition.

The consultants identified a unified regional vision as a priority that remained unresolved since their 2016–2017 study. They said a shared vision would help local leaders decide which projects and industries to pursue and plan for the workforce, infrastructure, and zoning those projects would require. They also emphasized employee retention and quality of life as factors in shaping the region's economic development goals.

The consultants described the county's comprehensive plan as an important part of that work and called for a broader effort involving residents and organizations from across the county. They noted that local organizations are doing valuable work and sometimes collaborate, but many still operate independently. A shared vision would help coordinate those efforts and clarify what the community expects the Economic Development Council to accomplish.

Referring to a diagram from their original report, the consultants said the region now has a stronger foundation for economic development. They asked how local leaders would bring people together to set common priorities and decide what they want the region to become.

The consultants said they rarely received a clear answer when they asked what the county wanted to achieve through economic development. They noted that most communities they had worked with could name a specific focus. They encouraged Sangamon County to build on its existing economic development foundation and agree on priorities for future growth. They acknowledged that some challenges, including certain state policies, were outside the county's control.

The consultants concluded by inviting questions from Board members and offering to discuss additional feedback or suggestions by phone or email. Their contact information was included in the presentation.

Ms. Lathan asked the consultants to provide a written follow-up with specific recommendations for increasing use of the Enterprise Zone. Citing the consultants' concerns about underuse and the potential loss of certification, Ms. Lathan asked how the county could make the zone's incentives easier to understand and access.

The consultants confirmed that they would provide the written follow-up.

Mr. DelGiorno asked why the City of Springfield's administration of the Enterprise Zone had fallen short of realizing its potential benefits for Sangamon County. The consultants pointed to turnover in the city office and suggested that current staff may have less experience with the program than their predecessors, some of whom had worked for the state. They did not identify a definitive cause for the zone's underuse.

The consultants said Enterprise Zone administration varies across Illinois, but many of the highest-performing zones outside Chicago are managed by nonprofit economic development organizations. They described this as an emerging best practice because a regional organization can represent communities across the zone more evenly.

Mr. Cahnman asked what an Enterprise Zone is and how it supports economic development in Sangamon County. The consultants explained that Illinois established the program in the early 1980s to encourage investment in designated areas that meet state eligibility criteria. They cited above-average poverty and recent layoffs in the local labor market as examples of factors that can help an area qualify.

The consultants said an Enterprise Zone gives businesses advance notice of the incentives available for qualifying projects. Examples include a state investment tax credit, a sales tax exemption on qualifying construction materials, and certain utility and natural gas tax exemptions. Participating taxing bodies may also offer property tax abatements. The consultants said those abatements often vary by industry and typically last five to ten years. They emphasized that a functioning Enterprise Zone can help the county compete for projects when companies are deciding where to invest.

The consultants explained that participating local governments establish a zone through designating ordinances and an intergovernmental agreement. They identified the City of Springfield and Sangamon County as the local participants to their knowledge. They said other jurisdictions could join through additional ordinances and an amendment to the agreement, subject to an application and approval by the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity.

Ms. Fulgenzi asked whether the consultants had evaluated the use of Springfield's tax increment financing (TIF) districts, which are administered by the same city office as the Enterprise Zone. The consultants said the TIF districts appeared to be performing relatively well based on a preliminary review of the figures.

The consultants explained that a TIF district and an Enterprise Zone can overlap. They said qualifying businesses in those areas may still receive Enterprise Zone benefits, such as a sales tax exemption on construction materials and applicable state tax credits, even though the Enterprise Zone property tax abatement does not apply within the TIF district.

Ms. Fulgenzi noted that constituents in her district had experienced difficulty accessing TIF funds through the city. The consultants said others had raised similar concerns and described access to the funds as an administrative issue for which they had identified no immediate solution.

Mr. Rader asked how smaller municipalities could join the Enterprise Zone through an intergovernmental agreement with Springfield and Sangamon County. Mr. Coil explained that municipalities would work through the City of Springfield as the current zone administrator.

Mr. Coil reiterated the consultants' recommendation to transfer administration of the zone to the Springfield Sangamon County Economic Development Council. He said the transfer would require approval from the Springfield City Council, the Sangamon County Board, and the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity. He added that changes in zone administration are fairly common.

Mr. Guyton asked whether there was enough time to transfer administration of the Enterprise Zone before it expires in 2030, given the estimated 18-month renewal process. The consultant said that, if the City of Springfield agreed and the application proceeded smoothly, the transfer would typically take 90 to 120 days. That would allow the Springfield Sangamon County Economic Development Council to take over administration and apply for renewal.

The consultant also outlined a second option in which the city would apply for renewal and transfer administration afterward. He emphasized that the renewal application would need to make a strong case explaining why the zone may have fallen short of its original performance targets.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Chairman Van Meter stated they would go out of the regular order and proceed with public comment. Speakers are limited to four minutes.

Mr. Cahnman proposed allowing anyone who had signed up for public comment but arrived late to speak at the end of the meeting. The member noted that overlapping City Council and County Board meetings could delay residents who wished to address both bodies.

Chairman VanMeter agreed and asked members to alert the Chairman if a person who had signed up arrived later.

Speaker 1: Brian Hobson. He was not present.

Speaker 2: David Rieger. Mr. Rieger thanked the veterans in attendance and the community members who came to support them. Referring to the agenda item on funding for the Veterans Assistance Commission following the appellate court decision, he urged the Board to move forward and view veterans' services as a concern for the entire community. He spoke as a veteran and as someone who has faced mental health challenges and asked for the Board's continued support.

Mr. Rieger commended the Board for bringing more veterans onto the Commission to broaden representation. He said the Commission was making changes and working to improve coordination across departments. He invited Board members to visit the Commission's office or arrange a meeting.

Speaker 3: Tamara Kuhn. She lives on Lenhart Road spoke in opposition to Resolution No. 25, concerning a proposed solar energy facility across from her home and near neighboring homes. She expressed support for solar energy and objected to siting solar farms near residential properties.

She cited three peer-reviewed studies and highlighted a 2023 article in *Energy Economics* that, according to her, found property values declined by 1.5 to 3.6 percent within half a mile of a solar farm. She said that would amount to a loss of $3,750 to $9,000 on a property valued at $250,000 and challenged the developer's claim that solar farms do not affect nearby property values.

Ms. Kuhn asked the Board to weigh the potential financial impact on homeowners when considering the resolution and offered to provide full citations for the studies.

Speaker 4: Denise Brown. She is a resident and township trustee and thanked those who had supported the neighborhood's opposition to the proposed solar facility. Ms. Brown urged Board members who had voted to approve the project to work with state legislators on changes to address local concerns about solar development.

She asked the Board to postpone consideration for one month, saying the township had yet to receive information about which township roads the developer would use during construction or how that use might affect them. She said a postponement would give the township time to discuss road use with the developer and hear from residents.

Speaker 5: Gary Shulze. He lives less than a mile and a half from the proposed data center site and first learned about the project 13 months earlier and understood it had already been in development for several years. He opposed locating the data center in their township and said residents closest to the site should have had a greater voice in the decision. Mr. Shulze said he had followed every County Board meeting since learning of the project, either in person or online, and planned to continue attending.

He also raised concerns about overlapping membership on the Sangamon County Board and the Springfield Sangamon Growth Alliance board, saying he believed serving on both created a conflict of interest.

Speaking in support of Resolution 24, he urged the Board to place a referendum on the April 6, 2027, consolidated election ballot asking Sangamon County voters whether they should have the power to recall county officers.

Speaker 6: Ken Pacha. Mr. Pacha urged the Board to support Resolution 24 and place a referendum on the ballot asking Sangamon County voters whether they should have the power to recall county officers. He argued that a court decision supported the Board's authority to place the question on the ballot. He cited public protests calling for former Sheriff Jack Campbell's resignation following the killing of Sonya Massey and said a recall process would give voters a way to hold elected officials accountable between elections.

He pointed to Springfield's landlord registry ballot question as an example of why elected officials should let voters weigh in directly. He said nearly 70 percent of voters supported the registry despite earlier

resistance from the city. He also noted that many residents began attending County Board meetings after Massey's death and wanted more opportunities to be heard.

He praised Dr. Tatyana Gardner, the new Mental Health Board director, and encouraged County Board members to attend the board's workshops scheduled through December. He welcomed the move to the former *State Journal-Register* building, saying the new location may be more comfortable for people who associate the county building with difficult experiences in court.

MINUTES

A motion was made by Mr. Madonia, seconded by Mr. DelGiorno, for approval of the Minutes of the October 13, 2026 meeting. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTION CARRIED
MINUTES ADOPTED

CORRESPONDENCE

A motion was made by Mr. DelGiorno, seconded by Mr. Madonia, to place correspondence on file with the clerk. A voice vote was unanimous.

RESOLUTION 1

1. Resolution approving the FY 2027 procurement of diesel fuel and motor fuel in the amount of $200,000.

A motion was made by Mr. Fraase, seconded by Mr. Miller to place Resolution 1 before the Board. Chairman Van Meter asked the Clerk to call the roll. Upon the roll call vote, there were 28 Yeas – 0 Nays. Resolution 1 was adopted.

MOTION CARRIED
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

RESOLUTION 2

2. Resolution approving the FY 2027 procurement of water, electric, and sewer services from City Water, Light & Power in the amount of $62,500.

A motion was made by Mr. Fraase, seconded by Mr. Cahnman to place Resolution 2 before the Board. Mr. DelGiorno made a motion, seconded by Mr. Madonia, to consolidate Resolutions 3 – 4 with Resolution 2. Chairman Van Meter asked the Clerk to read Resolutions 3 – 4.

RESOLUTIONS 3 – 4

3. Resolution approving the FY 2027 procurement of miscellaneous parts and services from Rush Truck Centers of Illinois in the amount of $160,000.

4. Resolution approving the FY 2027 procurement of miscellaneous automotive parts an equipment from O'Reilly Auto Parts in the amount of $94,000.

On the motion to consolidate, a voice vote was unanimous.

Mr. Madonia moved that the roll call vote for Resolution 1 stand as the roll call vote for Resolutions 2 – 4 as consolidated. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED

RESOLUTION 5

5. 2026-029 Guy R. Taylor, 100 Block of N. Giffith Creek Road, Mechanicsburg – Granting a Rezoning and Variances. County Board Member David Mendenhall, District #3.

A motion was made by Mr. Mendenhall, seconded by Ms. Lathan to place Resolution 5 before the Board. The Chairman asked if there were members of the audience who wished to speak in opposition or in support of the proposed rezoning and variances. A motion was made by Mr. Mendenhall to waive the staff report. A voice vote on the adoption of Resolution 5 was unanimous. Resolution 5 was adopted.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

RESOLUTION 6

6. Resolution approving the procurement of goods and/or services for the Sheriff's Office from MJ Kellner and Various Food Vendors for the purpose of providing jail food and supplies in the amount of $716,570.

A motion was made by Mr. Tjelmeland, seconded by Mr. Caruso to place Resolution 6 before the Board.

Mr. Cahnman wondered if this contract was for the entire year. The Sheriff's representative stated that it was.

Mr. Madonia moved that the roll call vote for Resolution 1 stand as the roll call vote for Resolution 6. A voice vote on the adoption of Resolution 6 was unanimous with the exception of Mr. Rader who abstained. Resolution 6 was adopted.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

WAIVER OF TEN-DAY FILING PERIOD

A motion was made by Mr. Madonia, seconded by Mr. DelGiorno, to waive the ten-day filing period. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTION CARRIED
TEN-DAY FILING PERIOD WAIVED

RESOLUTION 7

7. A Resolution Regarding an Intergovernmental Agreement between the County of Sangamon, Illinois and the Community Mental Health Board of Sangamon County.

A motion was made by Ms. Lathan, seconded by Ms. Scaife to place Resolution 7 before the Board. Mr. DelGiorno made a motion, seconded by Madonia, to consolidate Resolutions 8 – 19 with Resolution 7. Chairman Van Meter asked the Clerk to read Resolutions 8 – 19.

RESOLUTIONS 8 – 19

8. Resolution approving the procurement of goods and/or services for Information Systems from Sentinel Technologies for the purpose of Cloud backup services in the amount of $36,000.

9. Resolution approving the procurement of goods and/or services for Information Systems from Norseman Defense Technologies for the purpose of renewing annual maintenance and licensing for network switches in the amount of $63,816.94.

10. Resolution approving the procurement of goods and/or services for Information Systems from Tyler Technologies Inc. for the purpose of renewing annual financial software maintenance in the amount of approximately $91,119.30.

11. Resolution approving the procurement of goods and/or services for Information Systems from Anderson Electric for the purpose of replacing existing expired UPS in the amount of $49,350.

12. Resolution approving a Project Labor Agreement between Sangamon County and the Central Illinois Building & Construction Trades Council for the construction of the SMART Bus Barn.

13. Resolution approving the application by SMART for the 2026 Public Transportation Capital Assistance Grant for the construction of the SMART Bus Barn in the amount of $1,562,434.

14. Intergovernmental Agreement between Villages & Cities in Sangamon County for the provision of Animal Control services.

15. Resolution Approving Acceptance of a cash donation in the amount of $50,000 from the estate of Thomas E. Chapin.

16. Resolution approving the procurement of goods and/or services for the Public Defender from Dr. Pan for the purpose of forensic examinations in the amount of $65,000.

17. Resolution approving the procurement of goods and/or services for the Circuit Clerk from Saltus Technologies, LLC for the purpose of e-citation program and services in the amount of $137,548.80.

18. Resolution approving a grant application for the Sangamon County State's Attorney's Office from Illinois Juvenile Justice Commission for the Juvenile Justice Council (3 year grant) program in the amount of $300,000.

19. Resolution approving a grant application for the Sangamon County State's Attorney's Office from The Community Foundation for the Land of Lincoln for the At Risk Outreach Court (3 year grant) program in the amount of $150,000.

On the motion to consolidate, a voice vote was unanimous.

Mr. Hall asked whether cities and villages pay for the services they request from the county. Mr. Ridley explained that local police departments generally handle calls in their own jurisdictions and request county assistance through dispatch as needed. Staff confirmed that the villages pay their bills and said their charges are minimal.

Mr. Ridley estimated that the City of Springfield accounts for about 95 percent of the county's work for this service and pays the majority of the fees. He said Springfield's current bill was still outstanding.

Ms. Fulgenzi commented on Resolution 14 and Mr. Ridley confirmed that the City of Springfield owed Sangamon County $615,000 and had gone approximately one year and nine months without paying its contractual obligation. He said the county does not charge interest on the outstanding balance and continues to provide services to the city. Ms. Fulgenzi asked whether the contract allows the county to suspend services for nonpayment. The answer was the County continues to pay for them.

Mr. Cahnman expressed gratitude on behalf of the Board and Sangamon County residents to the family of Thomas Chapin for their $50,000 donation to support improvements at Sangamon County Animal Control and Adoption.

Mr. Madonia moved that the roll call vote for Resolution 1 stand as the roll call vote for Resolutions 7 – 19 as consolidated. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED

The Chairman asked for unanimous consent to go slightly out of the regular order and proceed to skip Resolutions 20 and 21 and go back to them at the end of the meeting. There were no objections.

RESOLUTION 22

22. Resolution approving the procurement of goods and/or services for the Auditor from Clifton, Larson, Allen (CLA) for the purpose of Financial Audit Services in the amount of $408,000.

A motion was made by Mr. Madonia, seconded by Mr. DelGiorno to place Resolution 22 before the Board. Mr. Madonia moved that the roll call vote for Resolution 1 stand as the roll call vote for Resolution 22. A voice vote on the adoption of Resolution 22 was unanimous. Resolution 22 was adopted.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

RESOLUTION 23

23. Resolution amending Chapter 5.20 Food Service Establishments of the Sangamon County Code.

A motion was made by Mr. Cahnman, seconded by Mr. DelGiorno to place Resolution 23 before the Board.

Mr. Cahnman said this resolution would require restaurants and other eating establishments to provide single-use plastic utensils and other takeout items only upon customer request. He said many customers use their own utensils at home or at work and that the growth in takeout and delivery orders has increased the amount of unused plastic sent to landfills.

Mr. Cahnman then made a motion, seconded by Mr. DelGiorno, to refer the resolution to the Public Health Committee for further consideration and a recommendation to the full Board. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTION REFERRED TO PUBLICH HEALTH COMMITTEE

RESOLUTION 24

24. Resolution submitting a referendum to Sangamon County voters in the April 6, 2027 Consolidated Election to provide for the power of recall of County Officers.

A motion was made by Mr. Cahnman, seconded by Ms. Feipel to place Resolution 24 before the Board.

Mr. Cahnman asked the Board to reconsider a referendum on the recall of county officers in light of a Kane County Circuit Court ruling involving Aurora. He said Aurora residents had petitioned to place a binding referendum on mayoral recall on the November 3 ballot. According to Mr. Cahnman, Aurora's

electoral board dismissed a challenge to the referendum, and the circuit court ruled that the Illinois Constitution authorized the city to place the question on the ballot.

He argued that similar constitutional language governing counties supports Sangamon County's authority to submit a recall question to voters. He noted that the Board had previously supported legislation sponsored by Senator Turner to expressly authorize a county recall referendum, but the bill did not pass.

Mr. Cahnman said the Aurora case was fully briefed and pending before the Second District Appellate Court. If the court affirmed the ruling, he asked the Board to reconsider placing a recall referendum on the April 6, 2027, ballot. He moved to refer Resolution 24 to the Elections Committee for further study while the appeal was pending. Mr. DelGiorno seconded it. A voice vote to refer Resolution 24 back to the Election Committee was unanimous.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTION REFERRED TO ELECTION OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

RESOLUTION 25

25. Resolution approving consent order in 2026-MR-249 and approving a siting approval permit for the Whisper Walk Commercial Solar Energy Facility.

A motion was made by Ms. Sheppard, seconded by Mr. Madonia to place Resolution 25 before the Board. Ms. Scaife made a motion, seconded by Mr. Miller, to postpone this until the December 8th County Board meeting. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTION POSTPONED UNTIL DECEMBER 8TH MEETING

RESOLUTION 20

20. Resolution approving amendments made to the FY 2026 budget.

A motion was made by Mr. Madonia, seconded by Mr. DelGiorno to place Resolution 20 before the Board. Mr. Madonia moved that the roll call vote for Resolution 1 stand as the roll call vote for Resolution 20. A voice vote on the adoption of Resolution 20 was unanimous. Resolution 20 was adopted.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

RESOLUTION 21

21. Resolution approving the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for the County of Sangamon, Illinois for the Fiscal Year December 1, 2026 through November 30, 2027 in the amount of $206,072,498.

Ms. Lathan made a motion, seconded by Mr. Guyton, to postpone the Resolution. Upon a roll call vote on the motion to postpone, there were eight YES votes and twenty NO votes. Those voting YES were; Cahnman, DelGiorno, Douglas-Williams, Feipel, Guyton, Lathan, McGuire and Small. The motion to postpone was not agreed to.

The FY 27 Budget Presentation was next. Mr. McFadden and Mr. Black reported that the county expected to add modestly to its reserves at the close of FY 2026. Revenue exceeded projections, while expenses in the Sheriff's Office and Buildings and Grounds exceeded budget estimates. Mr. McFadden said they would provide a formal report to the Finance Committee after the fiscal year closed and the county's revenues and expenses were audited.

The FY 2027 budget totals approximately $206 million across all funds, a decrease of nearly 5 percent, primarily because of lower capital spending. The General Fund budget increases by approximately 7.3 percent. Mr. McFadden attributed most of that increase to payroll costs, including negotiated wage increases, overtime related to corrections staffing, and additional Sheriff's Office training. Higher health insurance costs also contributed to the increase. He reviewed ongoing capital projects and discussed the proposed Ninth Street streetscape improvements.

Mr. McFadden projected a 3.4 percent increase in property tax revenue, citing the 2.7 percent CPI used for the tax cap, new construction, and the expiration of the North End TIF district. The Board was scheduled to consider the levy in December. He said the county's final tax rate would depend on updated property valuation and other figures. He also discussed the new mental health tax, which initial collections suggested could generate approximately $17 million annually.

The Board discussed the Veterans Assistance Commission's request for approximately $1 million in additional appropriations, including an administrative position, increased direct assistance, programs for veterans experiencing homelessness, and legal fees. Mr. McFadden cited unused direct assistance funds and the need to review proposed services with Heartland HOUSED before funding them. The budget includes $40,000 for FY 2027 legal expenses. County counsel said the dispute over prior legal fees remained before the trial court following the appeal and that the county could continue pursuing a settlement. Board members also asked about staffing, overtime, and how the upcoming levy might affect funding for direct assistance.

Mr. Madonia moved that the roll call vote for Resolution 1 stand as the roll call vote for Resolution 21. A voice vote on the adoption of Resolution 21 was unanimous with the exception of Mr. Cahnman who voted NO. Resolution 21 was adopted.

MOTIONS CARRIED
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

OLD BUSINESS

The Chairman and Ms. Fulgenzi recognized the four board members who are departing, thanked them for their service and allowed them to say a few words to their colleagues. Those leaving at the end of the month are; Mr. Cahnman, Ms. Deppe, Mr. Hall and Ms. Scaife.

Ms. Douglas-Williams asked Mr. Cahnman for a detailed explanation of the proposed recall process. She asked what grounds would justify initiating a recall, what steps would be required to remove a county officer, and what would happen if the allegations were not substantiated. She also asked who would pay an official's attorney fees if the official retained counsel to respond to allegations that were not substantiated.

Mr. Cahnman said the full text of the proposed ordinance appears in a boxed section on page two of the resolution. He agreed to explain the proposal in detail at the Elections Committee meeting.

Mr. Cahnman thanked former Board member Greg Stumpf for his many years of service to Sangamon County, describing him as a conscientious member of the Board. He also welcomed Nathan Caruso as Stump's successor. Chairman VanMeter echoed the appreciation and said Mr. Stumpf had chosen to leave without making a prior announcement.

NEW BUSINESS

No new business.

A. Appointments

Appointment of Captain Marisa McCluer, to fill the unexpired term of Major Jeff Eddy, to the Community Service Block Grant Advisory Council, for a term expiring November, 2029.

Appointment of George Allspach, to the Lanesville-Illiopolis Drainage District Board, for a term expiring September, 2029.

A motion was made by Mr. Madonia, seconded by Mr. DelGiorno, for approval of the appointments. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTION CARRIED
APPOINTMENTS ADOPTED

The nominations for approval in December were also submitted.

The chairman asked for a show of hands of how many board members would be able to attend a Special Board Meeting on Tuesday, November 17 at 5:00 pm. The chairman said it looked like there would be enough for a quorum.

B. Emergency/Procurement Notifications

REPORTS OF COUNTY OFFICIALS, REPORTS OF SPECIAL COMMITTEES, REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES, COMMITTEE REPORTS ON CLAIMS

A motion was made by Mr. DelGiorno, seconded by Mr. Madonia, to put reports on file with the County Clerk. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTION CARRIED
REPORTS FILED

RECESS

A motion was made by Mr. Madonia, seconded by Mr. DelGiorno, to recess the meeting to November 17, 2026 at 5:00 pm. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTION CARRIED
MEETING RECESSED

Don Gray
Sangamon County Clerk